

1. What is your profession?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| Environmental Professional |  | 82.8% | 72 |
| Laboratory Professional |  | 2.3% | 2 |
| Legal Professional |  | 13.8% | 12 |
| Business Professional |  | 1.1% | 1 |
| | Other (please specify) | | 7 |
| | | answered question | 87 |
| | | skipped question | 4 |

2. Assign a level of importance for the following 14 topics to guide future Remediation Roundtable discussions:

| | Most important | Important | Somewhat Important | Least Important | Rating Average | Response Count |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Cost Concerns – Discussion of the degree of flexibility available in balancing thoroughness of investigations and budget limitations. | 31.9% (29) | 37.4% (34) | 19.8% (18) | 11.0% (10) | 2.90 | 91 |
| Property Transfer – Discussion of decision process for ECAF delegation and purpose of information requested on the form. | 6.6% (6) | 17.6% (16) | 44.0% (40) | 31.9% (29) | 1.99 | 91 |
| Widespread Contamination – Discussion of characterization and remediation of urban fill, asphalt fragments, and agricultural pesticides. | 38.5% (35) | 44.0% (40) | 16.5% (15) | 1.1% (1) | 3.20 | 91 |
| Sampling Concepts – Metals: collecting representative samples for soil to establish background and when filtering of groundwater may be appropriate. | 14.3% (13) | 39.6% (36) | 29.7% (27) | 16.5% (15) | 2.52 | 91 |
| Ecological Risk Assessment – Discussion of the types of assessments; groundwater seepage and sediment impact reviews; and the DEP review process. | 35.2% (32) | 39.6% (36) | 16.5% (15) | 8.8% (8) | 3.01 | 91 |
| Engineered Controls – Case study of previously approved ECs. | 14.3% (13) | 39.6% (36) | 37.4% (34) | 8.8% (8) | 2.59 | 91 |
| Remediation Website – Discussion of the list of contaminated sites and suggestions for enhancements to the list. | 7.7% (7) | 18.7% (17) | 46.2% (42) | 27.5% (25) | 2.07 | 91 |
| Additional Polluting Substances / Alternative Criteria – Discussion of calculating and requesting site-specific criteria with examples of approved criteria. | 37.4% (34) | 31.9% (29) | 25.3% (23) | 5.5% (5) | 3.01 | 91 |
| Verifications – instructional | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|------|-----------|
| seminars, audit process, and LEP concerns with audit process. | 36.3% (33) | 38.5% (35) | 20.9% (19) | 4.4% (4) | 3.07 | 91 |
| Analytical Methods – Use, criteria, and approval process of ETPH and EPH/VPH. | 19.8% (18) | 27.5% (25) | 44.0% (40) | 8.8% (8) | 2.58 | 91 |
| ELURs – Discussion of subordination purpose and procedure. | 11.0% (10) | 38.5% (35) | 40.7% (37) | 9.9% (9) | 2.51 | 91 |
| ELURs – Discussion of review process and available options. | 12.1% (11) | 48.4% (44) | 30.8% (28) | 8.8% (8) | 2.64 | 91 |
| Engineered Controls – Determining the amount of and method for establishing financial surety. | 8.8% (8) | 40.7% (37) | 38.5% (35) | 12.1% (11) | 2.46 | 91 |
| Property Transfer – Discussion of how changes to Property Transfer statutes impact older Property Transfer sites: Compliance goals. | 36.3% (33) | 46.2% (42) | 15.4% (14) | 2.2% (2) | 3.16 | 91 |
| answered question | | | | | | 91 |
| skipped question | | | | | | 0 |

Q1. What is your profession?

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|
| 1 | chemist at a consulting firm | May 5, 2011 12:01 PM |
| 2 | LEP | Apr 12, 2011 12:20 PM |
| 3 | add Brownfields to list below...need to streamline process to correct blight | Apr 6, 2011 11:01 PM |
| 4 | state regulator | Apr 6, 2011 4:01 PM |
| 5 | Regulator | Apr 6, 2011 3:17 PM |
| 6 | other state agency | Apr 6, 2011 2:49 PM |
| 7 | LEP | Apr 6, 2011 2:30 PM |