

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
**Current Correctional
Population Indicators**
Monthly Report



July 1, 2007

OFFICE OF POLICY & MANAGEMENT
Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division

Table of Contents

Message from the Secretary of OPM	3
Overview of the Connecticut Criminal Justice System.....	4
Admissions to Correctional Facilities	5
(1) The Number of Admissions to Prison.....	5
(A) Directly from Courts	5
(B) On Account of Parole Revocation (Community Returns)	5
(C) On Account of Probation Revocation (Violations of Probation – VOP)	6
Department of Correction Releases and Discharges.....	7
(2) The Number of Releases on Parole and to Other Forms of Community Supervision and Facilities	7
Granting of Parole	8
(3) The Rate of Granting Parole.....	8
Offenders Sentenced to Probation and Referrals to CSSD Community Placements.....	9
(4) The Number of Probation Placements and Placements to Probation Facilities.....	9
Current Prison Population	10
(5) The Prison Population	10
Six month Forecast of the Prison Population	11
(6) The Projected Prison Population	11
Appendix I - Types of Community Supervision	12
Appendix II – Glossary & Counting Rules.....	13
Appendix III – Historical Perspective.....	17

This report has been generated with the co-facilitation of the Office of Policy and Management and Central Connecticut State University and in cooperation with its key Criminal Justice Service Partners: the Department of Correction, the Board of Pardons and Paroles, the Department of Public Safety, the Court Support Services Division of the Judicial Branch and as needed in consult with the Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services, the Chief Court Administrator, the Chief State's Attorney and the Chief Public Defender.

FORECASTING/RESEARCH WORK GROUP PARTICIPANTS

Stephen M. Cox, Ph.D.

Director, Connecticut Statistical Analysis Center
Central Connecticut State University (CCSU)

Linda D. DeConti, M.Sc.

Policy Development Coordinator
Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division
Office of Policy and Management (OPM)

Judith P. Lee, Esq.

Caseflow Management Specialist
Court Operations
State of Connecticut Judicial Branch

Frederick J. Levesque

Director
Offender Classification & Population Management
Department of Correction (DOC)

Alyse A. Chin, M.S.W.

Grants and Contracts Manager
Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division
Office of Policy and Management (OPM)

Brian Hill

Manager, Center for Research & Quality Improvement
Court Support Services Division (CSSD)
State of Connecticut Judicial Branch

Susan C. Glass

Program Manager, Center for Research & Quality Improvement, Court Support Services Division (CSSD)
State of Connecticut Judicial Branch

Jerry Stowell, Ph.D.

Board of Pardons and Paroles (BOPP)
Central Connecticut State University

Lyndsay Ruffolo

Program Administrator
Institute for the Study of Crime and Justice
Central Connecticut State University (CCSU)

John E. Forbes

Assistant Director
Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division
Office of Policy and Management (OPM)

Special thanks and appreciation goes to PeiTi Lee of the Department of Correction for outstanding performance in delivering the necessary data for these reports in a timely fashion each month.

MESSAGE FROM THE OPM SECRETARY

Public Act No. 05-249 established a new Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division (CJPPD) within the Office of Policy and Management (OPM). The division is charged with developing a plan to promote a more effective and cohesive state criminal justice system. As part of the planning process the division has begun gathering information from the appropriate agencies and branches to meet the monthly reporting requirements as outlined by the enabling legislation. We have found that agencies have different uses for their own data to support their day to day operations and are not necessarily research friendly. Many of these systems require significant programming to extract the data elements requested by this legislation. In addition, the information may not align with data housed in other agency systems. However, given the information and systems available, these monthly reports are intended to advise and inform the Governor and General Assembly of current trends and outcomes to assess the long-range needs of the criminal justice system.

This report presents the current correctional population indicators for Connecticut as of July 2007 and includes six month population projections for the correctional system to January 2008. The Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division, working with the Criminal Justice Policy Advisory Commission, will analyze this and subsequent data, and present planning options in future reports.

What's New:

- **More Current and Comparable Data**
Continued efforts to incorporate both the most current and comparable data with better consistency.
- The information presented in this report is current as of July 2007 and/or the most current data available as of this date.

This being our ninth report, we continue to incorporate updates and refinements to meet the needs of our customers. We welcome your comments and feedback to further improve this document and to assist in achieving our mutual objectives.

Robert L. Genuario
Secretary
Office of Policy and Management


OVERVIEW OF THE CONNECTICUT CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

The following flowchart provides a summary of the Connecticut criminal justice system. Unlike many states that have county governments, the majority of agencies within the criminal justice system are State agencies. The one exception is law enforcement, where there are over 90 local police departments in addition to the Connecticut State Police. See Appendix I for a detailed description of the agencies and the various types of community supervision in Connecticut.

CHART 1

Connecticut Criminal Justice System: Admissions & Discharges

July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007



Data Sources: Arrest-Judicial/Court Operations; Court-Judicial/CSSD; Prison-Department of Correction
*NOTE: Non-comparable value represents cases, not clients. There may be multiple cases per client. These numbers are merely estimates based on data available at the time of this report. Subsequent reports of actual figures may not agree.

Total Offender Flow Year to Date:

Since July, 2006 (see CHART 1):

- 113,242 criminal arrests were made
- 26,584 cases resulted in no detainment and placement in a CSSD Pre-Trial Diversion program (Hate Crimes, Drug Education, Alcohol Education, School Violence, Conditional Release)
- 23,972 arrestees were detained (un-sentenced placed in prison) prior to their trial
- 5,225 convicted offenders were sentenced to prison
- 28,186 convicted offenders were sentenced to probation
- 10,615 offenders were released from prison to DOC community supervision (Community Support Services Needs: DMHAS, DSS, Housing, Education)
- 11,702 offenders reached their end of sentence (EOS) and were released (discharged) from DOC custody

Total Persons Under Supervision:

On a daily basis, the Average Daily Count (ADC) (see CHART 1):

- 55,569 clients and 14,850 cases of pre-trial arrestees and probationers are supervised by CSSD
- 22,920 accused and sentenced offenders are supervised by DOC
- Approximately 93,339 offenders are supervised by CSSD and DOC

ADMISSIONS TO CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

(1) The Number of Admissions to Prison

Admissions to Prison directly from Courts

(A) Directly from Courts

Since July 2006: (see CHART 1A and TABLE 1A)

- Accused offenders awaiting trial comprise **69%** of new admissions
- Sentenced offenders from courts comprise **15%** of new admissions

Data Notes:

Data reflects counts from July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 and consists of counts of newly admitted offenders. Accused offenders who are sentenced to prison while already incarcerated are not included in this data. Offenders admitted prior to their conviction and subsequent to their prison sentence were previously counted under "Accused".

CHART 1A




TABLE 1A

DOC Admissions by Type

July 2006 to June 2007

	Accused	New Sentence	Community Returns	Federal/Other	Total
JULY	2,174	427	250	193	3,044
AUG	2,471	465	281	162	3,379
SEP	2,253	497	238	276	3,264
OCT	1,383	295	169	168	2,015
NOV	2,025	423	254	244	2,946
DEC	1,896	246	250	220	2,612
JAN	2,114	773	264	210	3,361
FEB	1,612	449	221	126	2,408
MAR	2,018	471	265	194	2,948
APR	1,969	409	257	187	2,822
MAY	2,038	416	300	202	2,956
JUN	2,019	354	321	157	2,851
Total	23,972	5,225	3,070	2,339	34,606
% Total	69%	15%	9%	7%	

Admissions to Prison from Community Returns

(B) On Account of Parole Revocation (Community Returns)

Since July 2006: (see CHART 1B.1 and TABLE 1B.1)

- Re-admits from DOC community programs comprise **9%** of admissions (see TABLE 1A)
- **3,070** offenders who were sent back to prison from DOC community release (see TABLE 1A and 1B.1)
 - **50%** were parolees (see TABLE 1B.1)
 - **29.6%** were under transitional supervision (TS)
 - **16.3%** were in a halfway house (HWH)
 - **4.2%** were on re-entry furlough

TABLE 1B.1

Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision


July 2006 to June 2007

	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
JULY	119	81	35	15	250
AUG	137	81	47	16	281
SEP	131	67	29	11	238
OCT	77	42	41	9	169
NOV	123	68	51	12	254
DEC	125	78	34	13	250
JAN	119	77	57	11	264
FEB	120	62	34	5	221
MAR	157	73	26	9	265
APR	123	79	48	7	257
MAY	146	98	47	9	300
JUN	154	103	51	13	321
Total	1,531	909	500	130	3,070
% Total	50%	29.6%	16.3%	4.2%	

Data Notes:

Data reflects counts from July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007. These percentages do not reflect rates of return.

CHART 1B.1



Admissions to Prison from Community Returns (continued)

For June 2007: (see TABLE 1B.2 and CHART 1B.2)

- In June 2007, **321** offenders were sent back to prison from DOC community release
- **53%** were technical violations
- **30%** were criminal violations

Data Notes:

Cannot Escape from Parole, any entries moved to Abscond.

TABLE 1B.2

Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision

	June 2007				Total	% Total
	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough		
Tech Vio	78	66	24	3	171	53%
Criminal	61	27	6	1	95	30%
Escape	0	10	20	1	31	10%
Abscond	15	0	0	0	15	5%
Other	0	0	1	8	9	3%
Total	154	103	51	13	321	
% Total	48%	32%	16%	4%		

CHART 1B.2




TABLE 1B.3

Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision with Technical Violations

	July 2006 to June 2007				Total
	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	
JULY	38	44	11	8	101
AUG	64	48	31	2	145
SEP	67	32	15	1	115
OCT	32	26	30	1	89
NOV	53	41	37	2	133
DEC	53	44	20	0	117
JAN	59	51	32	0	142
FEB	57	36	16	0	109
MAR	81	39	15	0	135
APR	67	44	23	0	134
MAY	64	55	34	0	153
JUN	78	66	24	3	171
Total	713	526	288	17	1,544
% Total	46%	34%	19%	1%	

Admissions to Prison from Violations of Probation (C) On Account of Probation Revocation (Violations of Probation – VOP)

For June 2007: (see TABLE 1A and TABLE 1C)

- 78 of the **354** sentenced offenders were probation violators (22%)

TABLE 1C
Sentenced Inmates with Violations of Probation (VOP)

September 2006 to June 2007

Sentenced	<=2 YR	> 2 YR	TOTAL	
SEP	97	39	136	27%
OCT	134	25	159	54%
NOV	73	23	96	23%
DEC	47	15	62	25%
JAN	125	32	157	20%
FEB	77	18	95	21%
MAR	83	18	101	21%
APR	68	15	83	20%
MAY	76	17	93	22%
JUN	63	15	78	22%

Data Notes:

Due to its design, the DOC Management Information System can only provide the current month's counts of probation violators. Also, it is not possible to determine how many of the probation violators were sentenced to prison for committing a new criminal offense or for technical violations of probation.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION RELEASES AND DISCHARGES

(2) The Number of Releases on Parole and to Other Forms of Community Supervision and Facilities

Since July 2006:
(see CHART 2 and TABLE 2)

- 11,702 offenders or 52% reached their end of sentence (EOS) and were released from DOC supervision (facilities and community programs)
- **10,615** offenders were released to DOC community programs
 - **25%** of DOC community releases were to parole
 - **26%** of DOC community releases were to transitional supervision (TS)
 - **19%** of DOC community releases were to halfway houses (HWH)
 - **30%** of DOC community releases were to re-entry furloughs

*Data Notes:
Data reflects counts from July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007. Transfer Parole and Special Parole counted in Parole. Transitional Placement counted in Furlough.*

CHART 2




TABLE 2

DOC Releases/Discharges by Type

July 2006 to June 2007

	EOS	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
JULY	926	241	238	170	323	1,898
AUG	1,067	240	262	196	308	2,073
SEP	1,052	239	185	168	242	1,886
OCT	654	145	158	124	206	1,287
NOV	971	219	217	177	309	1,893
DEC	981	175	234	136	253	1,779
JAN	1,011	229	233	197	289	1,959
FEB	935	208	252	122	223	1,740
MAR	995	224	262	185	288	1,954
APR	993	223	245	202	278	1,941
MAY	1,085	234	254	168	244	1,985
JUN	1,032	230	265	180	215	1,922
Total	11,702	2,607	2,805	2,025	3,178	22,317
% Total	52%					
Non EOS		25%	26%	19%	30%	10,615

GRANTING OF PAROLE

(3) The Rate of Granting Parole

*A Full Panel Hearings consists of three Board of Parole members.


**Administrative Reviews are conducted for less serious offenders. A hearing officer interviews the offender and makes a recommendation to the Board of Parole.

Board of Parole Reviews:

Since July 2006:
(see CHART 3A, 3B and TABLE 3)


- On average **83%** of full panel hearings and **82%** of administrative reviews result in the granting of parole
- **3,118** offenders have been granted parole since July 2006
 - **260** offenders were granted parole in June 2007
 - **366** offenders were granted parole in May 2007, the highest number ever
 - **942** offenders were voted to parole from April – June, the highest number and granting rate (87%) ever for any three month period

CHART 3A



Data Source: Board of Pardons and Paroles

CHART 3B



Data Source: Board of Pardons and Paroles

TABLE 3

Parole Approval (Granting) Rate

July 2006 to June 2007

	Full Panel Hearings*		Administrative Reviews**		Total Granted
	Number Granted	Granting Rate	Number Granted	Granting Rate	
JUL	123	90%	130	81%	253
AUG	52	68%	104	81%	156
SEP	70	69%	136	71%	206
OCT	71	88%	176	80%	247
NOV	78	68%	155	82%	233
DEC	127	86%	128	81%	255
JAN	101	84%	151	82%	252
FEB	126	86%	162	84%	288
MAR	91	85%	195	90%	286
APR	106	91%	210	81%	316
MAY	131	94%	235	92%	366
JUN	75	82%	185	81%	260
Total	1,151		1,967		3,118
Average		83%		82%	

OFFENDERS SENTENCED TO PROBATION AND REFERRALS TO CSSD COMMUNITY PLACEMENTS

(4) The Number of Probation Placements and Placements to Probation Facilities

For June 2007: (see CHART 4A)


- **2,011** convicted offenders sentenced to probation

Since July 2006:

- **28,186** total convicted offenders placed on probation

Data Notes:
Data reflects counts from July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007. These numbers are merely estimates based on data available at the time of this report. Subsequent reports of actual figures may not agree.

CHART 4A



For Community Placements:

Since June 2006: (see TABLE 4B and CHART 4B)

- **19,626** offenders were sentenced to or referred by a probation officer to community placements
- **12,286** (63%) were community-based services
- **6,805** (35%) were outpatient placements
- **535** (3%) were inpatient or residential programs

Data Notes:
June 2007 data was not available at the time of this report. These numbers are merely estimates based on data available at the time of this report. Subsequent reports of actual figures may not agree.


TABLE 4B

Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced and Probationer Community Placements

June 2006 to May 2007

	Community-Based	Outpatient	Inpatient/Residential	Total
JUN	722	532	47	1,301
JULY	652	468	44	1,164
AUG	623	507	51	1,181
SEP	697	493	35	1,225
OCT	812	549	47	1,408
NOV	1,270	543	35	1,848
DEC	1,280	470	46	1,796
JAN	1,486	629	52	2,167
FEB	1,081	596	44	1,721
MAR	1,257	693	42	1,992
APR	1,139	661	45	1,845
MAY	1,267	664	47	1,978
Total	12,286	6,805	535	19,626
% Total	63%	35%	3%	

CHART 4B




CURRENT PRISON POPULATION

(5) The Prison Population

Since July 2006: (see CHART 5A)

- DOC facilities' population decreased in July 2007, for the fifth consecutive month
- The prison population has increased by **2.1%** over the past thirteen (13) month period

CHART 5A



Snapshot of Prison Population by Age:

On July 1, 2007: (see TABLE 5C and CHART 5C)


- **30%** offenders are between 22 and 29 years old
- **28%** offenders are between 30 and 39 years old
- **444** offenders are under the age of 18 years old

TABLE 5C

Snapshot of Prison Population by Age

July 1, 2007						
Under 16	16-17	18-21	22-29	30-39	Over 40	Total
27	417	2,107	5,687	5,374	5,280	18,892
0.1%	2.2%	11.2%	30.1%	28.4%	27.9%	

CHART 5C



Snapshot of Prison Population by Race and Gender:

On July 1, 2007: (see CHART 5B and TABLE 5B)

- **93%** of the prison population are Males
- **43%** of the prison population are African-American
- **30%** of the prison population are White
- **27%** of the prison population are Hispanic

CHART 5B




TABLE 5B

Snapshot of Prison Population by Race and Gender

July 1, 2007

	African-American	White	Hispanic	Other	Total	% Total
Females	458	643	291	16	1,408	7%
Males	7,644	4,938	4,800	102	17,484	93%
Total	8,102	5,581	5,091	118	18,892	
% Total	43%	30%	27%	1%		

SIX MONTH FORECAST OF THE PRISON POPULATION


(6) The Projected Prison Population

Connecticut Prison Population Trends

From 1985 through July 2007: (see CHART 6A)

- The prison population has increased **248%**
- The prison population has **decreased 1.7%** from January 2003 (19,216) to July 2007 (18,892)

CHART 6A



Community Release Programs

In the last ten years, there has been an increase in the number of offenders on parole, in halfway houses, and on re-entry furloughs. These increases appear to be related to the more recent stabilization of the prison population.

Year	Parole	Super Home	Trans. Super.	Halfway House	Re-Entry
1996	1,239	27	659	544	9
1997	1,065	0	876	561	7
1998	1,049	0	1,165	588	35
1999	1,099	0	896	712	34
2000	1,381	0	717	749	25
2001	1,722	0	633	738	27
2002	2,019	0	705	735	26
2003	2,199	0	1,012	759	44
2004	2,343	0	1,060	680	47
2005	2,552	0	1,005	798	137
2006	2,796	0	863	1,048	139


Connecticut Prison Population Forecast

A straight-line method was used to forecast the prison population through January of 2008. This method was based on the monthly changes in the prison population for the past five years.

The six month forecast projects that: (see CHART 6B)

- The prison population will **decrease by approximately 1.3 %** from July 2007 to January 2008
- The January 2008 prison population will be **18,758** offenders

CHART 6B



Factors Affecting the Forecast Period

There are new programs and staff coming on-line in 2007 that are expected to positively impact a reduction in the overall correctional population during the new calendar year and beyond.

APPENDIX I - Types of Community Supervision

The chart below shows the various types of community supervision in Connecticut.

Who decides if released to community?	Types of Release	Terms	Supervised By
DOC	Transitional Supervision (TS)	Prison 2 years and under	DOC Parole Officers
	Halfway House (HWH)	18 months prior to end of sentence or parole release	DOC Parole Officers
	Re-entry Furlough	30 days from end of sentence or parole release	DOC Parole Officers
BOPP	Parole	Prison over 2 years	DOC Parole Officers
Courts/CSSD	Probation	Not sentenced to prison	CSSD Probation Officers
	Split Sentence Probation	Prison time followed by Probation	CSSD Probation Officers

Department of Correction (DOC)

-Theresa C. Lantz, Commissioner

The Department of Correction's Division of Parole and Community Services represents the consolidated community supervision and enforcement functions of the Department of Correction and the Board of Parole, which were combined in the fall of 2004 at the direction of the General Assembly.

Board of Pardons and Paroles (BOPP)

-Robert Farr, Chairman

The Board of Pardons and Paroles is an autonomous panel with administrative support provided by the Department of Correction. Members of the Board are gubernatorial appointees. The Board possesses discretionary authority to grant pardons for criminal convictions and to place appropriate offenders in the community under parole supervision as a means of supporting their successful reintegration into law abiding society.

Court Support Services Division (CSSD)

-William H. Carbone, Executive Director

CSSD operates under the auspices of Connecticut's Judicial Branch. This division oversees:

- **Office of Adult Probation**
Conducts pre-sentence investigations ordered by the Superior Court and supervises probationers in all cases except juvenile matters.
- **Office of Alternative Sanctions**
Creates and sustains a full range of alternatives to incarceration for both pre- and post-conviction adult and juvenile populations.
- **Bail Commission**
Interviews and investigates individuals accused of crimes to assist the Superior Court in determining terms and conditions of pretrial release.
- **Family Services Division**
Assists the Superior Court in the resolution of problems and the adjudication of cases involving family relationships, family support, child protection and juvenile delinquency. Among the services provided by the Family Division are: mediation of domestic disputes, evaluation of child custody and visitation conflicts, juvenile probation services, divorce counseling, residential placement, restitution and community services.
- **Division of Juvenile Detention Services**
Provides pretrial secure detention and programming services to juveniles accused of delinquent acts.

APPENDIX II – Glossary & Counting Rules

Chart 1: Connecticut Criminal Justice System: Admissions & Discharges

Total Arrests: The number of occurrences for which individuals were taken into police custody for a violation of the Connecticut Motor Vehicle and Criminal Statutes.

Counting Rule: The number of different occurrences. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions would be counted three times.

Criminal Arrests: The number of occurrences for which individuals were taken into police custody for a violation of the Connecticut Criminal Statutes.

Counting Rule: The number of different occurrences. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions would be counted three times.

Total DOC Admissions: The number of individuals admitted into Connecticut Department of Correction facilities.

Counting Rule: The number of individuals admitted to any Department of Correction facility from January 1st of the current year to the last day of the monthly reporting period. Individuals who are admitted, released, and readmitted from DOC custody is counted each time they are admitted.

Pre-Trial Detention Accused/Unsentenced: Arrested individuals who cannot pay the bond amount are held in jail prior to their trial.

Counting Rule: Number of arrestees in jail from January 1st to the last month of the reporting period. This number represents the number of different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions and placed in jail prior to trial would be counted three times.

New Sentenced: Convicted offenders who were admitted to a DOC facility only after being convicted and sentenced to prison.

Counting Rule: The number of convicted offenders admitted to a DOC facility. This number does not include offenders who were in jail/prison during the pre-trial process and were subsequently convicted and sentenced to jail.

Federal/Other: Inmates who have been sentenced to a Federal prison and are being housed in a Connecticut DOC facility.

Counting Rule: The number of Federal inmates in Connecticut DOC facilities.

Community Returns: Inmates who have been released to a DOC community program but were returned to prison for not fulfilling the conditions of the release or for committing a new offense.

Counting Rule: The number of inmates released to a community program and returned to prison from January 1st to the end of the monthly reporting period.

Fixed Beds: The total number of permanent jail and prison beds within DOC facilities.

Arraignment: The pre-trial stage of the court process where arrested individuals hear the formal charges against them, are allowed to enter a plea, and where the judge sets the bond amount to determine whether they will be released from jail prior to their trial.

Judicial/CSSD Bail Supervision and Pre-Trial Diversion: Arrestees can be released from jail prior to their trial under specific conditions (e.g., drug treatment, mental health assessment, community service, etc). These individuals are supervised by CSSD pre-trial staff.

Counting Rule: Number cases with a pre-trial condition or cases referred to a pre-trial diversion program who were being supervised by CSSD pre-trial staff. This number represents different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were under pre-trial supervision on three separate occasions and would be counted three times.

Release on Recognizance: The court releases the defendant on a signed agreement that he or she will appear in court as required. This category also includes citation releases in which arrestees are

released pending their first court appearance on a written order issued by law enforcement or pre-trial staff. This type of release is also known as a Written Promise to Appear.

Trial Court Adjudication: The trial stage of the court process where a verdict is made regarding accused individuals' guilt.

Judicial/CSSD Sentenced Supervision Probation: A court sentence where convicted offenders are supervised in the community rather than placed in prison. Requires offenders to abide by certain rules and conditions set by the judge and probation officer.

Counting Rules: Number of convicted offenders given a probation sentence from January 1st to the end of the monthly reporting period.

Nolled: A disposition of a criminal or motor vehicle case where the prosecutor agrees to drop the case against the defendant but keeps the right to reopen the case and prosecute at any time during the next thirteen months. The nolle is entered on the court record and the defendant is released from custody. If the defendant stays out of trouble during the thirteen months, the case is removed from the official court records.

Dismissed: Decision made by prosecutors or judges to drop the charges brought against an accused individual.

Not Guilty: Judge or jury finding that the accused individual did not commit the crime for which charges were brought forward.

Guilty but Not Incarcerated: Accused individual is guilty of the charges but the judicial sentence does not include jail or prison time.

Releases: Inmates who are released from DOC facilities.

DOC Community Supervision: DOC releases certain inmates to a variety of community programs prior to the end of their prison sentence. These programs primarily consist of parole, transitional supervision, halfway houses, and re-entry furloughs.

Counting Rules: Number of inmates released to a DOC community program between January 1st to the end of the monthly reporting period.

End of Sentence: Sentenced offenders who complete their sentence and are no longer in the custody of the Connecticut DOC.

Counting Rules: Number of sentenced offenders who completed their sentence and left DOC supervision. This includes inmates and individuals in DOC community programs.

Split Sentence Probation: A judicial sentence that requires convicted offenders to serve a set amount of time in DOC custody followed by a set amount of time on probation.

Chart 1A and Table 1A: DOC Admissions by Type

Accused: Arrested individuals who cannot pay the bond amount and are held in jail prior to their trial.

Counting Rule: Number of arrestees in jail by month. This number represents the number of different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions and placed in jail prior to trial would be counted three times.

New Sentence: Convicted offenders who were admitted to a DOC facility only after being convicted and sentenced to prison.

Counting Rule: The number of convicted offenders admitted to a DOC facility. This number does not include offenders who were in jail/prison during the pre-trial process and were subsequently convicted and sentenced to jail.

Federal/Other: Inmates who have been sentenced to a Federal prison and are being housed in a Connecticut DOC facility.

Counting Rule: The number of Federal inmates in Connecticut DOC facilities.

Chart 1B.1 and Table 1B.1: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision

Parole: Program available to certain inmates serving sentences of greater than two years. By statute, offenders convicted of non-violent crimes are eligible for parole after serving 50 percent of their sentence. Those offenders convicted of violent crimes must serve 85 percent of their sentence.

TS (Transitional Supervision): Eligible inmates must serve at least 50 percent of a sentence of two years or less. The facility Warden is the designated release authority and the DOC provides supervision and case management, through its Parole and Community Services Unit for offenders on TS status.

HWH (Halfway House): Utilized to provide assistance for those offenders who require greater support and supervision in the community. Offenders who are within eighteen months of release date or have been voted to parole may participate in these structured programs.

Re-Entry Furlough: The release of an inmate to an approved residence for up to 30 days in the final portion of their sentence for the purpose of re-entry support into the community.

Counting Rules: The number of inmates placed in each program during each particular month. Parolees who were placed in Halfway Houses were counted only as parolees. The same rule was applied for individuals in Transitional Supervision.

Chart 1B.2 and Table 1B.2: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision

Technical Violation: Failure to abide by rules or conditions as part of release in the program (e.g., failure to meet with community supervision officers, violating curfew, contacting crime victim, carrying a weapon, etc.).

Criminal: Being arrested for committing a new criminal offense while in the community.

Escape: Leaving a halfway house without permission (running away).

Abscond: Failure to report to community supervision for an extended period of time (running away).

Other: Miscellaneous reasons that do not fall into any of the above categories.

Counting Rules: Number of individuals readmitted to prison. The count only consists of the most serious violation (criminal, technical violation, escape, abscond, other).

Chart 2 and Table 2: DOC Releases/Discharges by Type

End of Sentence: Sentenced offenders who complete their sentence and are no longer in the custody of the Connecticut DOC.

Counting Rules: Number of sentenced offenders who completed their sentence and left DOC supervision. This includes inmates and individuals in DOC community programs.

Transfer Parole and Special Parole counted in Parole. Transitional Placement counted in Furlough.

Chart 3A: Parole Approval (Number Granted), Chart 3B and Table 3B: Parole Approval (Granting) Rate

Full Panel Hearings: An official parole board hearing that consists of three Board of Parole members. The Board of Parole members review the inmates' case file and discuss the possibility of parole with the inmate. After which, they vote on whether the inmate should be granted parole.

Administrative Reviews: A less formal process that is often used for less serious offenders. A hearing officer interviews the offender and makes a recommendation to the Board of Parole. The Board of Parole members vote on whether the inmate should be granted parole.

Counting Rules: The granting rate was calculated by dividing the number of paroles granted by the total number of parole hearings.

Chart 4A: Monthly Probation Sentences

Counting Rules: Number of clients who had Adult Probation Supervision cases starting in the month.

Chart 4B and Table 4B: Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced and Probationer Community Placements

Community-Based Services: Alternative to Incarceration programs for less serious offenders. These programs provide a variety of services including day reporting, substance abuse services, full time education components, vocational assistance, counseling, supervision and extensive community service.

Outpatient: Alternative to Incarceration programs where probationers are required to report at specific times to receive program services including: Intake, assessment for risk and need, case management, substance abuse assessment, group interventions (employment, cognitive skills, substance abuse), community service restitution – CSLP and DEP; pre trial urinalysis testing; referral to community resources, including education and job development.

Inpatient/Residential: Alternative to Incarceration programs where probationers stay for a pre-determined period and receive a variety of services such as work release supervision, substance abuse treatment, educational services, life skills training, job development, family counseling, and intensive case management.

Counting Rules: Number of clients who were added to this program model per month. In November of 2006 a database change occurred. Data prior to November 2006 included some reporting inconsistencies which were corrected with the new database.

Chart 5A: Monthly Prison Population

Counting Rules: Number of inmates in the custody of DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.

Chart 5C and Table 5C: Snapshot of Prison Population by Age

Counting Rules: Number of inmates by age grouping in the custody of DOC facilities on the given day.

Chart 5B and Table 5B: Snapshot of Prison Population by Race and Gender

Counting Rules: Number of inmates by racial and gender groupings in the custody of DOC facilities on the given day.

Chart 6A: Connecticut Prison Population

Total Facility: Number of inmates in all of DOC facilities.

Supervised Home Release: A DOC community program that was discontinued in 1995.

Counting Rules: Number of individuals in each category on January 1st of the given year.

Chart 6B: Prison Population Over the Past 12 Months with 6 Months Straight-line Forecasting

Actual Population: Number of inmates in all DOC facilities.

Counting Rules: Number of inmates in the custody of DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.

Projected Population: Estimated number of inmates in DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.


Counting Rules: The projected population was calculated by multiplying the last month of available actual population data by monthly changes in the prison population for the past five years.

APPENDIX III – Historical Perspective

Chart 1: Connecticut Criminal Justice System: Admissions & Discharges

Connecticut Criminal Justice System: Admissions & Discharges

January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005



Data Sources: Arrest-Judicial/Court Operations; Court-Judicial/CSSD; Prison-Department of Correction
 *NOTE: Non-comparable value represents cases, not clients. There may be multiple cases per client.

Chart 1A and Table 1A: DOC Admissions by Type




TABLE1A

DOC Admissions by Type					
January 2005 to December 2005					
	Accused	New Sentence	Community Returns	Federal/ Other	Total
JAN	1,773	701	226	202	2,902
FEB	1,718	414	210	190	2,532
MAR	1,880	466	261	192	2,799
APR	1,860	460	228	191	2,739
MAY	1,994	416	255	163	2,828
JUN	2,044	418	220	159	2,841
JULY	2,117	423	272	136	2,948
AUG	2,472	432	265	170	3,339
SEP	2,155	434	251	178	3,018
OCT	1,909	402	230	151	2,692
NOV	1,875	414	224	134	2,647
DEC	1,847	249	198	131	2,425
Total	23,644	5,229	2,840	1,997	33,710
% Total	70%	16%	8%	6%	

Chart 1B.1 and Table 1B.1: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision




TABLE1B.1

Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community					
January 2005 to December 2005					
	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
JAN	118	47	22	39	226
FEB	123	43	16	28	210
MAR	158	41	28	34	261
APR	113	53	21	41	228
MAY	153	42	22	38	255
JUN	124	43	20	33	220
JULY	140	65	32	35	272
AUG	161	48	22	34	265
SEP	153	47	19	32	251
OCT	126	59	13	32	230
NOV	131	42	21	30	224
DEC	112	35	23	28	198
Total	1,612	565	259	404	2,840
% Total	57%	20%	9%	14%	

Chart 1B.2 and Table 1B.2: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision




TABLE 1B.2
Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision

December 2005

	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total	% Total
Tech Vio	51	25	11	14	101	40%
Criminal	47	8	4	6	65	26%
Escape	0	2	7	2	11	4%
Abscond	14	0	1	1	16	6%
Other	0	0	0	5	5	2%
Total	112	35	23	28	198	
% Total	57%	18%	12%	14%		

Chart 1B.3 and Table 1B.3: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision with Technical Violations




TABLE 1B.3
Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision with Technical Violations

January 2005 to December 2005

	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
JAN	54	26	13	19	112
FEB	57	24	7	18	106
MAR	75	27	16	15	133
APR	60	30	10	22	122
MAY	58	18	9	22	107
JUN	62	25	7	19	113
JULY	70	33	16	19	138
AUG	61	22	10	17	110
SEP	75	28	12	12	127
OCT	65	29	7	16	117
NOV	60	26	10	20	116
DEC	51	25	11	14	101
Total	748	313	128	213	1,402
% Total	53%	22%	9%	15%	

Chart 2 and Table 2: DOC Releases/Discharges by Type





TABLE 2
DOC Releases/Discharges by Type


January 2005 to December 2005

	EOS	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
JAN	903	337	142	116	144	1,642
FEB	917	248	171	163	157	1,656
MAR	1,028	308	205	166	172	1,879
APR	983	285	282	150	149	1,849
MAY	980	244	221	149	176	1,770
JUN	961	250	203	162	196	1,772
JULY	1,040	241	200	141	151	1,773
AUG	987	248	207	158	205	1,805
SEP	1,075	244	188	157	171	1,835
OCT	964	235	216	149	162	1,726
NOV	1,030	223	186	159	178	1,776
DEC	1,033	181	177	176	227	1,794
Total	11,901	3,044	2,398	1,846	2,088	21,277
% Total	56%					
Non EOS		32%	26%	20%	22%	9,376

**Chart 3A: Parole Approval (Number Granted),
Chart 3B and Table 3B: Parole Approval (Granting)
Rate**



Data Source: Board of Pardons and Paroles




Data Source: Board of Pardons and Paroles

**TABLE 3
Parole Approval (Granting) Rate**


	Full Panel Hearings*		Administrative Reviews**		Total Granted
	Number Granted	Granting Rate	Number Granted	Granting Rate	
JAN	82	88%	190	84%	272
FEB	74	84%	152	84%	226
MAR	63	82%	208	88%	271
APR	104	86%	174	85%	278
MAY	104	87%	187	86%	291
JUN	61	85%	137	85%	198
JUL	83	87%	153	81%	236
AUG	66	84%	133	84%	199
SEP	117	83%	141	78%	258
OCT	83	81%	166	86%	249
NOV	76	80%	160	85%	236
DEC	86	83%	130	80%	216
Total	999		1,931		2,930
Average		84%		84%	

Chart 4A: Monthly Probation Sentences



Data Source: Judicial/CSSD

Chart 4B and Table 4B: Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced and Probationer Community Placements



Data Source: Judicial/CSSD

Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced and Probationer Community Placements

	January 2005 to December 2005			
	Community-Based	Outpatient	Inpatient/Residential	Total
JAN	891	361	54	1,306
FEB	257	182	28	467
MAR	792	274	15	1,081
APR	262	188	17	467
MAY	276	285	30	591
JUN	635	341	31	1,007
JUL	709	377	31	1,117
AUG	707	422	28	1,157
SEP	592	402	31	1,025
OCT	563	442	50	1,055
NOV	464	445	29	938
DEC	350	404	36	790
Total	6,498	4,123	380	11,001
% Total	59%	37%	3%	