



Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission · 18-20 Trinity Street, Suite 100 · Hartford, CT 06106 Toll free (CT only): (866)374-3617 Tel: (860)566-5682 Fax: (860)566-5474 · www.state.ct.us/foi/ · email: foi@po.state.ct.us

Lorenzo Osborne, Complainant(s) against

Right to Know

Notice of Meeting

Docket #FIC 2012-222

Chief, Police Department, City of Bridgeport; and Police Department, City of Bridgeport, Respondent(s)

December 7, 2012

## Transmittal of Proposed Final Decision

In accordance with Section 4-179 of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Freedom of Information Commission hereby transmits to you the proposed finding and decision prepared by the hearing officer in the above-captioned matter.

This will notify you that the Commission will consider this matter for disposition at its meeting which will be held in the Freedom of Information Commission Hearing Room, 18-20 Trinity Street, ist floor, Hartford, Connecticut, at 2 p.m. on Wednesday, January 9, 2013. At that time and place you will be allowed to offer oral argument concerning this proposed finding and order. Oral argument shall be limited to ten (10) minutes. For good cause shown, however, the Commission may increase the period of time for argument. A request for additional time must be made in writing and should be filed with the Commission *ON OR BEFORE December 14, 2012*. Such request MUST BE (1) copied to all parties, or if the parties are represented, to such representatives, and (2) include a notation indicating such notice to all parties or their representatives.

Although a brief or memorandum of law is not required, if you decide to submit such a document, the Commission requests that an <u>original and fourteen (14) copies</u> be filed *ON OR BEFORE December 14, 2012.* PLEASE NOTE: Any correspondence, brief or memorandum directed to the Commissioners by any party or representative of any party MUST BE (1) copied to all parties, or if the parties are represented, to such representatives, (2) include a notation indicating such notice to all parties or their representatives and (3) be limited to argument. NO NEW EVIDENCE MAY BE SUBMITTED.

If you have already filed a brief or memorandum with the hearing officer and wish to have that document distributed to each member of the Commission, it is requested that <u>fourteen (14)</u> <u>copies</u> be filed *ON OR BEFORE December 14, 2012*, and that notice be given to all parties or if the parties are represented, to their representatives, that such previously filed document is being submitted to the Commissioners for review.

By Order of the Freedom of Information Commission

W. Paradis

Acting Clerk of the Commission

Notice to: Lorenzo Osborne

Gregory M. Conte, Esq.

cc: Kristine Barone

2012-12-07/FIC# 2012-222/Trans/wrbp/CAL//VDH

## FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

In the Matter of a Complaint by

Report of Hearing Officer

Lorenzo Osborne,

Complainant

against

Docket #FIC 2012-222

Chief, Police Department, City of Bridgeport; and Police Department, City of Bridgeport,

Respondents

December 6, 2012

The above-captioned matter was heard as contested case on September 26 and November 27, 2012, at which times the complainant and the respondents appeared. The complainant, who is incarcerated, appeared via teleconference, pursuant to the January 2004 memorandum of understanding between the Commission and the Department of Correction. See Docket No. CV 03-0826293, Anthony Sinchak v. FOIC et al, Superior Court, J.D. of Hartford at Hartford, Corrected Order dated January 27, 2004 (Sheldon, J.).

At the September 26, 2012 hearing, the complainant and the respondents agreed with each other that they preferred to work through the complainant's twelve part records request outside the setting of formal adjudication. At the November 27, 2012 hearing, the respondents presented a copy of their letter dated November 16, 2012 to the complainant, addressing each of the twelve parts of the records request and enclosing numerous records with the original letter. The complainant stated that, because of Department of Correction screening, he had frequently experienced difficulty receiving mail. The respondents agreed to work with the ombudsman to expedite the Department of Correction screening of their November 16, 2012 letter to the complainant. The complainant stated that he wished to withdraw his complaint against the respondents.

The Commission recommends the following order on the basis of the record:

1. Based on the withdrawal of the complaint, the case is hereby dismissed.

Clifton A. Leonhardt as Hearing Officer