



# Criminal Justice Policy & Planning Division

March 2008

## Current Correctional Population Indicators Monthly Report

### Contents

Connecticut Criminal Justice System Overview.....2  
 Types of Connecticut Community Supervision .....3  
 Department of Correction Admissions .....4  
 Department Of Correction Releases.....6  
 Granting of Parole.....7  
 Probation and Referrals to CSSD .....8  
 Current Prison Population.....9  
 Six Month Forecast of the Prison Population .....10  
 APPENDIX I – Agency Descriptions .....11  
 APPENDIX II – Glossary & Counting Rules.....12

### Forecast/Research Work Group

This report has been generated by the co-facilitation of the Office of Policy and Management and the Connecticut Statistical Analysis Center at Central Connecticut State University, and in collaboration with the Forecast/Research Work Group Members:

#### Office of Policy and Management (OPM)

Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division  
**Brian Austin, Jr.**, Under Secretary  
**John E. Forbes**, Assistant Director  
**Linda D. DeConti, M.Sc.**, Research Manager  
**Allyse A. Chin, M.S.W.**, Assistant Manager

#### Central Connecticut State University (CCSU)

**Stephen M. Cox, Ph.D.**, SAC Director  
**Lyndsay Ruffolo**, Research Specialist

#### State of Connecticut Judicial Branch

Court Operations  
**Judith P. Lee, Esq.**, Caseflow Mgmt. Specialist

Court Support Services Division (CSSD)  
Center for Research & Quality Improvement  
**Brian Hill**, Manager  
**Susan C. Glass**, Program Manager

#### Department of Correction (DOC)

Offender Classification & Population Management  
**Frederick J. Levesque**, Director  
MIS/Research Unit  
**Jody Barry**, Research Analyst

#### Board of Pardons and Paroles (BOPP)

**Richard Sparaco**, Parole & CS Manager  
**Jerry Stowell, Ph.D.**, Consultant

#### Department of Public Safety (DPS)

Division of State Police, Crime Analysis Unit  
**Lois A. Desmarais**, Planning Specialist  
**Gary Lopez**, Planning Specialist

#### Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services

**Alfred Bidorini**, Director of Planning

### Executive Summary

- On March 1, 2008, the total prison population was 19,730 offenders, which is a 3.8% increase over March 1, 2007 (19,010).
- Community returns to DOC custody decreased in February (181) and is now the lowest number of returns for this twelve month period.
- DOC releases to community supervision remained fairly stable from January (681) to February (677), and non-end of sentence (non-EOS) releases continue to represent approximately 43% of total releases for this 12 month period.
- End of sentence releases are approximately 57% of total releases for this 12 month period.
- For the fifth consecutive month, there were no full panel parole hearings and a 0% granting rate. For the month of February there were no administrative reviews done and a 0% granting rate.
- For the seven months this 12-month period during which hearings were held, the granting rate for full panel hearings averaged 88%, and 80% for 11 months of administrative reviews.
- Total probation referrals increased by 34% since last month; referrals to outpatient services (807) was 48% higher, and referrals to inpatient/ residential treatment programs (41) was 28% higher than last month.
- The six-month forecast projects that the prison population will decrease by approximately 3.2% from March to September 2008, and that the September 2008 prison population will be 19,127 offenders.


This projection is based on the following facts: (1) the total DOC supervised population has remained stable (2) the unsentenced/accused population has declined, and (3) PA 08-1 has provided funding for additional re-entry/diversionary beds. This projection is also based on: (1) the expectation that transitional supervision placements will increase and (2) that parole supervision placements should return to pre-July 2007 levels.

Historical perspectives, supportive data and additional analysis pertaining to these statutorily required correctional population indicators will be available at [www.ct.gov/opm/criminaljustice/research](http://www.ct.gov/opm/criminaljustice/research).

## Connecticut Criminal Justice System Overview

**CHART 1 – Connecticut Criminal Justice System: Admissions & Releases**

March 1, 2007 to February 29, 2008



The flowchart above is a summary of the Connecticut Criminal Justice System for the period March 1, 2007 to February 29, 2008. Unlike other states that have county governments, the majority of agencies within the criminal justice system are State agencies. The one exception is law enforcement, where there are over 90 local police departments and the Connecticut State Police.

**Total Offender Flow Year to Date:** For the 12 month period from March 2007 to February 2008 (see CHART 1):

- **Criminal Arrests:** A total of 114,403 criminal arrests were made
- **Pre-Trial Diversion:** 29,331 cases resulted in no detainment and placement in a CSSD Pre-Trial Diversion program
- **Sentenced to Probation:** 28,332 convicted offenders were sentenced to probation

**Total Offenders under Supervision:**

**On a daily basis, the total Average Daily Count (ADC)** of offenders under CSSD and DOC supervision is 97,567 offenders (see CHART 1):

- **CSSD Pre-trial arrestees and probationers:** On average 56,934 offenders on sentenced supervised probation and 16,990 pre-trial/diverted offenders are supervised by CSSD on a daily basis
- **DOC Accused and Sentenced:** On average 19,160 incarcerated offenders and 4,483 offenders in the community are supervised by DOC on a daily basis

**For the 12 month period from March 2007 to**

**February 2008:** A total of 34,318 people were admitted to DOC

- **Accused/Un-sentenced:** 24,033 arrestees or 70% of DOC admissions were detained and placed in prison prior to their trial
- **New Sentences:** 4,994 convicted offenders or 14.6% of DOC admissions were new sentences to prison
- **Community Returns:** 3,349 offenders or 9.8% of admissions were from DOC community programs
- **Federal/Other:** 1,942 offenders or 5.7% of DOC admissions were pre-trial or sentenced Federal detainees being housed in a Connecticut DOC facility

**For the 12 month period from March 2007 to**

**February 2008:** A total of 21,150 people were released from DOC custody or discharged to DOC Community Supervision

- **End of Sentence:** 12,003 offenders or 57% reached their end of sentence (EOS) and were released from DOC custody
- **DOC Community Supervision:** 9,147 offenders or 43% were discharged to DOC community supervision

## Types of Connecticut Community Supervision

Table 1 below shows the various types of Connecticut Community Supervision.


**TABLE 1 – Types of Connecticut Community Supervision**

Who decides if released to community?	Types of Release	Eligibility	Supervised By
Department of Correction (DOC)	<b>Transitional Supervision (TS)</b>	A prison sentence of 2 years or less	DOC Parole Officers
	<b>Halfway House (HWH)</b>	18 months prior to end of sentence or parole release	DOC Parole Officers
	<b>Furlough</b>	30 days from end of sentence or parole release	DOC Parole Officers
	<b>Transitional Placement</b>	Placement in an approved community or private residence after satisfactory performance in a residential program	DOC Parole Officers
Board of Pardons and Parole (BOPP)	<b>Parole</b>	A prison sentence of more than 2 years	DOC Parole Officers
	<b>Transfer Parole</b>	Up to 18 months in advance of voted to parole date	DOC Parole Officers
	<b>Special Parole</b>	Court imposed period of parole following expiration of maximum term(s) of imprisonment (Mandatory)	DOC Parole Officers
Judicial Branch's Court Support Services Division (CSSD) / Courts	<b>Probation</b>	A defendant found guilty of a crime is released by the court without imprisonment subject to conditions of supervision imposed by the court (e.g. such as paying a fine, doing community service or attending a drug treatment program)	CSSD Probation Officers
	<b>Split Sentence Probation</b>	Court imposed period of post-sentence supervision subject to conditions imposed by the court.	CSSD Probation Officers

## Department of Correction Admissions

**(1A) Total Admissions to prison directly from Courts for the 12 month period March 2007 to February 2008:** A total of **34,318** offenders were admitted to prison directly from courts (see CHART 1A and TABLE 1A)

**CHART 1A – Monthly DOC Admissions by Type**  
March 2007 to February 2008



Data Source: Department of Correction

- **Accused offenders awaiting trial: 24,033** accused offenders or **70.0%** of new admissions were awaiting trial, also known as the pre-trial population
- **New sentence: 4,994** offenders or **14.6%** of admissions were new sentence admissions

**TABLE 1A – Monthly DOC Admissions by Type**  
March 2007 to February 2008

	Accused	New Sentence	Community Returns	Federal/ Other	Total
MAR	2,018	471	265	194	2,948
APR	1,969	409	257	187	2,822
MAY	2,038	416	300	202	2,956
JUN	2,019	354	321	157	2,851
JUL	2,108	385	307	167	2,967
AUG	2,426	413	364	161	3,364
SEP	1,919	367	395	157	2,838
OCT	2,252	449	323	162	3,186
NOV	1,825	414	219	137	2,595
DEC	1,635	221	186	129	2,171
JAN	2,164	664	231	176	3,235
FEB	1,660	431	181	113	2,385
<b>Total</b>	<b>24,033</b>	<b>4,994</b>	<b>3,349</b>	<b>1,942</b>	<b>34,318</b>
<b>Avg</b>	<b>2,003</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>2,860</b>
<b>% Total</b>	<b>70.0%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	

Data Notes: Data reflects counts from March 1, 2007 to February 29, 2008 and consists of counts of newly admitted offenders. Accused offenders who are sentenced to prison while already incarcerated are not included in this data. Offenders admitted prior to their conviction and subsequent to their prison sentence were previously counted under "Accused".

**(1B) Total Re-Admissions to Prison from DOC Community Supervision for the 12 month period March 2007 to February 2008:** A total of **3,349** or **9.8%** of offenders admitted to prison were re-admitted from DOC Community Supervision (see CHART 1B.1 and TABLE 1B.1)


- **Parole: 1,606** or **48.0%** of offenders re-admitted to prison from community supervision were on parole
- **Transitional Supervision (TS): 1,097** or **32.8%** of offenders re-admitted to prison from community supervision were on TS
- **Halfway House (HWH): 568** or **17.0%** of offenders re-admitted to prison from community supervision were from HWH
- **Re-Entry Furlough: 78** or **2.3%** of offenders re-admitted to prison from community supervision were on re-entry furlough

**TABLE 1B.1 – Monthly Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision**  
March 2007 to February 2008

	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
MAR	157	73	26	9	265
APR	123	79	48	7	257
MAY	146	98	47	9	300
JUN	154	103	51	13	321
JUL	150	98	51	8	307
AUG	182	128	45	9	364
SEP	192	126	69	8	395
OCT	155	94	69	5	323
NOV	97	70	48	4	219
DEC	68	82	33	3	186
JAN	107	85	36	3	231
FEB	75	61	45	0	181
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,606</b>	<b>1,097</b>	<b>568</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>3,349</b>
<b>Avg</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>279</b>
<b>% Total</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>32.8%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	

Data Note: Data reflects counts from March 1, 2007 to February 29, 2008. These percentages do not reflect rates of return.

**CHART 1B.1 – Monthly Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision**  
March 2007 to February 2008



Data Source: Department of Correction

**(1B) Total Re-Admissions to Prison from DOC Community Supervision for February 2008:** A total of **181** offenders were re-admitted to prison from DOC Community Supervision with violations (see TABLES 1B.2, 1B.3 and CHART 1B.2)


- **Technical Violations: 113 offenders or 62%** of re-admissions to prison from DOC Community Supervision were for technical violations
- **Criminal Violations: 34 offenders or 19%** of re-admissions to prison from DOC Community Supervision were for criminal violations

**TABLE 1B.2 – Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision by Type of Violations**  
February 2008

	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total	% Total
Tech Vio	42	46	25	0	113	62%
Criminal	20	13	1	0	34	19%
Escape	0	2	19	0	21	12%
Abscond	13	0	0	0	13	7%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>181</b>	
<b>% Total</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>0%</b>		

Data Note: Cannot Escape from Parole, any entries moved to Abscond.

**CHART 1B.2 – Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision by Type of Violations**  
February 2008



Data Source: Department of Correction

**TABLE 1B.3 – Monthly Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision with Technical Violations**  
March 2007 to February 2008

	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
MAR	81	39	15	0	135
APR	67	44	23	0	134
MAY	64	55	34	0	153
JUN	78	66	24	3	171
JUL	71	62	30	2	165
AUG	122	99	25	0	246
SEP	192	126	69	8	395
OCT	102	64	51	0	217
NOV	49	40	20	0	109
DEC	68	82	33	3	186
JAN	57	59	19	0	135
FEB	42	46	25	0	113
<b>Total</b>	<b>993</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2,159</b>
<b>Avg</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>% Total</b>	<b>46.0%</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	

**(1C) Total Re-Admissions to Prison because of Violations of Probation (VOP) for February 2008:** A total of **87** offenders were re-admitted to prison for violation of probation (see TABLE 1C)

**Probation Violations:** Of the **431** new sentence offenders admitted to DOC prisons in February 2008, **87** of them or **20%** were re-admitted to prison for violation of probation

**TABLE 1C – Sentenced Inmates with Violations of Probations (VOP)**

March 2007 to February 2008

	<=2 YR	> 2 YR	VOP Total	Total New Sentence	% of New Sentence
MAR	83	18	101	471	21%
APR	68	15	83	409	20%
MAY	76	17	93	416	22%
JUN	63	15	78	354	22%
JUL	76	18	94	385	24%
AUG	83	17	100	413	24%
SEP	58	13	71	367	19%
OCT	87	16	103	449	23%
NOV	75	20	95	414	23%
DEC	39	9	48	221	22%
JAN	126	29	155	664	23%
FEB	74	13	87	431	20%
<b>Total</b>	<b>908</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>1,108</b>	<b>4,994</b>	<b>22%</b>
<b>Avg</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>22%</b>

Data Notes: Due to its design, the DOC Management Information System can only provide the current month's count of probation violators. Also, it is not possible to determine how many of the probation violators were sentenced to prison for committing a new criminal offense or for technical violations of probation.

## Department Of Correction Releases

**(2) Total Releases from DOC for the 12 month period March 2007 to February 2008:** A total of **21,150** offenders were released from prison and from DOC Community Supervision (see CHARTS 2, 2A and TABLE 2)

- **Total End of Sentence (EOS):** 12,003 or 57% of offenders reached their end of sentence and were no longer under DOC custody/supervision
- **Total Non-EOS:** 9,147 or 43% of offenders were released to DOC community supervision

**Total Releases from DOC for February 2008:** A total of **1,617** offenders were released from prison and from DOC Community Supervision

- **Total End of Sentence (EOS):** 940 offenders reached their end of sentence and were no longer under DOC custody/supervision. Compared to the 12-month average, the number of offenders released at EOS decreased in February


**Total Offenders released to DOC Community Supervision after serving their period of incarceration for the 12 month period March 2007 to February 2008:** A total of **9,147** or **43%** of offenders were released to DOC Community Supervision

- **Parole:** 2,215 or 24% of offenders released to community supervision went on parole
- **Transitional Supervision (TS):** 2,809 or 31% of offenders released to community supervision went on transitional supervision
- **Halfway Houses (HWH):** 1,927 or 21% of offenders released to community supervision went to halfway houses
- **Furlough:** 2,196 or 24% of offenders released to community supervision went on furlough

**Total Offenders released in February 2008 to DOC Community Supervision after serving their period of incarceration:** A total of **677** offenders were released to DOC community supervision:


- **Parole:** 187 offenders were released to parole. Compared to the 12-month average, the number of offenders released on parole increased by 1% in February
- **Transitional Supervision (TS):** 335 offenders were released to transitional supervision. Compared to the 12-month average, the number of offenders released on TS increased by 43% in February
- **Halfway Houses (HWH):** 155 offenders were released to halfway houses. Compared to the 12-month average, the number of offenders released to HWH decreased by 3% in February
- **Furloughs:** No offenders were released on furlough in February

**CHART 2 – Monthly DOC Releases by Type**  
March 2007 to February 2008



Data Source: Department of Correction

**CHART 2A –DOC Releases by EOS/Non-EOS**  
March 2007 to February 2008



Data Source: Department of Correction

Data Note: Non-EOS = Parole + TS + HWH + Furlough.

**TABLE 2 – Monthly DOC Releases by Type**  
March 2007 to February 2008

	EOS	Parole	TS	HWH	Furlough	Total
MAR	995	224	262	185	288	1,954
APR	993	223	245	202	278	1,941
MAY	1,085	234	254	168	244	1,985
JUN	1,032	230	265	180	215	1,922
JUL	976	273	283	190	260	1,982
AUG	1,083	222	227	174	208	1,914
SEP	907	160	167	158	149	1,541
OCT	978	54	184	120	129	1,465
NOV	1,105	115	202	127	137	1,686
DEC	944	118	171	107	157	1,497
JAN	965	175	214	161	131	1,646
FEB	940	187	335	155	0	1,617
<b>Total</b>	<b>12,003</b>	<b>2,215</b>	<b>2,809</b>	<b>1,927</b>	<b>2,196</b>	<b>21,150</b>
<b>Avg</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>1,763</b>
<b>% Total</b>	<b>57%</b>					
<b>Non EOS</b>		<b>24%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>9,147</b>

Data Note: Data reflects counts from March 1, 2007 to February 29, 2008. Transfer Parole and Special Parole counted in Parole. Transitional Placement counted in Furlough.

## Granting of Parole


**(3) Total Numbers and Parole Granting Rate for the 12 month period March 2007 to February 2008:** A total of **2,144** paroles were granted by full panel hearings and administrative reviews (see CHART 3A, TABLE 3)

*Public Act 08-1 now requires that no hearing to grant parole be held "...unless the chairperson of the board has made reasonable efforts to determine the existence of and obtain all information deemed pertinent to the panel's decision and has certified that all such pertinent information determined to exist has been obtained or is unavailable."*


**Parole Granting Rate for February 2008:** During February 2008 there were **no parole hearings or paroles granted** by either the full panel or by administrative review. So, the granting rate for paroles in February is **0%**.

The averages for the parole granting rates have been adjusted to represent only those months that had full panel hearings or administrative reviews; so the parole granting rates are **88%** for full panel hearing and **80%** for administrative reviews (see CHART 3B, TABLE 3).

**CHART 3A – Monthly Paroles Granted**  
March 2007 to February 2008



**CHART 3B – Monthly Parole Granting Rate**  
March 2007 to February 2008



**TABLE 3 – Monthly Parole Granting Rate**  
March 2007 to February 2008

	Full Panel Hearings		Administrative Reviews		Total Granted
	Number Granted	Granting Rate	Number Granted	Granting Rate	
MAR	91	85%	157	88%	248
APR	106	91%	182	79%	288
MAY	131	94%	205	91%	336
JUN	75	82%	151	78%	226
JUL	169	93%	164	81%	333
AUG	46	82%	31	89%	77
SEP	70	89%	66	69%	136
OCT	0	*	94	66%	94
NOV	0	*	110	82%	110
DEC	0	*	160	82%	160
JAN	0	*	136	80%	136
FEB	0	*	0	**	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>688</b>		<b>1,456</b>		<b>2,144</b>
<b>Avg</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>195</b>


\*From October 2007 to February 2008, there were no paroles granted or denied by the full board.

\*\*For February 2008 there were no paroles granted or denied by administrative review.

## Probation and Referrals to CSSD


**(4) Total number of sentenced offenders placed on probation for the 12 month period March 2007 to February 2008:** A total of **28,332** offenders were placed on probation (see CHART 4A)

**CHART 4A – Monthly Probation Sentences**  
March 2007 to February 2008



Data Source: Judicial/CSSD

**CHART4B – Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced & Probationer Community Placements**  
February 2007 to January 2008



*Data Notes: February 2008 data was not available at the time of this report. These numbers are merely estimates based on data available at the time of this report. Subsequent reports of actual figures may not agree.*

**Total CSSD Community Placements for the 12 month period February 2007 to January 2008:** A total of **23,266** offenders were sentenced to or referred by a probation officer to community supervision (see TABLE 4B & CHART 4B)

- **Community-based services:** 15,017 or 65% of offenders were placed in community-based services
- **Outpatient services:** 7,717 or 33% of offenders were referred to outpatient programs

**TABLE 4B – Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced & Probationer Community Placements**  
February 2007 to January 2008


	Community-Based	Outpatient	Inpatient/Residential	Total
FEB	1,081	596	44	1,721
MAR	1,257	693	42	1,992
APR	1,139	661	45	1,845
MAY	1,267	664	47	1,978
JUN	1,083	603	67	1,753
JUL	1,278	501	47	1,826
AUG	1,515	606	26	2,147
SEPT	1,397	651	43	2,091
OCT	1,493	780	53	2,326
NOV	1,209	611	45	1,865
DEC	1,014	544	32	1,590
JAN	1,284	807	41	2,132
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,017</b>	<b>7,717</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>23,266</b>
<b>Avg</b>	<b>1,251</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1,939</b>
<b>% Total</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>2%</b>	

*Data Notes: Data reflects counts from February 1, 2007 to January 31, 2008. These numbers are merely estimates based on data available at the time of this report. Subsequent reports of actual figures may not agree.*

## Current Prison Population

(5) The total prison population: On March 1, 2008 the total prison population was **19,730** offenders (see CHART 5A)

**CHART 5A – Monthly Prison Population**  
March 2007 to March 2008



Data Source: Department of Correction

**Snapshot of total Prison Population by Age, Race/Ethnicity and Gender on March 1, 2008** (see CHARTS 5B, 5C and TABLES 5B, 5C)

**AGE:**

- **Age 22 to 29:** the largest segment of the total prison population continues to be between 22 and 29 years old with **5,978** offenders or **30.3%**
- **Age 30 to 39:** **5,625** or **28.5%** of the total prison population are between 30 and 39 years old
- **Age 17 and under:** **359** or **1.8%** of the total prison population are age 17 and under; **15** offenders are younger than 16 years old


**RACE/ETHNICITY:**

- **African American:** **8,451** offenders or **43%** of the total prison population are African-Americans
- **White:** **5,888** offenders or **30%** of the total prison population are White
- **Hispanic:** **5,254** or **27%** of the total prison population are Hispanic

**GENDER:**

- **Males:** **18,385** offenders or **93%** of the total prison population are males
- **Females:** **1,345** offenders or **7%** of the total prison population are females


**CHART 5B – Snapshot of Prison Population by Age**  
March 1, 2008



**TABLE 5B – Snapshot of Prison Population by Age**  
March 1, 2008

Under 16	16-17	18-21	22-29	30-39	Over 40	Total
15	344	2,123	5,978	5,625	5,645	19,730
0.1%	1.7%	10.8%	30.3%	28.5%	28.6%	

**CHART 5C – Snapshot of Prison Population By Race and Gender**  
March 1, 2008



Data Source: Department of Correction

**TABLE 5C – Snapshot of Prison Population By Race and Gender**  
March 1, 2008


	African-American	White	Hispanic	Other	Total	% Total
Females	449	598	283	15	1,345	7%
	33%	44%	21%	1%		
Males	8,002	5,290	4,971	122	18,385	93%
	44%	29%	27%	1%		
Total	8,451	5,888	5,254	137	19,730	
% Total	43%	30%	27%	1%		

## Six Month Forecast of the Prison Population

### (6) Connecticut Prison Population Trends (See CHART 6A)

- From January 1, 1988 through March 1, 2008: The prison population has increased by **185%** over the past 20 years
- The prison population has **increased by 3.8%** from March 1, 2007 (19,010) to March 1, 2008 (19,730)

**CHART 6A – Connecticut Prison Population**  
January 1988 to March 2008




### Connecticut Prison Population Forecast

This projection is based on the following facts: (1) the total DOC supervised population has remained stable (2) the unsentenced/accused population has declined, and (3) PA 08-1 has provided funding for additional re-entry/diversionary beds. This projection is also based on: (1) the expectation that transitional supervision placements will increase and (2) that parole supervision placements should return to pre-July 2007 levels. (See CHART 6B)

#### The six month forecast projects that:

- The prison population will decrease by approximately 3.2% from March 2008 to September 2008
- The September 2008 prison population will be approximately 19,127 offenders

**CHART 6B – Actual and Projected Monthly DOC Facility Population**  
January 2007 to September 2008



**TABLE 6C - Connecticut Total DOC Supervised Population Trends for the Ten Year Period: 1999 to 2008**

	Year	Parole	% Chg	TS	% Chg	HWH	% Chg	Furlough	% Chg	Total Com. Supv.	% Chg	Total Facility	% Chg	Total DOC Supv. Pop.	% Chg
1	1999	1,099	5%	896	-23%	712	21%	34	-3%	2,741	-3%	16,104	4%	18,845	2%
2	2000	1,381	26%	717	-20%	749	5%	25	-26%	2,872	5%	17,305	7%	20,177	7%
3	2001	1,722	25%	633	-12%	738	-1%	27	8%	3,120	9%	17,137	-1%	20,257	0%
4	2002	2,019	17%	705	11%	735	0%	26	-4%	3,485	12%	17,997	5%	21,482	6%
5	2003	2,199	9%	1,012	44%	759	3%	44	69%	4,014	15%	19,216	7%	23,230	8%
6	2004	2,343	7%	1,060	5%	680	-10%	47	7%	4,130	3%	18,522	-4%	22,652	-2%
7	2005	2,552	9%	1,005	-5%	798	17%	137	191%	4,492	9%	18,001	-3%	22,493	-1%
8	2006	2,571	1%	863	-14%	1,048	31%	139	1%	4,621	3%	17,928	0%	22,549	0%
9	2007	2,567	0%	984	14%	1,022	-2%	197	42%	4,770	3%	18,902	5%	23,672	5%
10	2008	1,981	-23%	871	-11%	1,086	6%	97	-51%	4,035	-15%	19,438	3%	23,473	-1%
	<b>Avg</b>	<b>2,043</b>		<b>875</b>		<b>833</b>		<b>77</b>		<b>3,828</b>		<b>18,055</b>		<b>21,883</b>	
	Total % Growth for 10year period		80.3%	-2.8%		52.5%		185.3%		47.2%		20.7%		24.6%	

Data Note: The yearly figures above represent the DOC population counts as of the first day of January each year.

**Judicial Branch’s Court Support Services Division**

The Court Support Services Division (CSSD) was established within Connecticut’s Judicial Branch, in February 1999. It was formed to respond to the changing needs of judges, attorneys, litigants, defendants, and communities, while providing on-going public safety. William H. Carbone is the Executive Director.

The CSSD combines the resources and expertise of the former: Office of the Bail Commissioner, Family Services Division, Juvenile Detention Services, Office of Juvenile Probation, Office of Adult Probation, and the Office of Alternative Sanctions. The merger created a single entity with staff representation and services in each Judicial District. Judges now have all available pre-trial services, family services, offender sentencing and supervision options for adults and juveniles, as well as juvenile detention services, coordinated through the single CSSD division and managed from a central office.

The main court support functions for adults and juveniles includes: Intake/Assessment/Referral (IAR) - a single, comprehensive pre-trial evaluation and referral process; Supervision - a unit that focuses only on effective supervision of clients involved with the court system; and Administration - an alternative sanctions continuum of treatment and support services for pre-trial and sentenced adults and juveniles purchased under contract by CSSD with community based providers comprising a statewide network that serves each judicial district. The CSSD also incorporates Family Civil Services and Family Criminal Court Services.

For more information on CSSD please visit their web site at [www.jud.ct.gov/CSSD](http://www.jud.ct.gov/CSSD).

**Department of Correction (DOC)**

The Commissioner of the Department of Correction, Theresa C. Lantz, by direction of the courts, confines and controls approximately 18,600 accused and sentenced offenders in 14 correctional institutions, 4 correctional centers and various units; and by statute administers medical, mental health, rehabilitative, and community based service programs. DOC mission is to protect the public and staff while ensuring the secure, safe and humane supervision of offenders with opportunities that support successful community reintegration. The DOC’s *Division of Parole and Community Services* represents the consolidated community supervision and enforcement functions of the Department of Correction and the Board of Pardons and Paroles, which were combined in the fall of 2004 at the direction of the General Assembly.

For more information on the Department of Correction please visit their web site at [www.ct.gov/doc](http://www.ct.gov/doc).

**Board of Pardons and Paroles (BOPP)**

The Connecticut Board of Pardons and Paroles, under the direction of Robert Farr, Chairman, is committed to protecting the public by making responsible panel and staff decisions regarding when and under what circumstances eligible persons may be granted a Pardon or Parole. BOPP is an autonomous panel with administrative support provided by the Department of Correction. The Board possesses discretionary authority to grant pardons for criminal convictions and to place appropriate offenders in the community under parole supervision as a means of supporting their successful reintegration into law abiding society. A full-time Victim Services Coordinator is responsible for assisting those victims of crimes who choose to participate in the decision-making processes of the Board.

For more information on the Board of Pardons and Paroles please visit the DOC web site at [www.ct.gov/doc](http://www.ct.gov/doc) and click on “Board of Pardons and Paroles.”

**Chart 1: Connecticut Criminal Justice System: Admissions & Discharges**

Total Arrests: The number of occurrences for which individuals were taken into police custody for a violation of the Connecticut Motor Vehicle and Criminal Statutes.

*Counting Rule:* The number of different occurrences. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions would be counted three times.

Criminal Arrests: The number of occurrences for which individuals were taken into police custody for a violation of the Connecticut Criminal Statutes.

*Counting Rule:* The number of different occurrences. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions would be counted three times.

Total DOC Admissions: The number of individuals admitted into Connecticut Department of Correction facilities.

*Counting Rule:* The number of individuals admitted to any Department of Correction facility from January 1<sup>st</sup> of the current year to the last day of the monthly reporting period. Individuals who are admitted, released, and re-admitted from DOC custody are counted each time they are admitted.

Pre-Trial Detention Accused/Unsentenced: Arrested individuals who cannot pay the bond amount are held in jail prior to their trial.

*Counting Rule:* Number of arrestees in jail from January 1st to the last month of the reporting period. This number represents the number of different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions and placed in jail prior to trial would be counted three times.

New Sentenced: Convicted offenders who were admitted to a DOC facility only after being convicted and sentenced to prison.

*Counting Rule:* The number of convicted offenders admitted to a DOC facility. This number does not include offenders who were in jail/prison during the pre-trial process and were subsequently convicted and sentenced to jail.

Federal/Other: Offenders who were pre-trial or sentenced Federal detainees and being housed in a Connecticut DOC facility.

*Counting Rule:* The number of Federal offenders in Connecticut DOC facilities.

Community Returns: Offenders who have been released to a DOC community program but were returned to prison for not fulfilling the conditions of the release or for committing a new offense.

*Counting Rule:* The number of offenders released to a community program and returned to prison from January 1<sup>st</sup> to the end of the monthly reporting period.

Fixed Beds: The total number of permanent jail and prison beds within DOC facilities.

Average Daily Count (ADC):

*Counting Rule:* An average of the daily count.

Arraignment: The pre-trial stage of the court process where arrested individuals hear the formal charges against them, are allowed to enter a plea, and where the judge sets the bond amount to determine whether they will be released from jail prior to their trial.

Judicial/CSSD Bail Supervision and Pre-Trial Diversion: Arrestees can be released from jail prior to their trial under specific conditions (e.g., drug treatment/education, mental health assessment and treatment, community service, conditional release etc). These individuals are supervised by CSSD pre-trial staff.

*Counting Rule:* The number of cases with a pre-trial condition or cases referred to a pre-trial diversion program that were being supervised by CSSD pre-trial staff. This number represents different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were under pre-trial supervision on three separate occasions and would be counted three times.

Release on Recognizance: The court releases the defendant on a signed agreement that he or she will appear in court as required. This category also includes citation releases in which arrestees are released pending their first court appearance on a written order issued by law enforcement or pre-trial staff. This type of release is also known as a Written Promise to Appear.

Trial Court Adjudication: The trial stage of the court process where a verdict is made regarding an accused individual's guilt.

Judicial/CSSD Sentenced Supervision Probation: A court sentence where convicted offenders are supervised in the community rather than placed in prison. Requires offenders to abide by certain rules and conditions set by the judge and probation officer.

*Counting Rules:* Number of convicted offenders given a probation sentence from January 1st to the end of the monthly reporting period.

Nolled: A disposition of a criminal or motor vehicle case where the prosecutor agrees to drop the case against the defendant but keeps the right to reopen the case and prosecute at any time during the next thirteen months. The nolle is entered on the court record and the defendant, is released from custody. If the defendant stays out of trouble during the thirteen months, the case is removed from the official court records.

Dismissed: The decision made by prosecutors or judges to drop the charges brought against an accused individual.

Not Guilty: Judge or jury finding that the accused individual did not commit the crime for which charges were brought forward.

Guilty but Not Incarcerated: Accused individual is guilty of the charges, but the judicial sentence does not include jail or prison time.

Releases: Offenders who are released from DOC facilities.

DOC Community Supervision: DOC releases certain offenders to a variety of community programs prior to the end of their prison sentence. These programs primarily consist of parole, transitional supervision, halfway houses, and re-entry furloughs.

*Counting Rules:* Number of offenders released to a DOC community program between January 1<sup>st</sup> to the end of the monthly reporting period.

End of Sentence: Sentenced offenders who complete their sentence and are no longer in the custody of the Connecticut DOC.

*Counting Rules:* Number of sentenced offenders who completed their sentence and left DOC supervision. This includes offenders and individuals in DOC community programs.

Split Sentence Probation: A judicial sentence that requires convicted offenders to serve a set amount of time in DOC custody followed by a set amount of time on probation.

**Chart 1A and Table 1A: DOC Admissions by Type**

Accused: Arrested individuals who cannot pay the bond amount and are held in jail prior to their trial.

*Counting Rule:* Number of arrestees in jail by month. This number represents the number of different occurrences per arrestee. That is, individuals who were arrested on three separate occasions and placed in jail prior to trial would be counted three times.

New Sentence: Convicted offenders who were admitted to a DOC facility only after being convicted and sentenced to prison.

*Counting Rule:* The number of convicted offenders admitted to a DOC facility. This number does not include offenders who were in jail/prison during the pre-trial process and were subsequently convicted and sentenced to jail.

Federal/Other: Offenders who were pre-trial or sentence Federal detainees and being housed in a Connecticut DOC facility.

*Counting Rule:* The number of Federal offenders in Connecticut DOC facilities.

**Chart 1B.1 and Table 1B.1: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision**

Parole: Program available to certain offenders serving sentences of greater than two years. By statute, offenders convicted of non-violent crimes are eligible for parole after serving 50 percent of their sentence. Those offenders convicted of violent crimes must serve 85 percent of their sentence.

TS (Transitional Supervision): Eligible offenders must serve at least 50 percent of a sentence of two years or less. The facility Warden is the designated release authority and the DOC provides supervision and case management, through its Parole and Community Services Unit for offenders on TS status.

HWH (Halfway House): Utilized to provide assistance for those offenders who require greater support and supervision in the community. Offenders who are within eighteen months of release date or have been voted to parole may participate in these structured programs.

Re-Entry Furlough: The release of an offender to an approved residence for up to 30 days in the final portion of their sentence for the purpose of re-entry support into the community.

*Counting Rules:* The number of offenders placed in each program during each particular month. Parolees who were placed in Halfway Houses were counted only as parolees. The same rule was applied for individuals in Transitional Supervision.

**Chart 1B.2 and Table 1B.2: Prison Re-Admissions from DOC Community Supervision**

Technical Violation: Failure to abide by rules or conditions as part of release in the program (e.g., failure to meet with community supervision officers, violating curfew, contacting crime victim, carrying a weapon, etc.).

Criminal: Being arrested for committing a new criminal offense while in the community.

Escape: Leaving a halfway house without permission (running away).

Abscond: Failure to report to community supervision for an extended period of time (running away).

Other: Miscellaneous reasons that do not fall into any of the above categories.

*Counting Rules:* The number of individuals re-admitted to prison for various violations. The count only consists of the most serious violation (criminal, technical violation, escape, abscond, other).

**Table 1C: Prison Re-Admissions Sentenced Offenders with Violations of Probation (VOP)**

Violation of Probation: Failure to abide by rules or conditions as part of release in the program.

*Counting Rules:* The number of sentenced offenders (each offender counted once) with violation of probation in any docket, with latest admission date within the specified month, broken down by length of controlling sentence for two years or less or greater than 2 years.

**Chart 2 and Table 2: DOC Releases by Type**

End of Sentence: Sentenced offenders who complete their sentence and are no longer in the custody of the Connecticut DOC.

*Counting Rules:* The number of sentenced offenders who completed their sentence and left DOC supervision. This includes offenders and individuals in DOC community programs.

Transfer Parole and Special Parole are counted in Parole. Transitional Placement is counted in furlough.

**Chart 3A: Parole Approval (Number Granted), Chart 3B and Table 3B: Parole Approval (Granting) Rate**

Full Panel Hearings: An official parole board hearing that consists of three members of the Board of Pardons and Paroles. The Board of Pardons and Paroles members review the offenders' case file and discuss the possibility of parole with the offender. After which, they vote on whether the offender should be granted parole.

Administrative Reviews: A less formal process that is often used for less serious offenders. A hearing officer interviews the offender and makes a recommendation to the Board of Pardons and Paroles. The Board of Pardons and Paroles members vote on whether the offender should be granted parole.

*Counting Rules:* The granting rate was calculated by dividing the number of paroles granted by the total number of parole hearings.

**Chart 4A: Monthly Probation Sentences**

*Counting Rules:* Number of clients who had Adult Probation Supervision cases starting in the month.

**Chart 4B and Table 4B: Monthly CSSD Direct Sentenced and Probationer Community Placements**

Community-Based Services: Alternative to Incarceration programs for less serious offenders. These programs provide a variety of services including day reporting, substance abuse services, full time education components, vocational assistance, counseling, supervision and extensive community service.

Outpatient: Alternative to Incarceration programs where probationers are required to report at specific times to receive program services including: Substance abuse evaluation and treatment; Mental Health Evaluation and treatment; and Anger Management groups.

Inpatient/Residential: Alternative to Incarceration programs where probationers stay for a pre-determined period and receive a variety of services, such as work release supervision, substance abuse treatment, educational services, life skills training, job development, family counseling, and intensive case management.

*Counting Rules:* The number of clients who were added to this program model per month. In November of 2006 a database change occurred. Data prior to November 2006 included some reporting inconsistencies which were corrected with the new database.

**Chart 5A: Monthly Prison Population**

*Counting Rules:* The number of offenders in the custody of DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.

**Chart 5C and Table 5C: Snapshot of Prison Population by Age**

*Counting Rules:* The number of offenders by age grouping in the custody of DOC facilities on the given day.

**Chart 5B and Table 5B: Snapshot of Prison Population by Race and Gender**

*Counting Rules:* The number of offenders by racial and gender groupings in the custody of DOC facilities on the given day.

**Chart 6A: Connecticut Prison Population**

Total Facility: The number of offenders in all DOC facilities.

Supervised Home Release: A DOC community program that was discontinued in 1995.

*Counting Rules:* The number of individuals in each category on January 1<sup>st</sup> of the given year.

**Chart 6B: Prison Population Over the Past 12 Months with 6 Months Straight-line Forecasting**

Actual Population: The number of offenders in all DOC facilities.

*Counting Rules:* The number of offenders in the custody of DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.

Projected Population: The estimated number of offenders in DOC facilities on the first day of the given month.

*Counting Rules:* The projected population was calculated by multiplying the last month of available actual population data by monthly changes in the prison population for the past five years.