JULY 6, 2010 U.S. PUBLIC FINANCE



## **SPECIAL COMMENT**

# Governmental Pension Contributions May Increase Due to New Guidance

### **Table of Contents:**

RECOGNITION OF LIABILITY	2
DISCOUNT RATE	2
ACCELERATION OF EXPENSE	2
MOODY'S BELATED BESEABOL	4

### **Analyst Contacts:**

NEW YORK	1.212.553.1653
Wesley Smyth	1.212.553.2733
Vice President - Senior Accou	ınting Analyst
Wesley.Smyth@moodys.com	m
Gail Sussman	1.212.553.0819
Group Managing Director	
Gail.Sussman@moodys.com	١
Anne Van Praagh	1.212.553.3744
Vice President - Senior Credit	Officer
Anne.VanPraagh@moodys.c	com
Patrick Mispagel	1.212.553.4132

Vice President-Senior Credit Officer

Patrick.Mispagel@moodys.com

» contacts continued on the last page

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) recently issued Preliminary Views (PV) on *Pension Accounting and Financial Reporting By Employers*. The PV is part of the GASB's effort to improve accounting and financial reporting standards for postemployment benefits. If the PV is adopted as currently proposed, the three major differences will be: 1) the unfunded portion of an entity's pension obligation will be recognized in the financial statements, 2) the discount rate used to calculate the pension obligation will be lower for the portion of any plans which are expected to be unfunded, and 3) faster recognition of expenses caused by plan amendments and certain actuarial gains and losses.

The new GASB rules will allow entities to calculate funding requirements the same way as present whilst requiring different methods to calculate financial reporting expense. This should mean that even though liabilities and expenses will increase for reporting purposes, the Annual Required Contributions (ARC) should not. We believe that the new rules will more closely align public pensions' reported expense and obligation with economic reality. With new insight into the true cost and funded positions of state and local pension plans elected officials might choose to increase employer or employee contributions to boost funding levels. At the same time, it will improve transparency and comparability. Any increased pension funding pressure from GASB's rule revisions will be felt across the board, but the biggest impact would be for governments that are most out of line with what GASB is proposing.

## **Recognition of Liability**

Neither the total obligation for pensions nor the unfunded portion is reported as a liability in a government's financial statements under GASB's existing standards. Rather, a liability is reported if a government contributes less than the ARC calculated by actuaries (based on the requirements of the GASB's standards). The PV states that pension benefits are a form of compensation promised by governments to their employees in exchange for work performed. The pension plan is primarily responsible for the pension obligation to the extent that assets have been accumulated in the plan (by government and employee contributions and investment earnings) to finance the pension benefits; the government is secondarily responsible for this *funded* portion of the obligation. The PV further reasons that as the government is primarily responsible for the remaining *unfunded* portion of the obligation, an obligation should be recognized in the financial statements equal to the unfunded amount. Although the GASB approach is conceptually similar to current requirements governing the private sector, the PV mandates different methods for calculating the obligation.

## **Discount Rate**

One major change in how the pension obligation will be calculated is the discount rate that will be used to present value pension obligations. It is the GASB's view that a reasonable long-term expected rate of return on the plan's investments would continue to be the basis for discounting projected benefit payments to their present value, but only to the extent that the current and expected future plan net assets will be sufficient to cover the future benefit payments. Benefit payments that are expected to occur beyond the point at which expected plan assets are projected to be exhausted would be discounted to their present values using a high-quality municipal bond index rate.

This average discount rate will almost certainly be lower than is being currently used to present value plan obligations. A general rule of thumb is that a 100 basis point movement in the discount rate results in an inverse movement in the obligation of approximately 8-12%. For plans that are expected to be underfunded, this lower discount rate will result in large increases in the plans' underfunding, but only for financial reporting purposes.

# **Acceleration of Expense**

The size of a government's net pension liability changes from year to year for a variety of reasons:

- 1. Employees work and earn more benefits
- 2. The outstanding liability accrues interest
- Actual economic and demographic factors differ from what was assumed in the calculation of the pension liability
- 4. Changes are made in assumptions about economic and demographic factors
- 5. Changes in the terms of the pension plan affect benefits associated with employee services in past years
- The value of plan net assets changes.

MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE U.S. PUBLIC FINANCE

The PV states that each year, benefits earned by employees in exchange for their services and the interest on the beginning balance of the total liability would be reported as expenses. Likewise, the effects of changes in plan net assets other than investment earnings (for instance, contributions) would be incorporated into expenses when the changes occur.

However, governments may currently recognize changes resulting from Items 3–5 over a period of up to 30 years. Most governments would therefore recognize these costs as pension expenses sooner than they do at present. For instance, benefit changes affecting retirees and other persons no longer working for a government (and thus with no remaining service period) would be recognized as expense immediately.

The GASB makes clear that these proposals would be required only for accounting and financial reporting purposes. Governments would not have to change their annual pension contributions to match the change in expense reporting.

While the financial reporting should not change required contributions, we believe that by providing more transparent information on the true economic cost of providing pension benefits the changes outlined in the PV would shine a light on any differential between the economic cost of pensions and the amounts contributed. The clearer provision of this information should better inform state and local policymakers about the need to increase contributions or reduce benefits granted in order to achieve full funding of these obligations. While in the short term additional contributions may cause some pain, in the longer term well funded pension plans will reduce the fiscal and credit risk of the government sponsor.

# **Moody's Related Research**

## **Special Comments**

- » Employee Pension Costs Pressure State and Local Governments, November 2009 (120474)
- » Pension Funding May Suffer from 2008 Stock Market Declines, November 2008 (112335)
- » Review of Financial Audits Shows Rise in Major Cities' Pension Liabilities, December 2006 (98053)
- » Survey Shows that Cost of Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) for the Largest U.S. Cities Outpacing Inflation, December 2006 (101468)
- » Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB): New Accounting Requirements to Shed Light on Cost of State and Local Retiree Health Benefits; Funding Pressures Expected to Vary Widely, July 2005 (93649)
- » The Effects of Aging on Public Sector Pensions and Healthcare Systems: A Rating Agency Perspective, June 2004 (87245)

## Methodologies

- » Moody's State Rating Methodology, November 2004 (89335)
- » General Obligation Bonds Issued by U.S. Local Governments, October 2009 (119982)

To access this report, click on the entry above. Note that this reference is current as of the date of publication of this report and that more recent reports may be available. All research may not be available to all clients.

» contacts continued from page 1

Report Number: 126014

### **Analyst Contacts:**

NEW YORK 1.212.553.1653

Jeffrey S. Berg 1.212.553.3611

Senior Vice President Jeffrey.Berg@moodys.com

Edward Hampton 1.212.553.2741

Assistant Vice President-Analyst Edward. Hampton@moodys.com

Jack Dorer 1.212.553.1332

Team Managing Director Jack.Dorer@moodys.com

Robert A. Kurtter 1.212.553.4453

Team Managing Director Robert.Kurtter@moodys.com Author Wesley Smyth Senior Production Associate Wendy Kroeker

© 2010 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ARE MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC.'S ("MIS") CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOFVER

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at <a href="www.moodys.com">www.moodys.com</a> under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this Document is by Moody's affiliate Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to wholesale clients (within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001). By continuing to access this Document from within Australia, you represent to Moody's and its affiliates that you are, or are accessing the Document as a representative of, a wholesale client and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this Document or its contents to retail clients (within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001).

