FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

In The Matter of a Complaint by

FINAL DECISION

Louise Czar,

Complainant

against

Docket #FIC 2015-799

Chief, Bantam Fire Company, Town of Bantam; and Bantam Fire Company, Town of Bantam,

Respondents

October 13, 2016

The above-captioned matter was heard as a contested case on August 29, 2016, at which time the complainant and the respondents appeared, stipulated to certain facts and presented testimony, exhibits and argument on the complaint. For purposes of hearing, the matter was consolidated with Docket #FIC 2016-0434; Louise Czar v. Edward Brown, President, Bantam Fire Company; and Bantam Fire Company.

After consideration of the entire record, the following facts are found and conclusions of law are reached:

- 1. The respondents are public agencies within the meaning of §1-200(1), G.S.
- 2. By letter dated November 20, 2015 and filed November 23, 2015, the complainant appealed to the Commission, alleging that the respondents violated the Freedom of Information Act ("FOI Act") in the following ways:
 - a. Creating a designated area for members of the public to sit while a public meeting is taking place; and
 - b. Entering into an execution session at the November 3, 2015 meeting without first making a motion and obtaining an affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members present and voting.
 - 3. Section 1-200(6), G.S., provides, in relevant part, as follows:

3. Section 1-200(6), G.S., provides, in relevant part, as follows:

"Executive sessions" means a meeting of a public agency at which the public is excluded for one or more of the following purposes: . . . (B) strategy and negotiations with respect to pending claims or pending litigation to which the public agency or a member thereof, because of the member's conduct as a member of such agency, is a party until such litigation or claim has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled

- 4. It is found that the Bantam Fire Company is a purely volunteer agency, with no individual holding a salaried or paid position.
- 5. It is found that the Bantam Fire Company held a regular meeting on November 3, 2015.
- 6. With respect to the allegation described in paragraph 2.a, above, it is found that, at the start of the November 3, 2015 meeting, Edward Brown, the President of the Bantam Fire Company, asked the complainant to sit in an area designated for the members of the public. It is found that the complainant did not like the designated public seating.
- 7. It is found that the complainant did not claim that she could not hear or see the November 3rd proceedings from the respondents' designated seating area.
- 8. With respect to the allegation described in paragraph 2.a, above, it is concluded that the complainant has failed to allege a violation of the FOI Act.
- 9. With respect to the allegations described in paragraphs 2.b and 2.c, above, §1-225(f), G.S., provides as follows:

A public agency may hold an executive session as defined in subdivision (6) of section 1-200, upon an affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members of such body present and voting, taken at a public meeting and stating the reasons for such executive session, as defined in section 1-200.

- 10. It is found that, sometime after the November 3rd meeting was convened, the President announced that the meeting was moving into an executive session. It is found that the purpose of this session was to discuss legal strategy. It is found, however, that no vote was taken to move the meeting into executive session. It is further found that the complainant left the room while the respondents convened in executive session and returned to the room once the session was over. Finally, it is found that the complainant was the only person other than the fire company members who was in attendance at the respondents' November 3rd meeting.
- 11. By failing to conduct a vote and obtain the agreement of two-thirds of the members of present and voting to move the meeting into an executive session, the respondents violated

the provisions of §1-225(f), G.S., as alleged in paragraph 2.b, above.

12. The Commission notes that the President testified that is it is only of late that the respondents are experiencing a public presence at their meetings. In fact, it is found that the November 3rd meeting was the first time that the President ever had to move a meeting from an open session to an executive session. It is further found that, since the time of the November 3rd meeting, the President has contacted the FOI staff for assistance with this issue.

The following order by the Commission is hereby recommended on the basis of the record concerning the above-captioned complaint:

1. Henceforth, the respondents shall strictly comply with the requirements of §1-225(f), G.S.

Approved by Order of the Freedom of Information Commission at its regular meeting of October 13, 2016.

Cynthia A. Cannata

Acting Clerk of the Commission

PURSUANT TO SECTION 4-180(c), G.S., THE FOLLOWING ARE THE NAMES OF EACH PARTY AND THE MOST RECENT MAILING ADDRESS, PROVIDED TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COMMISSION, OF THE PARTIES OR THEIR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE.

THE PARTIES TO THIS CONTESTED CASE ARE:

Louise Czar 400 Bantam Lake Road Bantam, CT 06750

Chief, Bantam Fire Company, Town of Bantam; and Bantam Fire Company, Town of Bantam 92 Doyle Road P.O. Box 456 Bantam, CT 06750

Cynthia A. Cannata

Acting Clerk of the Commission

FIC/2015-799/FD/cac10/13/2016