

PROFESSIONAL EDUCATOR GROWTH AND EVALUATION PLAN



The following document provides information relative to the policies and procedures associated with the revised Educator Evaluation Program for the Bethany Public School District. Procedures have been designed through the collective efforts of the Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee (PDEC) which includes educators, related service professionals, curriculum specialists, union representation, school administrators, and central office staff. The Committee was charged with developing a Professional Growth and Evaluation Plan for Bethany educators. The Committee gathered feedback from educator's district-wide and designed recommendations for the policies and procedures associated with educator effectiveness and performance evaluation.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	1
Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee	2
I. Introduction	3
A. Vision and Purpose of the Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Process.....	3
B. Goals of the Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Process.....	4
C. District Mission Framework.....	4
D. Bethany 2020 – Ascent to Excellence Strategic Plan.....	6
E. Theory of Action	6
F. Connecting Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Process to the Bethany Vision, Mission, Instructional Model, Achievement Goals, and Theory of Action.....	6
II. Elements of the Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Process	8
A. Educator Evaluation Plan Overview	8
B. Components of Performance Evaluation	10
Category 1: Educator Performance and Practice (40%)	10
Educator Self-Assessment.....	11
Student Data Review and Collaborative Goal Setting	11
Three Modalities to Review Performance and Practice	14
Observations.....	14
Artifact Review.....	15
Recommendations.....	17
Collegial Conversation	17
Category 2: Parent Feedback (10%)	17
Category 3: Student Feedback (5%)	18
Category 4: Student Learning Measures (45%).....	19
Completion of Initial Benchmark and Initial Student Data Review	21
Collaborative Goal Setting Conference (By October 30)	21
Data Team Cycle and Progress Monitoring.....	22
Student Outcome Reflection	23
Reflection Review	24
Mid-Year Check-In Conference (By February 28)	26
End-of-Year Summative Review Conference (By June 12)	26

C. Aggregate and Summative Scoring	29
Determining Summative Rating	29
Data Management System.....	32
III. Orientation to the Educator Evaluation Process	33
Evaluator Norming/Calibration Training.....	33
IV. Developing and Supporting Educators through Professional Learning.....	33
Career Development and Professional Growth	34
Evaluation – Informed Professional Learning	34
V. Effectiveness and Ineffectiveness of Summative Ratings	35
VI. Educator Assistance Process	35
Tenured Educators.....	36
Non-Tenured Educators	36
Structured Support Plan	37
Intensive Support Plan	38
Dispute Resolution Process.....	39
VII. Appendix.....	40
A. Bethany Community School Balanced Instructional Model	
B. The Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014	
C. The Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017	
D. Bethany Public School District Support Staff Rubrics	
E. Bethany Public School District Surveys	
F. Bethany Community School Data Team Process	

Acknowledgments

Board of Education

Christopher Pittenger, M.D., Ph.D. (Chairperson)
Dorothy Seaton (Vice-Chairperson)
Namita Wijesekera, M.D. (Secretary)

James Bruni
Doreen Fox
John Paul Garcia
Inez Kelso
Vivian Shih, M.D.
Lynette White

Administration

Colleen Murray, Superintendent
Kai Byrd, Director of Special Services, Curriculum, and Instruction
Robert Davis, Bethany Community School Principal

Statement of Compliance: In compliance with Title VI, Title IX, Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Bethany Public School District does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, marital or civil union status, age, disability, pregnancy, gender identity or expression, or any other basis prohibited by state or federal law, whether by students, Board employees, or third parties subject to the control of the Board. The Board's prohibition of discrimination of harassment expressly extends to its services, equal opportunity employment, educational programs, academic, nonacademic and extracurricular activities, including athletics. Inquiries regarding the Bethany Public School District's nondiscrimination policies should contact that Superintendent of Schools, Bethany Public School District, 44 Peck Road, Bethany, CT, 06524, (203) 393-1170.

Bethany Public School District

In 2016-2017 the Bethany Public School District (BPSD) partnered with ReVision Learning to develop and articulate the *Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan*. Throughout the 2016-2017 school year, the Professional Development and Evaluation Committee (PDEC), in consultation with ReVision Learning, worked to revise and evolve the District's *Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan*. Special thanks and recognition to all who supported the development, implementation, and revision of this plan.

Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee

Robert Davis, Principal

Jason Ewen, Grade Four Teacher

Andrea Hubbard, Kindergarten Teacher

Nicole Kevorkian, Art Teacher

Diane Krivda, Director of Curriculum and Learning

Kevin Mahoney, Math Specialist

Colleen Murray, Superintendent (Ex-Officio)

Melissa Rakowski, Grade One Teacher

Heather Sniffin, Kindergarten Teacher, and Bethany Education Association President

Tina Spagnoletti, Guidance

Plan Approved by BOE: June 7, 2017

Plan Approved by CSDE: August 2017

Plan Revisions Approved by CSDE: July 2018

I. Introduction

A. Vision and Purpose of the Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Process

Research findings are unequivocal about the connection between teacher quality and student learning. Over two decades of research has proven that no single school-based factor contributes more to the success of the students than high-quality teachers.

It is the vision of the Bethany professionals that the Educator supervision and evaluation plan be viewed as a collaborative process to ensure that all students have competent, highly effective teachers to deliver instruction. Bethany is committed to providing an evaluation and support structure that builds human capacity and challenges all Educators to be reflective practitioners that aspire to reach excellence. The Bethany Public School District (BPSD) is committed to an Educator professional growth model that is designed to improve student learning and staff effectiveness through the ongoing development of Bethany's professional staff.

The *Bethany Public School Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan* herein referred to as the "Plan," was developed to empower professional staff to work collaboratively toward continuous improvement of student learning. The Plan provides a shared definition of effective instructional practices while serving as a tool for reflection, offering opportunities for ongoing professional conversations on multiple focus areas. Within each focus area are specific indicators that articulate a continuum of performance levels from ineffective to exceptional practices.

The Bethany professionals chose to align the supervision and evaluation process to the *CT Common Core of Teaching*, *Bethany Board of Education Goals*, *Bethany Community School Goals*, the PEAC guidelines, our core beliefs, and practices, as well as a significant body of research. The Plan is holistic and comprehensive in its design, satisfying the guidelines for Educator evaluation set forth by the Connecticut State Department of Education while also contributing to the improvement of individual and collective practice among professionals, and providing support for a full range of professional performance needs.

B. Goals of the Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Process

To achieve Bethany's vision of implementing a collaborative and reflective Educator supervision and evaluation process that ensures every student is taught by a competent, highly qualified Educator, the goals of this Educator growth plan are to design an evaluation system that clearly defines excellent practice, provides Educators with accurate, useful information about their strengths and areas for development, and provides meaningful opportunities for professional learning and growth.

To achieve our goals, this evaluation system will:

- Ensure the learning and growth of all professionals and students.
- Guarantee the continuation of Bethany’s collaborative model, including Professional Learning Communities (PLC), grade level data teams, and common planning time that allow for continued reflection, collaboration, and communication around student growth and student learning.
- Provide meaningful professional learning experiences that impact instructional practice.
- Pledge to provide ongoing opportunities for professional sharing and feedback in support of continuous learning.
- Provide a structure that allows Educators to document and share evidence of best practice.
- Ensure that evaluations are fair, reliable, valid, holistic, and an accurate representation of teachers’ practice.
- Differentiate experiences for Educators across a continuum of professional performance needs.

C. District Mission Framework

Mission, Beliefs, Commitments, and Core Values

At the heart of our *Bethany 2020 – Ascent to Excellence Strategic Plan*, lies the district’s mission, beliefs, and commitments. These core values guide our decision-making and affirm our belief that developing each Educator and all students so that they can achieve to their greatest potential, is a shared responsibility.

Mission Statement

In the Bethany Public School District, we believe our mission is to challenge and inspire every student to become a lifelong learner and a resilient, independent, literate, caring, creative, responsible world citizen.

Our Beliefs and Commitments

We believe that ...

Educating children is our first priority.

Education is a community-wide responsibility and requires the active engagement of all stakeholders.

The individual worth of each child must be celebrated.

Every student can learn and deserves an equal opportunity to learn.

Every student has special gifts and talents to be discovered and nurtured.

Positive attitude and effort lead to accomplishment.

Physical activity, the arts, and play are essential elements of a comprehensive education.

Education must focus on active learning, using critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

We are committed to ...

Empowering students to become resourceful learners who can apply their knowledge.

Challenging each student to reach his/her full potential.

Respecting individual and community values.

Integrating twenty-first-century technology throughout our school.

Investing in our professional staff to enhance instruction.

Developing and retaining exemplary teachers.

Providing a safe, secure and positive environment.

Managing our financial resources efficiently and effectively.

Advancing the Bethany Public School District together as a community.

D. Bethany 2020 – Ascent to Excellence Strategic Plan

1. We will maximize each student’s potential through a rich and challenging curriculum and a broad range of programs.
2. We will provide proactive, coordinated academic, social, and emotional support for every student.
3. We will cultivate responsibility, respect, and resilience in our students, and will promote citizenship in the school, the community, and the world.
4. We will encourage and enhance collaborative relationships with parents and with the broader community.
5. We will ensure that the staff and students are fluent in the integrated use of technology in the service of learning.
6. We will be responsible stewards of Bethany’s school resources.
7. We will provide a safe and secure learning environment.
8. We will invest in the continual development of our staff.

E. Theory of Action

IF students are provided access to highly effective teachers who also develop caring responsive relationships, *AND IF* the culture of continuous, collaborative professional growth is used to support high expectations for student learning and improved instruction, *THEN* we will meet the needs of all learners and students will achieve at high levels.

F. Connecting Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Process to the Bethany Vision, Mission, Instructional Model, Achievement Goals, and Theory of Action

As evidenced by our mission, vision, beliefs, and commitments, BPSD recognizes that the education of each child and the development and growth of each staff member is not only a priority but a shared responsibility. The tenets that support the Plan are grounded in our strong belief that Educator and student success is contingent upon our commitment to work as a professional learning community. The Plan will assure the attainment of both the vision and mission of our learning community.

Strengthening individual and collective Educator practices with the goal of developing students’ critical thinking and increasing student achievement warrants having an instructional framework as the cornerstone of our work. We acknowledge that in order for students to achieve at their highest level, we need effective Educators in every classroom delivering high-quality instruction at all times. The Bethany Community School’s Balanced Instructional Model (Appendix A) is comprehensive, implemented school-wide, and focuses on purposeful planning, effective instructional practices, active student engagement, and thoughtful reflection resulting in improved student achievement. This instructional model is supported and tightly aligned to the Connecticut Common Core Standards (CCCS), Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) (Appendix B), Bethany Public School District’s Curriculum, and both formative and summative assessments. Our instructional model allows

us to share a common understanding of effective instructional practices and identifies where these practices fall along a continuum - from exceptional to ineffective practice.

While our Plan is an important structure for the realization of our district vision and mission, it also plays a critical role in our district/school improvement plans. Our continuous improvement plans address how we will obtain our district goals, and cannot be accomplished without high-quality instruction taking place in every classroom. Therefore, our plan addresses the alignment of developing professional goals around instructional practices that directly support district/school goals.

Furthermore, the district's Theory of Action serves as a concrete representation of our vision and strategy for improvement. The Bethany Public School District's Theory of Action explicitly connects the learning and development of our professional Educators to the learning and development of their students in the classroom, whereby we believe that if all students are provided access to highly effective Educators and we promote a culture of continuous, collaborative professional growth that supports high expectations for student learning and improved instruction, then we will meet the needs of our students and they will achieve at high levels.

II. Elements of the Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Process

A. Educator Evaluation Plan Overview

Figure 1 below represents an outline of the overall Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation process.

Figure 1: Evaluation Process Timeline



The Plan is driven by the implementation of the Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Standards. These standards support a common understanding of effective teaching and learning across four domains.

Domains:

- Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning;
- Planning for Active Learning;
- Instruction for Active Learning; and
- Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership.

Within each domain are specific indicators that break down expected Educator practices and resulting student behaviors across four levels of performance and practice:

- Level 1 - Below Standard Practice
- Level 2 - Developing Practice
- Level 3 - Effective Practice
- Level 4 - Exemplary Practice

The *Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* (Appendix B), is the core document within the evaluation system and is used to help provide the context through which an Educator's performance can be directly measured. The indicators of teaching practice outlined in the *CCT Rubric* (Figure 2) represent the values and beliefs about teaching and learning of the educational community. Evaluation of Educator performance will be measured through evidence collected relative to the performances identified in the *CCT Rubric*, and Educator growth across performance levels will be supported and ultimately expected in each given school year.

Figure 2. Connecticut Common Core of Teaching Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014

CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014 – AT A GLANCE

Evidence Generally Collected Through In-Class Observations

Domain 1 Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning²

1 Teachers promote student engagement, independence and interdependence in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by:

- 1a. Creating a positive learning environment that is responsive to and respectful of the learning needs of all students.
- 1b. Promoting developmentally appropriate standards of behavior that support a productive learning environment for all students.
- 1c. Maximizing instructional time by effectively managing routines and transitions.

Domain 3 Instruction for Active Learning

3 Teachers implement instruction to engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

- 3a. Implementing instructional content for learning.
- 3b. Leading students to construct meaning and apply new learning through the use of a variety of differentiated and evidence-based learning strategies.
- 3c. Assessing student learning, providing feedback to students and adjusting instruction.

Evidence Generally Collected Through Non-Classroom/Reviews of Practice

Domain 2 Planning for Active Learning

2 Teachers plan instruction to engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

- 2a. Planning of instructional content that is aligned with standards, builds on students' prior knowledge and provides for appropriate level of challenge for all students.
- 2b. Planning instruction to cognitively engage students in the content.
- 2c. Selecting appropriate assessment strategies to monitor student progress.

Domain 4 Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership


4 Teachers maximize support for student learning by developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership by:

- 4a. Engaging in continuous professional learning to impact instruction and student learning.
- 4b. Collaborating to develop and sustain a professional learning environment to support student learning.
- 4c. Working with colleagues, students and families to develop and sustain a positive school climate that supports student learning.

Parent feedback (10%) will also be collected on Educator performance and will, in combination with Educator performance ratings (40%), constitute 50% of an Educator's overall performance rating. This 50% (40% + 10%) is an Educator's "**Practice Rating.**"

Measurement of the outcomes for students is defined as an "**Outcome Rating**" and will be measured based on results associated with student achievement on a combination of local and regional assessments (45%), and student feedback (5%). These two categories of performance evaluation will constitute the remaining 50% (45% + 5%) of an Educator's overall rating (see Figure 3). Processes and information relative to measurement of performance in these four main categories of performance evaluation have been outlined in the sections that follow in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Categories of Performance Evaluation



B. Components of Performance Evaluation

Category 1: Educator Performance and Practice (40%)

Forty percent (40%) of an Educator’s evaluation shall be based on data collection from three modalities: observations, review of artifacts, and collegial dialogue, which are completed by an Evaluator throughout the school year. In this section, a full description of the modalities used by Evaluators has been outlined (see Three Modalities to Review Performance and Practice Figure 4, page 14). This data collection is consistently applied but allows for levels of differentiation specific to the Educator.

Figure 1: Evaluation Process Timeline



Educator Self-Assessment

Effective Educators are continuous, self-reflective learners. Each year, Educators will self-assess using the *CCT Rubric* and set professional learning goals. Educators will collect and reflect with their Evaluator on documentation and artifacts relative to effective practices and resulting student outcomes.

Step 1: At the beginning of the school year, each Educator will review all twelve *CCT* Indicators and reflect on their practice.

Step 2: Based on the self-assessment, the Educator will write one or two Professional Learning Goals (PLG) along with an action plan to support the attainment of the goal(s). The goals should be directly linked to instructional strategies that will support student outcomes.

Step 3: The Educator and Evaluator will review the PLG and determine supportive structures for ongoing collaborative analysis of Educator performance and practice and resulting student behaviors.

Student Data Review and Collaborative Goal Setting

The goal-setting process is an essential and required step in any evaluation process. The initial goal setting form should be completed by October 2nd. During this time, the Educator will review multiple sources of student performance data to determine students' learning needs and connect those needs with school-wide goals. The Educator will develop his/her professional growth goal and plan in accordance with the previous year's evaluation report, including the Educator's self-assessment. If mutually agreed upon, Educators may develop a comprehensive multi-year professional growth plan. The Evaluator will provide materials and resources as appropriate to help the Educator develop yearly and multi-year goals as well as aligning his or her ongoing analysis of professional growth. All goals for the school year should be submitted in the Educator's electronic evaluation file and, as needed, a final ***Collaborative Goal Setting Conference*** should be completed by October 30th of the school year.

To support Educators at various stages and levels of practice, Non-Tenured and Tenured Educators follow a slightly different track. While all elements of the Educator Evaluation Plan remain consistent for all Educators, additional support for Non-Tenured Educators and for Tenured Educators who have previously been rated as "Below Standard" or "Developing" is made available. This additional supplemental support allows for ongoing, targeted development through routine interactions between Evaluator and Educator. Tables 1 and 2 provide a detailed outline of the steps taken by Evaluators from the goal-setting process through the End-of-Year Conferences for Tenured and Non-Tenured Educators.

Table 1: Evaluation Cycle for Non-Tenured Educators

Action	Person Responsible	Documents*	Timeline**
Orientation and Support Evaluation Process	Administration	Evaluation Plan	By Oct 30
Beginning of Year Self-Reflection and Initial Student Data Review	Educator	Beginning of Year Self-Reflection Form	By Oct 2
Minimum of one Unannounced Observation (at least 10 - 15 minutes) Written Feedback by the Evaluator within seven work days	Evaluator/ Educator	Unannounced Observation Form	By Oct 2
Goal Setting	Educator	Goal Setting Form	By Oct 15
Collaborative Goal Setting Conference	Evaluator/ Educator	Goal Setting Form Feedback from Unannounced Observations	By Oct 30
Minimum of one Announced Observation (approximately 40 - 45 minutes) with a Pre-Observation Conference Written Feedback by the Evaluator followed by a Post-Observation Conference within seven work days	Evaluator/ Educator	Pre-Observation Form Announced Observation Form Post-Observation Form	By Oct 30
Minimum of one Announced Observation (approximately 40 - 45 minutes) with a Pre-Observation Conference Written Feedback by the Evaluator followed by a Post-Observation Conference within seven work days	Evaluator/ Educator	Pre-Observation Form Announced Observation Form Post-Observation Form	By Feb 15
Minimum of two additional Unannounced Observations (at least 10 - 15 minutes each) Written Feedback by the Evaluator within seven work days	Evaluator/ Educator	Unannounced Observation Form	By May 15
Mid-Year Reflection	Educator	Mid-Year Reflection Form	By Feb 15
Mid-Year Conference	Evaluator/ Educator	Mid-Year Reflection Form Evidence of Student Achievement	By Feb 28
End of Year Reflection	Educator	End of Year Reflection Form	By Jun 1
End of Year Conference Summative Review	Evaluator/ Educator	End of Year Reflection Form Student Outcome Reflection Evidence of Student Achievement	By Jun 12
Final Summative Evaluation	Evaluator	Summative Evaluation Form	By Last Day of School

*Forms will be revised periodically to reflect revisions approved by the Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee.

**Dates determined by the school calendar.

Table 2: Evaluation Cycle for Tenured Educators

Action	Person Responsible	Documents*	Timeline**
Orientation and Evaluation	Administration	Evaluation Plan	By Oct 30
Self-Reflection and Initial Student Data Review	Educator	Beginning of Year Self-Reflection Form	By Oct 2
Goal Setting	Educator	Goal Setting Form	By Oct 15
Collaborative Goal Setting Conference	Evaluator/ Educator	Goal Setting Forms	By Oct 30
Educators – Developing and Below Standard Minimum of three Announced Observations (approximately 40 - 45 minutes) with Pre-Observation Conference Written Feedback by the Evaluator followed by a Post-Observation Conference within seven work days	Evaluator/ Educator	Pre-Observation Form Announced Observation Form Post-Observation Form	By Feb 28
Educators – Effective and Exemplary Minimum of one Announced Observation (approximately 40 - 45 minutes) with Pre-Observation Conference, every three years Written Feedback by the Evaluator followed by a Post Observation Conference within seven work days	Evaluator/ Educator	Pre-Observation Form Announced Observation Form Post- Observation Form	By Feb 28
Educators – Developing and Below Standard Minimum of two Unannounced Observations (at least 10 - 15 minutes each, one must include a Review of Practice) Written Feedback by the Evaluator within seven work days	Evaluator/ Educator	Unannounced Observation Form/ Review of Practice Form	By May 15
Educators – Effective and Exemplary Minimum of three Unannounced Observations each year (at least 10 - 15 minutes each, one must include a Review of Practice) Written Feedback by the Evaluator within seven work days	Evaluator/ Educator	Unannounced Observation Form/ Review of Practice Form	By May 15
Mid-Year Reflection	Educator	Mid-Year Reflection Form	By Feb 15
Mid-Year Conference	Evaluator/ Educator	Mid-Year Reflection Form Evidence of Student Achievement	By Feb 28
End of Year Reflection	Educator	End of Year Reflection Form	By Jun 1
End-of-Year Conference Summative Review	Evaluator/ Educator	End-of-Year Conference Form Student Outcome Reflection Evidence of Student Achievement	By Jun 12
Final Summative Evaluation	Evaluator	Summative Evaluation Form	By Last Day of School


*Forms will be revised periodically to reflect revisions approved by the Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee.

**Dates determined by the school calendar.

Three Modalities to Review Performance and Practice

Forty percent (40%) of an Educator’s evaluation shall be based on data collection from three modalities of reviewing performance and practice. Similar to how an Educator in a classroom assesses student performance against a set of standards, an Evaluator must organize his/her review of an Educator’s performance and practice to include the collection of evidence through: **observation of practice**, **review of artifacts** that reflect practice, and **collegial dialogue** to assist in determining current levels of understanding and need related to each standard (Figure 4). The standards, established through the *CCT*, will be used as the guide for the collection of evidence to support all three modalities and the corresponding feedback to support Educator growth.

Figure 4: Three Modalities to Review Performance and Practice



Observations

Observations will be used to collect evidence of the quality of Educator practice. For those domains for which direct observation is impossible, or would not provide reliable evidence, additional evidence will be collected by both the Educator and the Evaluator. Assertions about Educator performance (by an Evaluator) in this category, will be made based on observations across multiple settings and a careful review of all evidence with particular attention to patterns in Educator practice and student behavior.

Observations can include both announced and unannounced visits to the classroom. If appropriate, observations will be preceded by a pre-observation conference and followed by a post-observation conference. Formal written feedback will be provided within seven school days of an observation. A post-observation conference will be scheduled, if appropriate.

Other Observations of Performance and Practice (Review of Practice): Based on the Educator’s self-assessment and a collaborative discussion with the Evaluator, all observations will align to the Educator’s role within the school. Additionally, observations can and will take place in multiple settings to support performance and practice across all indicators of the CCT.

These additional settings can include but are not limited to:

- Observations of Data Team meetings.
- Observations of case reviews.
- Observations of coaching/mentoring other Educators.
- Collaboration with colleagues.
- Observations of crisis response.
- Consultations with parents.
- Other facilitated meetings (504/PPT’s).
- Professional development presentations.
- Collaborative curriculum writing sessions.

Evaluators will honor Educators’ requests for additional observations (up to two additional announced observations and up to two additional unannounced observations) to address areas of concerns from a previous observation. The Evaluator may choose to complete additional observations as well.

Artifact Review

Artifactual evidence is an essential component of the evaluation process. It allows Educators to showcase their strengths and successes in a variety of areas. Integrating multiple measures and authentic examples into the evaluation process will allow for maximum self-evaluation and Educator growth.

Artifacts will vary depending on the content area, grade, and Educator. Some items may be applicable to more than one domain, and some items may be more appropriate for one grade level or subject than another. Examples include, but are not limited to the following:

Required:

- Self-Reflection documents.
- Student Learning Objective (SLO) assessment data (benchmarks, standardized, summative, formative, rubrics).
- Student Outcome Reflections.

Suggested:

- Classroom design/seating arrangements.
- Copy of syllabus/classroom expectations or classroom contract.
- Examples of positive learning environment in action (procedures, photos, videos).
- Photographs of displays used for instruction (bulletin boards, anchor charts, posters).
- Examples of parent communication (newsletters, parent communication logs).
- Strategies for instruction.
- Plan book (lesson plans, unit plans, mini-lessons).
- Gradebook.
- Common Planning Time, Interdisciplinary Teams, or Data Team meeting minutes/notes.
- Differentiated instruction (intervention logs, intervention data, student groupings).
- Projects/Activities.
- Re-teaching/reinforcement opportunities.
- Enrichment activities.
- Workshop model/centers/stations.
- Student Performance data in graph format.
- Examples of performance tasks.
- Exit Slips.
- Student work samples with copies of Educator feedback.
- Anecdotal notes on student progress, school improvement, or professional growth.
- Contributions to the school community.
- Attendance at school and student functions.
- Evidence of Collaboration/Co-planning/Co-teaching.
- Interaction with students' families/community during and outside of school.
- Participation in school activities/clubs/committees.
- Participation in professional development opportunities (internal and external).
- Examples of peer feedback.
- Examples of stakeholder feedback.
- Participation in intervention/referral process (SAT, PPT, 504).
- Video clips.
- Educator websites.
- Blog.
- Action Research.
- Online sites/programs.

Recommendations

- Evidence collection should be an on-going process to supplement observations and collegial dialogue between an Educator and his/her Evaluator throughout the year.
- The Educator should remove any identifying information from student work samples for student data privacy purposes.
- Evidence should be clearly organized to indicate which of the four domains of the *CCT* the artifact represents. This may be done in a digital or hard copy format. This information can be discussed at the Mid-Year Conference and shared at the End-of-Year Conference.

Artifactual evidence must be brought to the End-of-Year Conference or attached to the End of Year Reflection Form.

Collegial Conversation

As has already been described, the Educator and Evaluator are positioned to engage in multiple formal conferences and conversations throughout the year (Initial Data Reviews, Goal Setting Conference, Mid-Year Conference, and End-of-Year Conference). Each of these conferences offer a rich opportunity for discussion about practice that, when well-designed, provides a collaborative exchange about current levels of practice, strengths and areas of development that are necessary, and examples of next steps that can be taken to support an Educator in their efforts to improve their levels of performance and practice.

The above-outlined structures and practice applies to Educators across all roles and responsibilities (e.g., school psychologist, guidance counselors, and speech and language pathologists, among others) however, evidence is collected to support growth in alignment with District created rubrics (Appendix D). Educators in areas covered by a service delivery model will follow the same timelines as classroom Educators. These support specialists may develop growth and indicators based on his/her role in the district.

Category 2: Parent Feedback (10%)

Ten percent (10%) of an Educator's evaluation shall be based on parent feedback. Bethany will use whole school parent survey data from the spring of the previous school year to support goal setting during the beginning of the year. Surveys used to capture student feedback are anonymous and demonstrate fairness, reliability, validity, and usefulness. Each year, new data will be collected and analyzed to support the establishment of school-wide goals to support improved practice. Parent feedback will be aggregated and reviewed school-wide in the spring to determine the degree to which the school has collectively met the targets set at the beginning of the year.

The process for goal setting based on survey data will include the following steps:

Step 1: The District/School Improvement Team reviews data from the survey administered to parents in the spring of the previous school year with staff at the beginning of the school year and determine a school-wide focus area and targets for the current school year.

Step 2: Educators incorporate identified strategies into their daily practice and classroom routines throughout the school year.

Step 3: Evaluators review progress towards school-wide goals with Educators at Mid-Year Conferences.

Step 4: Surveys are re-administered to parents in the spring.

Step 5: The District/School Improvement Team examines survey results, identify the growth made toward targets set, and determine the level of performance to be assigned to all staff as outlined in a four-point matrix.

Table 3: Parent Feedback

Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Did Not Meet Goal	Partially Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeded Goal

Each year, PDEC will seek input from faculty, parents, and students to review and refine the surveys, as needed (Appendix D).

Category 3: Student Feedback (5%)

Five percent (5%) of an Educator’s evaluation shall be based on student feedback that will be collected utilizing district-generated surveys.

Similar to the Parent Feedback process, Bethany will use whole school student survey data from the spring of the previous school year to support goal setting during the beginning of the year. Surveys used to capture student feedback are anonymous and demonstrate fairness, reliability, validity, and usefulness. Each year, new data will be collected and analyzed to support the establishment of school-wide goals to support improved practice. Student feedback will be aggregated and reviewed school-wide in the spring to determine the degree to which the school has collectively met the targets set at the beginning of the year.

The process for goal setting based on survey data will include the following steps:

- Step 1:** The District/School Improvement Team reviews data from the survey administered to students in the spring of the previous school year with staff and determines a school-wide focus area and targets for the current school year.
- Step 2:** Educators incorporate identified strategies into their daily practice and classroom routines throughout the school year.
- Step 3:** Evaluators review progress towards school-wide goals with Educators at Mid-Year Conferences.
- Step 4:** Surveys are re-administered to students in spring.
- Step 5:** The District/School Improvement Team examines survey results, identify the growth made toward targets set, and determine the level of performance to be assigned to all staff as outlined in a four-point matrix.

Table 4: Student Feedback

Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Did Not Meet Goal	Partially Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeded Goal

Each year, PDEC will seek input from faculty, parents, and students to review and refine the surveys, as needed (Appendix D).

Category 4: Student Learning Measures (45%)

Forty-five percent (45%) of an Educator’s evaluation shall be based on attainment of goals for student growth, using multiple indicators of academic growth and development to measure those goals. Improving student performance is the single most important job of our nation’s public schools. Rigorous Student Learning Objectives (SLO) and corresponding Indicators of Academic Growth and Development (IAGD) help Educators and administrators challenge students at the highest possible levels and ensure focus and targeted practice toward their success.


The practice of setting student growth objectives places emphasis on using assessment results to guide instruction. Research has found that Educators who set high-quality objectives often realize greater improvement in student performance than those who do not.

Establishing quality SLO/IAGD helps:

- Increase collegial discussions toward student growth and learning.
- Increase Educators' capacity to engage in the evaluation and creation of assessments.
- Increase knowledge and understanding of curricular standards.
- Cultivate deeper understanding of students' academic strengths and weaknesses.
- Design more effective instructional practice.
- Support understanding of how to monitor and adjust instruction effectively to meet students' needs.
- Generate more intentional professional learning opportunities before, during and after the school year.

Included in the analysis of student outcomes is a clear through line to the on-going data cycle and analysis completed by all Educators in Bethany. Most Educators follow a formal Data Team cycle that informs the progress monitoring required to support student growth. Those Educators who do not follow a formal Data Team cycle are still required to monitor their student progress, especially related to their students' progress towards the stated SLO. Throughout the year, Educators will reflect during the Data Team process and complete a **Student Outcome Reflection**. This reflection will act as an IAGD in that Educators and Evaluators will work during each collaborative conference (i.e., Goal Setting, Mid-Year, and End-of-Year) to review the connections between specific Educator actions and student outcomes expected in the SLO. This reflection will include the primary data and information at the end of the year to support a more productive, relevant review of student learning.

Figure 4: Procedures for Establishing and Monitoring SLO/IAGD



Completion of Initial Benchmark and Initial Student Data Review

At the beginning of the year, the Educator (where applicable) will administer all relevant benchmarks to support the initial review of student data. This analysis will allow the Educator to establish SLO/IAGD that directly align to the needs of his/her students.

Collaborative Goal Setting Conference (By October 30)

Each Educator, through a mutual agreement with his/her Evaluator, will select one to two goals for student growth. The final decision on the number of goals selected will take into account the role and responsibilities of the Educator, and the Educator's experience and history of performance. Time will be allotted before the conference to review student data to inform Educator goals. In order to ensure no SLO/IAGD is determined by a single, isolated test score, but instead determined through the comparison of data across assessments and administered over time, Bethany Public Schools has designed the following structure:

For each goal, the Educator, through mutual agreement with his/her Evaluator, will select at least three, but not more than five Indicators of Academic Growth and Development (IAGD) to include both standardized and non-standardized measures.

Each SLO/IAGD will:

- Take into account the academic track record and overall needs and strengths of the students, using baseline data when available.
- Address the most important purposes of an Educator's assignment.
- Be aligned with school, district, or state student achievement objectives.
- Include a set of articulated action steps to meet each SLO. Action steps should reflect practice related to each domain within the CCT.
- One half (22.5%) of the IAGD shall not be determined by a single isolated test score.

At least one IAGD for any SLO must be based on, when available, a standardized measure. Criteria for standardized measures include:

- Administered and scored in a consistent manner.
- Aligned to a set of academic standards.
- Broadly administered (regional or national).
- Administered between one and three times a year.

At least one IAGD will be based on a non-standardized measure.

Possible assessments in Bethany include but are not limited to:

- Curriculum-Based/Non-Standardized Assessments - Examples include Phonological Awareness Test, Phonics Core Survey, Foundations End of Unit Assessments, Progress Monitoring, Spelling Inventory and Fountas and Pinnell.
- Standardized Assessments - Examples include iReady, Smarter Balanced.
- Math Assessments - Examples include District End-of-Year Assessment, iReady, and End-of- Unit Assessments, Math Expressions Quizzes and Exit Slips.
- Writing Assessments - Examples include Writing Samples with Rubrics.

Within the process, the following are descriptions of selecting indicators of academic growth and development:

- Fair to students - The indicator of academic growth and development is used in such a way as to provide students an opportunity to show that they have met or are making progress in meeting the learning objective. The use of the indicator of academic growth and development is as free as possible from bias and stereotype.
- Fair to Educators - The use of an indicator of academic growth and development is fair when an Educator has the professional resources and opportunity to show that his/her students have made growth and when the indicator is appropriate to the Educator's content, assignment, and class composition.
- Reliable - Use of the indicator is consistent among those using the indicators and over time.
- Valid - The indicator measures what it is intended to measure.
- Useful - The indicator may be used to provide the Educator with meaningful feedback about student knowledge, skills, perspective, and classroom experience that may be used to enhance student learning and provide opportunities for Educator professional growth and development.

Data Team Cycle and Progress Monitoring

Bethany Public Schools engages in professional learning focused on the implementation of Data Teams at all grade levels. Additional structures are being considered to support special area and support service Educators in on-going collaborative analysis of SLO/IADGs. The **Data-Driven Decision-Making** process (see Appendix F) used during data teams is the backbone to the on-going development of the **Student Outcome Reflection**. At the end of each data cycle, and as a result of his/her participation in the data team process, the Educator will have had a chance to reflect on his/her specific impact on the progress being identified through the Data Team.

Student Outcome Reflection

The focus of the **Student Outcome Reflection** is on refining our understanding of Educator impact on student performance through a routine, consistent, rigorous, and targeted analysis of student achievement in our classrooms. The Data Team cycle is the platform for our review of our students' progress. During Data Team meetings, Educators will document what they have learned about teaching and learning in their classroom as it relates to their SLO performance. Three core components make up the **Student Outcome Reflection**: High-Effect Instructional Strategies, Effectiveness of Instructional Practice, and Student Outcomes. A **Student Outcome Reflection** needs to accompany at least one SLO as an IAGD.

Component #1: High-Effect Instructional Strategies (Related to PLG)

During each instructional cycle, and based on the data team analysis that allows us to monitor our students' progress, Educators will discuss the instructional strategies they have employed that have directly led to student achievement. This is considered Step 4 in the Bethany Data Team process. To support alignment and shared understanding of these instructional strategies, resources have been provided in the following forms:

- [Marzano's Instructional Strategies](#)
- [High Effect Indicators](#)
- [Unwrapped Standards](#) from Wiki-teacher - K-12 ELA and Math
- [Hattie Instructional Strategies](#)

The Educator provides a reflective response to:

As a PLC, what did I commit to in this cycle?

Component #2: Effectiveness of Instructional Practice

The Educator provides a reflective response to:

*What did I find worked in this cycle?
What did I find was not working in this cycle?
What adjustments did I make?*

Each Data Team meeting also offers an opportunity for an Educator to self-assess on their own practice and its impact on student achievement. This is considered Step 5 of the Bethany Data Team process.

Component #3: Student Outcomes (Brief Explanation of the Component)

As a result of the Data Team cycle, the Educator should have a direct understanding of whether or not they have met the cycle goals and have determined next steps. This is where the Educator has the opportunity to make the final powerful link between their actions and the outcomes within the cycle, thereby, directly monitoring the progress of students towards the overall goals.

The Educator provides a reflective response to:

*Was the goal met?
If so, why? If not, why not?
What action will I take for my students who still struggle?*

Reflection Review

During each opportunity for review between the Evaluator and Educator (i.e., Collaborative Goal Setting Conference, Mid-Year Conference, End-of-Year Conference), the **Student Outcome Reflection** is measured against the following four elements to support the overall measurement of the SLO:

- The level of reflective practice (connections to *CCT D4*).
- Connections between practice and targeted strategy(ies) (connections to *CCT D1* and *CCT D3*).
- The level of monitoring and adjusting (connections to *CCT D2* and *CCT D3*).
- The level of new professional learning identified (connections to *CCT D2* and *CCT D4*).

The Evaluator and the Educator can leverage the answers to the reflective questions for each component (**High-Effect Instructional Strategies, Effectiveness of Instructional Practice, and Student Outcomes**) in order to collaboratively monitor progress towards the end-of-year objectives. To support this analysis, an assessment rubric has been established for each of the elements to be measured.

Table 5: Student Outcome Reflection Rubric

Student Outcome Reflection Elements	Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	Did Not Meet Goal	Partially Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeded Goal
<p>Reflective Practice</p> <p>Evidence of reflective practice connecting leadership practice to Educator practice and student outcomes.</p> <p>Suggested: 50%</p>	<p>Reflective practice in relation to student performance and/or Educator practice is not evident and, therefore, there is limited connection between Educator practice and student performance.</p>	<p>Reflective practice in relation to student performance and/or Educator practice is evident but there remain limited connections made between practice and student outcomes.</p>	<p>Reflective practice in relation to student performance and Educator practice is clearly made, areas for improvement have been identified and action to improve professional practice is outlined.</p>	<p>Uses ongoing reflection to initiate professional dialogue with colleagues to improve individual and collective practices based on student performance data.</p>
<p>Effective Monitoring and Proper Adjustments</p> <p>Evidence of effective monitoring and proper adjustments</p> <p>Suggested: 30%</p>	<p>Has not demonstrated how he/she monitored individual Educator practice based on student data.</p>	<p>Demonstrates how he/she monitors and makes efforts to improve Educator individual practice based on student data.</p>	<p>Demonstrates how he/she monitors and makes adjustments that improve Educator individual practice based on student data.</p>	<p>Makes adjustments that improve individual Educator practice based on student data and supports collective efficacy of others.</p>
<p>Evidence of New Learning</p> <p>Evidence of new learning for administrator</p> <p>Suggested: 20%</p>	<p>Has not provided evidence of new learning to support the impact on student performance and/or Educator practice.</p>	<p>Demonstrates evidence of new learning but still does not connect to the impact on student performance and/or Educator practice.</p>	<p>Demonstrates evidence of new learning that directly connects to the impact on student performance and/or Educator practice.</p>	<p>Uses new learning to promote and support the collective impact on student performance and/or Educator practice.</p>

Mid-Year Check-In Conference (By February 28)

Evaluators and Educators will review progress toward the goals/objectives at least once during the school year, which is to be considered the midpoint of the school year, using available information, such as agreed-upon indicators. Both the Educator and the Evaluator will provide some evidence at the Mid-Year Conference:

- Examples of Educators' evidence could be student work, samples of rubrics, plans, assessment questions, and pre- and post-assessment data.
- Examples of Evaluator evidence can include observation notes/forms.

This review may result in revisions to the strategies or the approach being used and/or Educators and Evaluators may mutually agree on a mid-year adjustment of student learning goals to accommodate changes (e.g., student populations, assignment).

End-of-Year Summative Review Conference (By June 12)

The Educator shall collect evidence of student progress toward meeting the student learning goals/objectives. This evidence will be produced by using the multiple indicators selected to align with each student learning objective. The evidence will be submitted to the Evaluator, and the Educator and Evaluator will discuss the extent to which the students met the learning goals/objectives. Evidence for the End-of-Year Conference includes the following:

- End-of-Year Self-Reflection Form.
- End-of-Year Student Performance Data (Data Teams).
- Artifacts from Educator and Evaluator.
- Proposed needs for the following year (material support, building support, professional development).

Following the conference, the Evaluator will rate the extent of Educator progress toward meeting the student learning goals/objectives, based on criteria for four levels of performance. Final student outcomes data from the Data Team will be used to measure the attainment of the stated IAGD (see Table 5).

Table 5: SLO/IAGD Goal Attainment

Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Did Not Meet Goal	Partially Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeded Goal
Less than 60% of the students in the IAGD met or exceeded the goal.	60-69% of the students in the IAGD met or exceeded the goal.	70-89% of the students in the IAGD met or exceeded the goal.	At least 90% of the students in the IAGD met or exceeded the goal.

An example SLO and IAGD are provided in Table 6. This example reflects the basic elements of the SLO/IAGD, however, Educators and Evaluators should refer to the Form for all necessary information.

Table 6: Bethany SLO and IAGD - EXAMPLE

Sample SLO and IAGD	
Pre-Analysis Statement	
After reviewing the Phonological Awareness Test as well as the Phonics Core Survey Parts A-E, I determined that I had one student who already demonstrated mastery of these skills (scored above 80%), four students who demonstrated some understanding of these skills (scored between 50% and 79%) and five students who demonstrated minimal understanding of these skills (all scored below 50% on Phonics Core Survey or Phonological Awareness Test).	
Student Learning Objective #1	(22.5%)
Students will show growth in grade level phonics skills.	
Based on this data, I have decided to set the following growth targets for my students:	
IAGD #1:	(5.6%)
My one student who has mastered the end-of-year Kindergarten benchmarks (10% of students) will demonstrate mastery of the following <u>First Grade</u> skills: read words with consonant blends and short vowels as well as words with digraphs, ‘tch’ trigraph and short vowels as evidenced by their performance on the Phonics Core Survey (F and G).	
IAGD #2:	(5.6%)
My four students who have some understanding of phonological awareness and phonics skills, as well as three of my students who demonstrated minimal understanding (70% of students), will demonstrate mastery of the <u>end-of-year Kindergarten benchmarks</u> as evidenced by their performance on the Phonological Awareness Test as well as the Phonics Core Survey (A-E).	
IAGD #3:	(5.6%)
My two students who have demonstrated minimal understanding of phonological awareness and phonics skills (20% of students) will demonstrate <u>mastery of phonological awareness skills</u> as evidenced by their performance on the Phonological Awareness Test as well demonstrate <u>mastery of letter and sound identification</u> as evidenced by their performance on their Phonics Core Survey (A-D).	
IAGD #4:	(5.6%)
All students will show continuous progress in conjunction with stated IAGD as evidenced by teacher reflection in the <u>Student Outcome Reflection</u> .	
Action Plan: (An Action Plan describes the methods/instructional strategies to achieve IAGD and meet SLO.)	
1. Use the data team process to monitor student outcomes.	
2. Match effective instructional strategies to student needs (i.e., differentiated small group instruction, explicit and targeted skill instruction, goal setting and student feedback).	
3. Consult with Literacy Coach and/or Reading Consultant on an ongoing basis.	

Percentages reported above in the sample SLO, reflect the selection of two SLO even though only one is provided in the example. If one SLO is selected the value will equal 45%.

C. Aggregate and Summative Scoring

An Educator's summative rating will include a combination of the performance ratings associated with the four categories of the evaluation model. Evidence relative to an ***Educator's Performance and Practice*** will be combined with scores related to an Educator's efforts associated with ***Parent Feedback*** goals to determine an overall ***Practice Rating***. Performance relative to ***Student Learning Measures*** (designed at the beginning of the year through SLO) will be combined with ***Student Feedback Scores*** to determine an overall ***Outcomes Rating***. The ***Practice Rating*** and the ***Outcomes Rating*** will be combined to give a ***Summative Rating***.

Determining Summative Rating

Step 1: Calculate Educator performance level score on the *CCT Rubric*.

Table 7: CCT Rubric Performance and Practice Scoring

Domain	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
1. Classroom Environment		25%	
2. Planning for Active Learning		25%	
3. Instruction for Active Learning		40%	
4. Professional Responsibilities		10%	
Total Score			

Table 8: CCT Rubric Performance and Practice Scoring - EXAMPLE

Domain	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
1. Classroom Environment	2	25%	0.5
2. Planning for Active Learning	3	25%	0.75
3. Instruction for Active Learning	3	40%	1.2
4. Professional Responsibilities	3	10%	0.3
Total Score			2.75

Step 2: Determine Final Practice Rating

Table 9: Calculating the Practice Rating

Components	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
Educator Performance, Practice, and Professional Growth Standards Score		40	
Parent Feedback		10	
Total Score			

Table 10: Calculating the Practice Rating – EXAMPLE

Components	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
Educator Performance, Practice, and Professional Growth Standards Score	2.75	40	110
Parent Feedback	2	10	20
Total Score			130
Rating Scale			Level 3 Effective

Step 3: Determine the Performance Level for the Practice Rating by using the rating table below.

Table 11: Practice Rating Table

Point Range	Performance Level Rating
175-200	Level 4 (Exemplary)
127-174	Level 3 (Effective)
81-126	Level 2 (Developing)
50-80	Level 1 (Below Standard)
Final Educator Performance and Practice	

Step 4: Determine the final Outcomes Rating.

Table 12: Calculating the Outcomes Rating

Component	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
Student growth and development (SLO)		45	
Student Feedback		5	
Total Score			

Table 13: Calculating the Outcomes Rating – EXAMPLE

Component	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
Student growth and development (SLO)	3	45	135
Student Feedback	3	5	15
Total Score			150
Rating Scale			Level 3 Effective

Step 5: Determine the Performance Level for the Outcomes Rating by using the rating table below.

Table 14: Outcomes Rating Table

Point Range	Performance Level Rating
175-200	Level 4 (Exemplary)
127-174	Level 3 (Effective)
81-126	Level 2 (Developing)
50-80	Level 1 (Below Standard)
Final Educator Performance and Practice	

Step 6: Using the Summative Performance Rating Matrix in Table 15 below, determine the final performance rating for an Educator based on his/her combined scores. To use the table, identify the Educator’s rating for each category and follow the respective column and row to the center of the table. The point of intersection indicates the Summative Rating. Note: The Matrix below uses the state performance level language as outlined in the PEAC Guidelines.

Table 15: Summative Performance Rating Matrix

Summative Performance Rating Matrix					
		Practice Rating			
		Exemplary (175-200 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Developing (81-126 points)	Below Standard (50-80 points)
Outcomes Rating	Exemplary (175-200 points)	Exemplary (175-200 points)	Exemplary (175-200 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Gather Further Information
	Effective (127-174 points)	Exemplary (175-200 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Developing (81-126 points)
	Developing (81-126 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Developing (81-126 points)	Developing (81-126 points)
	Below Standard (50-80 points)	Gather Further Information	Developing (81-126 points)	Developing (81-126 points)	Below Standard (50-80 points)

Data Management System

TalentEd Perform Feedback System is the district’s web-based performance management software. All forms associated with the Bethany Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan will be accessed electronically by Educators and Evaluators via the district’s website under Employee Resources.

III. Orientation to the Educator Evaluation Process

The district will present an overview of the Plan as part of convocation on the first day of the school year. Throughout the school year, some faculty meeting time will be devoted to components of the plan's process and procedures. Annual orientations will occur no later than October 30. Overview of the Plan will be part of each new Educator orientation and ongoing support program offered by the district.

Evaluator Norming/Calibration Training

Annually, Evaluators will engage in professional learning opportunities, including online options and collaborative sessions that will develop their skills in effective observation, providing meaningful and useful feedback, and engage in productive professional conversations with Educators. BPSD will regularly provide opportunities for Evaluators to demonstrate calibration and proficiency through professional development.

IV. Developing and Supporting Educators through Professional Learning

The goal of professional learning opportunities in Bethany is to support reflective practice. In Bethany, all Educators must be models of ongoing learning. As a result, Bethany believes that professional learning that improves the learning of all students:

- Organizes adults into professional learning communities whose goals are aligned with school and district strategic plans and provides Educators with the knowledge and skills to collaborate.
- Requires skillful school and district leaders who guide continuous instructional improvement.
- Requires resources such as survey data, evaluation data, etc. to support Educator learning and collaboration.
- Uses disaggregated student data to determine adult learning priorities, monitor progress and help sustain continuous improvement data.
- Prepares Educators to apply research to decision making, uses learning strategies appropriate to the intended goal and applies knowledge about human learning and change.
- Prepares Educators to understand and appreciate all students, create safe, orderly and supportive learning environments, and hold high expectations for their academic achievement.
- Deepens Educators' content knowledge, provides them with research-based instructional strategies to assist students in meeting rigorous academic standards and prepares them to use various types of classroom assessments appropriately.
- Provides Educators with knowledge and skills to involve families and other stakeholders appropriately.

Prior to the beginning of the school year, the PDEC will meet to organize a formal plan for professional learning to be instituted for all staff during the school year. Data from the previous year will be considered alongside strategic initiatives to determine the needs for all professionals. Planning will determine the professional learning needs and the corresponding venues for:

- Professional learning for which all staff will participate.
- Sub-group needs and corresponding professional learning.
- Targeted training required to support individuals.

Resources will then be determined to support all three tiers of professional learning in alignment with the BPSD Annual Budget.

Career Development and Professional Growth

The Bethany Public School District will provide opportunities for Educator career development and professional growth based on the results of the evaluation. Educators with an evaluation of Effective or Exemplary will be able to participate in opportunities to further their professional growth, including attending state and national conferences and other professional learning opportunities.

Educators rated Exemplary, the following career development and professional growth opportunities would be available: observation of peers; mentoring/coaching to early career Educators or Educators new to Bethany, participating in development of administrator improvement and remediation plans for peers whose performance is developing or below standard; leading professional learning communities for their peers; and, targeted professional development based on areas of need.

Evaluation – Informed Professional Learning

Bethany Public Schools has established a system upon which its highest performing Educators (those Educators who consistently demonstrate Exemplary Summative Ratings) are provided opportunities for professional learning that replaces the standard protocols for professional learning outlined in the *Bethany Public Schools Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan*. Through their professional growth planning, Educators can control their own professional development after receiving feedback and guidance from their direct Evaluator.

Professional growth options include, but are not limited to the following:

- Peer Coaching – The Peer Coaching option includes the participation of two or more Educators to practice peer support through a collegial approach to the observation and review of learning situations in the classroom. This option requires participation in a training component designed to assist in observation, feedback, and communications techniques.

-
-
- Independent Project – This option allows for the Educator to enrich his/her knowledge of instructional practices or related areas through an examination of professional literature, participation in professional organizations, participation in action research, attendance at seminars, workshops or related professional activities.
 - Reflection – This option allows Educators the opportunity to develop a reflection that focuses on a portion of one of the following.
 - Bethany Public Schools Teaching and Learning Framework.
 - *Connecticut’s Common Core of Teaching.*
 - *Connecticut Common Core State Standards.*
 - Other – Educators are encouraged to creatively explore and design options which improve effectiveness, encourage professional growth and positively impact student learning. Creative options are developed in collaboration with the Evaluator and other district colleagues.

V. Effectiveness and Ineffectiveness of Summative Ratings

An Effective Educator is one who obtains and maintains a final summative rating of three or above. A novice educator shall generally be deemed effective if said educator receives at least two sequential proficient ratings, one of which must be earned in the fourth year of a novice educator’s career. An educator receiving a summative rating of one or two will enter the Educator Assistance Process (EAP). Failing to successfully complete the EAP will result in an educator being defined as ineffective according to state guidelines.

VI. Educator Assistance Process

BPSD expects that Educators will demonstrate “Effective Practice” or higher. In the event that an Educator establishes a pattern of “Developing” or “Below Standard” Practice, the Educator will receive focused supervision, support, and development. The Assistance Plan Process should be collaborative and include the Educator, the Evaluator and other staff directly involved with the support process. The purpose of this Assistance Plan is to provide the Educator with the opportunity and the assistance to improve practice and performance.

A Structured Educator Assistance Plan:

- clearly identifies the area(s) of concern;
- clearly expresses the Evaluator’s expectations for improved performance;
- outlines a plan for improvement which identifies appropriate resources and helps to assist the Educator to improve practice and performance;
- provides a monitoring system which includes a specific minimum number of observations and conferences;
- provides a reasonable and specific time period in which improvement will be made and a review completed.

Tenured Educators

1. If rated a 1 (Below Standard) at the Summative Conference, Tenured Educators will be deemed Ineffective and placed on the Intensive Support Plan for the following school year and
 - if the Tenured Educator has not progressed from the 1 rating after that year they will remain on Intensive Support for a second year. If at the end of the second year the Educator is still rated a 1 they may be recommended for termination. If they are rated a 2 they have one year to advance to a rating of 3.
 - if that Educator has shown growth to be rated a 3 or 4 at the end of the first year of Intensive Support they will be moved off the Educator Assistance Plan.
2. If rated a 2 at the Summative Conference, Tenured Educators will be deemed Ineffective and placed on the Structured Support Plan for the following year and
 - if the Tenured Educator remains at a 2 after the Structured Support year that Educator will be moved to the Intensive Support Plan for the following year. After the year on Intensive Support that Educator must show growth to level 3 or 4 or they may be recommended for termination.
 - if the Tenured Educator has shown growth to a rating of 3 or 4 after the Structured Support year they will be moved off the Educator Assistance Plan.
 - if the Tenured Educator is rated 1 at the end of the Structured Support year that Educator will be placed on intensive support to demonstrate growth. If growth is less than a level 3 by the end of the year the Educator may be recommended for termination.

Non-Tenured Educators

1. Non-Tenured Educators must meet a rating of 3 or 4 for at least two years (one of those being the year of tenure recommendation) in order to be recommended for tenure.
2. Non-Tenured Educators that are rated 2 at the Summative Conference will be placed on the Structured Support Plan for the following year.
3. Non-Tenured Educators rated a 1 at any time may be placed on the Intensive Support Plan or may be recommended for termination.

-
-
4. At the end of the period specified in the Assistance Plan, the Evaluator will provide the Educator with a formal written assessment, which contains:
 - a record of the support which has been provided;
 - a record of the observations/data and/or conferences conducted held to monitor performance;
 - an assessment of performance in the area(s) of concern or deficiency as of the date of the report;
 - a statement about areas of concern or deficiency that have been resolved.
 5. If the final Summative Rating is a 1 or 2, a recommendation for further administrative action which, depending upon the seriousness of the concerns or deficiencies shall include, as appropriate, one of the following:
 - an extension of the terms and limits of the Assistance Plan;
 - revision of the Assistance Plan to include other suggestions for improvement and additional help and an extension of the time limits;
 - Educator moves from Structured to Intensive Support; or
 - other administrative actions up to and including recommendation for termination of employment.
 6. If the final Summative Rating is a 3 or 4 the Educator will be removed from the Assistance Plan.
 - A copy of any written report will be given to the Educator, one will be kept by the Evaluator and one will be forwarded to Central Office for inclusion in the personnel file. The Educator has the right to review the written report before it is filed and may submit written comments to be filed alongside the Form. The Educator may have bargaining unit representation at all conferences if desired and requested. The Superintendent may assign other Evaluators to assist in this process.

Structured Support Plan

Purpose: To provide assistance to an Educator.

Participant: An Educator who has been rated Developing.

Process:

1. Evaluator and Educator meet to define specific areas for improvement. Reasons are provided in writing on the Assistance Plan referral and Action Plan Forms.
2. Educator may select a tenured Peer Educator in good standing to assist with following the plan.

-
-
3. Evaluator and Educator develop a plan using the Structured Support Plan Form which, if followed, will probably lead to improvement in areas identified. The plan must include specific areas of improvement, the support assistance that the school system will provide the level of improvement required and method of assessment. The plan will be reviewed with the Peer Educator, if applicable, and opportunity will be given for input.
 4. The Educator, Evaluator, and any Peer Educator or requested advocates will have a progress monitoring meeting a minimum of once within sixty days of the initial meeting and a minimum of once within sixty days of the Mid-Year Check-In.
 5. The Evaluator, Educator and Peer Educator, if applicable, will review progress at the Mid-Year Conference.
 6. If an Educator successfully completes the Structured Support Plan it will be documented on the Structured Support Follow Up Form at either the Mid-Year or End-of-Year conference.
 7. If concerns are not resolved, a participant has the right to appeal their concerns through the Dispute Resolution Process.
 8. The Peer Educator may be present at any meetings at the Educator's request.
 9. Evidence regarding progress on the Assistance Plan will be collected by the Educator and the Evaluator.

The Structured Support plan consists of:

- Three Announced Classroom Observations during the year.
- Three Unannounced Classroom Observations during the year.

Intensive Support Plan

Purpose: To provide intensive assistance and support to an Educator.

Participant: An Educator who has been rated Ineffective.

Process:

1. Evaluator and Educator meet to define specific areas for improvement within the same timeframe as the initial Goal Setting Conference for all Educators. Reasons are provided in writing on the Intensive Support Plan Form.
2. The Educator and Evaluator will select a tenured Peer Educator in good standing (rated 3 or 4) to assist with following the plan.
3. Evaluator and Peer Educator develop an Intensive Support Plan which, if followed, will probably lead to improvement in areas identified. The plan must include specific areas of improvement, the support assistance that the school system will provide, the level of improvement required and method of assessment. The plan will be reviewed with the Peer Educator and opportunity will be given for input by the Peer Educator.
4. The Educator, Evaluator, Peer Educator and any requested advocates per the plan will meet every thirty days for ongoing progress monitoring of the intensive support plans effectiveness.
5. The Evaluator, Educator and Peer Educator will review progress at the Mid-Year

-
-
- Conference.
6. If an Educator successfully completes the Intensive Support Plan, it will be documented on the Intensive Support Follow Up Form at the End-of-Year Conference.
 7. If concerns are not resolved, a participant has the right to appeal their concerns through the Dispute Resolution Process.
 8. The selected Peer Educator should be present at all meetings with the Educator and Evaluator.
 9. Evidence regarding progress on the plan will be collected by the Educator and the Evaluator.

The Intensive Support Plan consists of a minimum of:

- Three Announced Classroom Observations during the year.
- Three Unannounced Classroom Observations during the year.

The Educator on intensive review may also request a third-party validator to observe and review evidence. The Educator shall be given release time with their Peer Educator to plan and implement strategies for improvement. The Educator shall be provided targeted professional development in accordance with the plan. The identified Peer Educator shall be present during all meetings with the Evaluator. An Educator may appeal for a change in a Peer Educator if a conflict arises.

Dispute Resolution Process

It is hoped that conflicts can be avoided through thoughtful planning, open communication, and calibrated training. On occasion, however, conflicts may arise. In that event, the right of appeal is inherent in the evaluation process and is available to every participant at any point in the process. The appeal procedure is designed to facilitate the resolution of disputes generated by the evaluation process, such as where an Evaluator and Educator cannot agree on objectives/goals, the evaluation period, feedback on performance and practice, or final Summative Rating. The success of the Educator evaluation process is based upon cooperation and mutual respect of both the Educator and Evaluator. Resolutions must be topic specific and timely.

A panel composed of the Superintendent, teacher union president, and a neutral third party shall resolve disputes where the Evaluator and Educator cannot agree on objectives/goals, the evaluation period, feedback on performance and practice, or final Summative Rating. The district may choose alternatives such as a district panel of equal management and union members, the district professional development committee, or a pre-approved outside expert so long as the Superintendent and teacher union president agrees to such alternative at the start of the school year. Should the process established not result in resolution of a given issue, the determination regarding that issue will be made by the Superintendent.


VII. Appendix

APPENDIX A

Bethany Public School District
Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan

Bethany Community School

Balanced Instructional Model



Goal

To develop a comprehensive instructional model, implemented schoolwide, to improve teaching and learning across all content areas. The model focuses on intentional planning, effective instruction, active student engagement, best practices, and thoughtful reflections resulting in improved student achievement.

Purpose

This instructional model combines best teaching practices using a workshop approach. It offers structure and consistency schoolwide, which helps with planning, lesson delivery, management, and instructional decision-making for successful outcomes.

A balanced approach is supported by and tightly aligned to the Connecticut Common Core Standards (CCCS), Common Core of Teaching (CCT), Bethany Public School District Curriculum, and both formative and summative assessments.

Routines and Procedures

Establishing routines and procedures for managing independent reading and writing are critical for success. Routines and procedures should be modeled during a mini lesson, practiced by students during independent reading/writing time, and revisited throughout the school year. Anchor charts displayed throughout the classroom will support routines and procedures to help maintain a productive and balanced literacy block.

Components

Learning Target

- Creates a purpose and interest for students to learn something new
- Informs students about what they will learn
- Explains how students will be expected to demonstrate understanding
- Expressed in student-friendly language (I Can statements)

Whole Group Explicit Instruction

Reading - During whole group explicit reading instruction, the teacher chooses either an interactive read aloud, shared reading, **OR** a reading mini-lesson presented in the “gathering area.”

Writing - During whole group explicit writing instruction, the teacher chooses either an investigative model writing, shared writing, **OR** a writing mini-lesson presented in the “gathering area.”

Interactive Read Aloud

- Limited to 10-15 minutes
- The teacher reads aloud in order to model and demonstrate the orchestration of strategies that characterize proficient reading
- Employ close reading strategies and questioning techniques to study and understand text
- Develops vocabulary and understanding of story structure
- Students receive instruction to help them talk about books (Accountable Talk) either with the group or with a partner (Turn and Talk)
- Includes books with a range of levels, genres, and authors

Investigative Model Writing

- Limited to 10-15 minutes
- The teacher shares model writing pieces (mentor texts, student writing samples, anchor sets) in order to model and demonstrate the characteristics of proficient writing
- Employs close reading strategies to discuss techniques used by the writer
- Develops an understanding of the structure and craft of writing
- Students receive instruction to help them talk about writing (Accountable Talk) either with the group or with a partner (Turn and Talk)
- Includes pieces with a range of levels, genres, and authors

Shared Reading/Writing

- Limited to 10-15 minutes
- An opportunity for the teacher to read the same text with students (all eyes on a shared text)
- Teacher facilitates the composition of a common writing piece based on a shared experience of the class (book review, class trip, current topic of study)
- Students actively participate in discussion and process
- Effective for the demonstration of a particular skill/strategy (concepts of print, word solving strategies, fluency, extracting sources of information, word choice, sentence structure, and organization)
- Shared reading/writing texts are revisited over a few days or during independent reading/writing time with each day exploring a different focus

Mini Lesson

- A short (10 – 15 minute) lesson that focuses on a specific skill, strategy or procedure
- Connect with what was learned in previous lesson “Yesterday, we _____. Today we will learn ___ because _____.”
- The learning target (what we want the students to learn) is clearly communicated and posted– refer to the “I Can” Statements
- Create Anchor Charts that can be referred to often by students to foster independence and self-regulation
- Gradual release of responsibility model:

I DO -Teacher models skill, strategy, or procedure - “Watch what I do...”; “Notice...”

WE DO - Students practice the learning target (skill, strategy, or procedure) with teacher support - Teacher clarifies misunderstandings or reinforces what is done correctly “I like the way that...” or “I noticed that you...”

YOU DO IT TOGETHER -Students have 1 - 2 mins to turn and share their thinking about the learning target with their partners

YOU DO IT ALONE - During independent work time, students apply and practice the skill, strategy, or procedure independently - “Now, it’s time for you to try...”

Independent Practice and Small Group Instruction

This is the longest part of the instructional model. The purpose is to practice a skill or strategy aligned to the learning target. During Independent Work, the teacher confers individually, takes small groups based on similar needs, and assesses student learning. These groups may consist of differentiated guided reading, guided writing, and strategy groups. They offer opportunities for repeated practice and progress monitoring. Teachers coach students through challenges and provide enrichment.

Independent Reading/Writing

Typically, independent reading/writing time is 20 – 40 minutes and the goal is to build stamina.

Classroom management is essential and has to be established for successful student experiences.

Students

- Can read/write independently, work collaboratively in small groups (book clubs, partner work, peer conferencing etc.), or work on a project that focuses on the concept
- Select appropriate text, genre, level with teacher guidance
- Complete journal entries and/or written responses as assigned by teacher

Teacher

- Confer - learn about what strategies the student is currently using and determine what is still needed. Choose a compliment that reinforces a strength and decide on the "next step". Support the student in practicing it. Remind your student of your expectations on this "next step" as he/she continues to work
- Assess to determine next instructional step(s)
- Differentiate through small group instruction

Small Group Instruction

Guided Reading/Writing Groups

- Approximately 15 minutes but no more than 20 minutes of explicit instruction for each group
- Generally a homogeneous group, reading/writing on the same level of difficulty and are flexible by nature
- Teacher chooses text/piece that is at the students' instructional level
- Teacher gives a quick introduction of the text and presents a strategic skill or strategy learning target that she/he wants the children to remember to use
- Teacher observes students reading/writing and coaches them and provides targeted feedback
- Students instructed to practice the skill/strategy when they return to desks

Skill/Strategy Lesson

- Approximately 10 minutes
- During a skill/strategy lesson, the teacher pulls a small group who need similar coaching or support
- The students may or may not be reading on the same level
- Learning target is communicated
- Gradual release of responsibility model – teacher models and students practice with support

Closure

- 5 - 10 minutes
- Time is used to share ways in which students incorporated the day's learning target into their work or students can summarize what they learned
- Teacher might retell a conference or ask a student to share their work
- Provide a nugget to think about for next class
- Assign homework (if applicable)

Word Work

- A 15 - 20 minute mini lesson that focuses on topics such as phonics, grammar, spelling, roots and prefixes, word analysis, and vocabulary development
- Lesson targets come from student writing samples or *Foundations* scope and sequence
- The goal is for students to transfer skills into their reading and writing
- Practice is provided during independent time either alone or within a group as part of a center activity

References

Blushey, G., and Moser, J. *The Daily Five*

Collins, K. *Growing Readers*

Fountas, I., and Pinnell, G. (2001) *Guiding readers and writers*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann. (Chapter 8 – *Planning Effective Mini Lessons and Conferences*)

Fountas, I., and Pinnell, G. (2001) *Guiding readers and writers*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann. (Chapter 9 – *Getting Started the First 20 Days of Independent Reading*)

Holdaway, D. *The foundations of literacy*.

Marshall, J. *The Highly Effective Teacher*

Marzano, R. *What Works in Schools: Translating Research into Action*

Parkes, B. *Read it again! Revisiting shared reading*

Sarravallo, J. *The Literacy Teacher's Playbook K-2 and 3-6*

APPENDIX B

Bethany Public School District
Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan

The Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014

*A Rubric for the Observation of Teacher Performance and Practice
to Help Identify the Foundational Skills and Competency Standards
that will Prepare Connecticut Students to Succeed
in College, Career and Life.*



Table of Contents

CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014 Development Committee	1
Introduction (<i>CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014, Training and Proficiency, Calibration, Observation Process</i>)	2, 3
Key Instructional Competencies and Organization of the Rubric	4
CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014 – AT A GLANCE	5
1: Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning	
1a. Creating a positive learning environment	6
1b. Promoting developmentally appropriate standards of behavior	7
1c. Maximizing instructional time	8
2: Planning for Active Learning	
2a. Planning of instructional content	9
2b. Planning instruction to cognitively engage students	10
2c. Selecting appropriate assessment strategies	11
3: Instruction for Active Learning	
3a. Implementing instructional content	12
3b. Leading students to construct meaning and apply new learning	13
3c. Assessing student learning, providing feedback to students and adjustments to instruction	14
4: Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership	
4a. Engaging in continuous professional learning	15
4b. Collaborating to develop and sustain a professional learning environment	16
4c. Working with colleagues, students and families to develop and sustain a positive school climate	17
Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Policy	18



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

sde.seed@ct.gov



CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching Committee

Connecticut State Department of Education

Stefan Pryor,
Commissioner

Talent Office

Dr. Sarah Barzee,
Chief Talent Officer

Shannon Marimon,
*Division Director,
Educator Effectiveness and
Professional Learning*

Academic Office

Dr. Dianna Roberge-Wentzell,
Chief Academic Officer

Ellen Cohn,
*Division Director,
Academic Office*

CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching Committee Members

Project Manager

Claudine Primack,
*Education Consultant,
Educator Effectiveness
and Professional Learning,
CSDE*

Facilitators

Dr. Sandy Greenberg,
*Professional Examination
Services*

Pat Muenzen,
*Professional Examination
Services*

Committee Members/Contributing Authors:

Roxanne Augelli, *Waterbury*

Diane Ayer, *Lebanon*

Michelle Cirillo, *Ellington*

Teresa Debrito, *Region 12*

Vicki DeLeo, *Bolton*

Michael DiCicco, *Mansfield*

Sandra Dunnack, *Chaplin*

Kevin Egan, *Waterbury*

Kim Gallo, *Region 12*

Eileen Howley, *LEARN*

Kathleen Koljian,
Windham (AFT)

Dave Levenduski, *Meriden*

Tom Lindenmuth,
South Windsor (CEA)

Katherine Lopez, *Meriden*

Pat Michaels, *CES/
Western CT State University*

Steven Murphy, *Stonington*

Carly Quiros,
Education Connection

Darren Schwartz,
Waterbury

Linda Skoglund,
New Britain (AFT)

Patti Fusco,
West Haven (AFT)

Everett Lyons, *CAS*

Mike Galuzzo, *CAS*

CSDE Consultants/Contributing Authors:

Georgette Nemr,
*Professional Standards
and Certification*

Kim Wachtelhausen,
*Educator Effectiveness and
Professional Learning and
TEAM Program Manager*

Rhonda Kempton,
Special Education

Scott Shuler,
Academic Office, Music

Charlene Tate-Nichols,
Academic Office, Math

Joe DiGarbo,
*Academic Office,
Assessment*

Jennifer Webb,
*Academic Office,
English Language Arts*

William Howe,
*Academic Office,
Culturally-Responsive
Education and Multi-cultural
Education*

Other Contributors:

Duffy Miller,
TeachingLearningSolutions

Michele O'Neil,
*Connecticut Education
Association (CEA)*



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

1

sde.seed@ct.gov



Introduction to The CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014

The Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) - Foundational Skills (1999), revised and adopted by the State Board of Education in February 2010, establishes a vision for teaching and learning in Connecticut Public Schools. State law and regulations link the CCT to various professional requirements that span a teacher's career, including preparation, induction and teacher evaluation and support. These teaching standards identify the foundational skills and competencies that pertain to all teachers, regardless of the subject matter, field or age group they teach. The standards articulate the knowledge, skills and qualities that Connecticut teachers need to prepare students to meet 21st-century challenges to succeed in college, career and life. The philosophy behind the CCT is that teaching requires more than simply demonstrating a certain set of technical skills. These competencies have long been established as the standards expected of all Connecticut teachers.

Training and Proficiency

Accurate and reliable evaluation of the competencies and indicators outlined with the *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* can only be achieved through careful, rigorous training and demonstrated proficiency that build on the experience base and professional judgment of the educators who use this instrument. The *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* should never be used without the grounding provided by experience and training. As part of the CSDE-sponsored training, evaluators will be provided sample performances and artifacts, as well as decision rules to guide their ratings. The *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* is not a checklist with predetermined points. Rather, it is a tool that is combined with training to ensure consistency and reliability of the collection of evidence and the evaluative decisions. The *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* represents the criteria in which evaluators will be trained to describe the level of performance observed.

Calibration

To ensure consistent and fair evaluations across different observers, settings and teachers, observers need to regularly calibrate their judgments against those of their colleagues. Engaging in ongoing calibration activities conducted around a common understanding of good teaching will help to establish inter-rater reliability and ensure fair and consistent evaluations. Calibration activities offer the opportunity to participate in rich discussion and reflection through which to deepen understanding of the *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* and ensure that the observers can accurately measure educator practice against the indicators within the classroom observation tool.



Observation Process

The *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* will be used by trained and proficient evaluators to observe a teacher. Each teacher shall be observed at a minimum as stated in the Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation. In order to capture an authentic view of practice and to promote a culture of openness and comfort with frequent observations and feedback, it is recommended that evaluators use a combination of announced and unannounced observations. All observations should be followed by feedback, either verbal (e.g., a post conference, comments about professional meetings/presentations, etc.) or written (e.g., via email, comprehensive write-up, etc.) or both, within days of an observation. Specific, actionable feedback is also used to identify teacher development needs and tailor support to those needs. Further guidance on the observation protocol is provided in the Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation or in the System for Educator Evaluation and Development (SEED) state model <http://www.connecticutseed.org>

Evidence can be gathered from **formal in-class observations**, **informal classroom observations** or **non-classroom observations/review of practice**. Although the *Guidelines for Educator Evaluation* do not specifically define these types of observations and districts may define them as part of their district evaluation and support plans, the state model SEED provides the following definitions:

Formal In-Class Observations: last at least 30 minutes and are followed by a post-observation conference, which includes timely written and verbal feedback.

Informal In-class Observations: last at least 10 minutes and are followed by written and/or verbal feedback.

Non-classroom Observations/Reviews of Practice: include but are not limited to: observation of data team meetings, observations of coaching/mentoring other teachers, review of lesson plans or other teaching artifacts.

The following protocol may be used for conducting a formal in-class observation that requires a pre- and post-conference:

- A. Pre-Conference:** Before the observation, the evaluator will review planning documentation and other relevant and supporting artifacts provided by the teacher in order to understand the context for instruction, including but not limited to: the learning objectives, curricular standards alignment, differentiation of instruction for particular students, assessments used before or during instruction, resources and materials.
- B. Observation:** Observers will collect evidence mostly for Domains 1 and 3 during the in-class observation.
- C. Post-Conference:** The post-observation conference gives the teacher the opportunity to reflect on and discuss the lesson/practice observed, progress of students, adjustments made during the lesson, further supporting artifacts as well as describe the impact on future instruction and student learning.
- D. Analysis:** The evaluator analyzes the evidence gathered in the observation and the pre- and post-conferences and identifies the applicable performance descriptors contained in the *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014*.
- E. Ratings/Feedback:** Based on the training guidelines for the *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014*, the evaluator will tag evidence to the appropriate indicator within the domains and provide feedback to the teacher. While it is not a requirement for any single observation, evaluators may rate the indicators.



Comparison of the CT Common Core of Teaching and the *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014*

The *Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* is completely aligned with the CCT. The *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* will be used to evaluate a teacher's performance and practice, which accounts for 40 percent of a teacher's annual summative rating, as required in the Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation and the state model, the System for Educator Evaluation and Development (SEED).

Because teaching is a complex, integrated activity, the domain indicators from the original CCT have been consolidated and reorganized in this rubric for the purpose of describing essential and critical aspects of a teacher's practice. For the purpose of the rubric, the domains have also been renumbered. The four domains and 12 indicators (three per domain) identify the essential aspects of a teacher's performance and practice:

CT Common Core of Teaching Standards

- Domain 1 Content and Essential Skills which includes *The Common Core State Standards*¹ and Connecticut Content Standards
- Domain 2 Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning
- Domain 3 Planning for Active Learning
- Domain 4 Instruction for Active Learning
- Domain 5 Assessment for Learning
- Domain 6 Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership

CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014

Generally Observed

Demonstrated at the pre-service level as a pre-requisite to certification and embedded within the rubric.

Domain 1

Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning

In-Class Observations

Domain 2

Planning for Active Learning

Non-classroom observations/ reviews of practice

Domain 3

Instruction for Active Learning

In-Class Observations

Now integrated throughout the other domains

Domain 4

Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership

Non-classroom observations/ reviews of practice

¹ Text in RED throughout the document reflects *Common Core State Standards*



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

4

sde.seed@ct.gov



Evidence Generally Collected Through In-Class Observations

Domain Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning²

1

Teachers promote student engagement, independence and interdependence in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by:

- 1a.** Creating a positive learning environment that is responsive to and respectful of the learning needs of all students.
- 1b.** Promoting developmentally appropriate standards of behavior that support a productive learning environment for all students.
- 1c.** Maximizing instructional time by effectively managing routines and transitions.

Domain Instruction for Active Learning

3

Teachers implement instruction to engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

- 3a.** Implementing instructional content for learning.
- 3b.** Leading students to construct meaning and apply new learning through the use of a variety of differentiated and evidence-based learning strategies.
- 3c.** Assessing student learning, providing feedback to students and adjusting instruction.

Evidence Generally Collected Through Non-Classroom/Reviews of Practice

Domain Planning for Active Learning

2

Teachers plan instruction to engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

- 2a.** Planning of instructional content that is aligned with standards, builds on students' prior knowledge and provides for appropriate level of challenge for all students.
- 2b.** Planning instruction to cognitively engage students in the content.
- 2c.** Selecting appropriate assessment strategies to monitor student progress.

Domain Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership

4

Teachers maximize support for student learning by developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership by:

- 4a.** Engaging in continuous professional learning to impact instruction and student learning.
- 4b.** Collaborating to develop and sustain a professional learning environment to support student learning.
- 4c.** Working with colleagues, students and families to develop and sustain a positive school climate that supports student learning.



1: Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning

Teachers promote *student engagement, independence and interdependence* in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by:

Indicator 1a | Creating a positive learning environment that is responsive to and respectful of the learning needs of all students.²

Below Standard

Developing

Proficient

Exemplary

Attributes

In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:

Rapport and positive social interactions

Interactions between teacher and students are negative or disrespectful and/or the teacher does not promote positive social interactions among students.

Interactions between teacher and students are generally positive and respectful and/or the teacher inconsistently makes attempts to promote positive social interactions among students.

Interactions between teacher and students are consistently positive and respectful and the teacher regularly promotes positive social interactions among students.

There is no disrespectful behavior between students and/or when necessary, students appropriately correct one another.

Respect for student diversity³

Does not establish a learning environment that is respectful of students' cultural, social and/or developmental differences and/or the teacher does not address disrespectful behavior.

Establishes a learning environment that is inconsistently respectful of students' cultural, social and/or developmental differences.

Maintains a learning environment that is consistently respectful of all students' cultural, social and/or developmental differences.

Acknowledges and incorporates students' cultural, social and developmental diversity to enrich learning opportunities.

Environment supportive of intellectual risk-taking

Creates a learning environment that discourages students from taking intellectual risks.

Creates a learning environment in which some students are willing to take intellectual risks.

Creates a learning environment in which most students are willing to take intellectual risks.

Students are willing to take intellectual risks and are encouraged to respectfully question or challenge ideas presented by the teacher or other students.

High expectations for student learning

Establishes low expectations for student learning.

Establishes expectations for learning for some, but not all students; OR is inconsistent in communicating high expectations for student learning.

Establishes and consistently reinforces high expectations for learning for all students.

Creates opportunities for students to set high goals and take responsibility for their own learning.

² *Learning needs of all students:* Includes understanding typical and atypical growth and development of PK-12 students, including characteristics and performance of students with disabilities, gifted/talented students, and English language learners. Teachers take into account the impact of race, ethnicity, culture, language, socioeconomic status and environment on the learning needs of students.

⁴ *Student diversity:* Recognizing individual differences including, but not limited to race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, age, physical abilities, intellectual abilities, religious beliefs, political beliefs, or other ideologies.



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

6

sde.seed@ct.gov



1: Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning

Teachers promote *student engagement, independence and interdependence* in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by:

Indicator 1b | Promoting developmentally appropriate standards of behavior that support a productive learning environment for all students.

Below Standard

Developing

Proficient

Exemplary

Attributes

In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:

Communicating, reinforcing and maintaining appropriate standards of behavior

Demonstrates little or no evidence that standards of behavior have been established; and/or minimally enforces expectations (e.g., rules and consequences) resulting in interference with student learning.

Establishes standards of behavior but inconsistently enforces expectations resulting in some interference with student learning.

Establishes high standards of behavior, which are consistently reinforced resulting in little or no interference with student learning.

Student behavior is completely appropriate.
OR
Teacher seamlessly responds to misbehavior without any loss of instructional time.

Promoting social competence⁴ and responsible behavior

Provides little to no instruction and/or opportunities for students to develop social skills and responsible behavior.

Inconsistently teaches, models, and/or reinforces social skills; does not routinely provide students with opportunities to self-regulate and take responsibility for their actions.

When necessary, explicitly teaches, models, and/or positively reinforces social skills; routinely builds students' capacity to self-regulate and take responsibility for their actions.

Students take an active role in maintaining high standards of behaviors.
OR
Students are encouraged to independently use proactive strategies⁵ and social skills and take responsibility for their actions.

⁴ **Social competence:** Exhibiting self-awareness, self-management, social awareness and social skills at appropriate times and with sufficient frequency to be effective in the situation (Boyatzis, Goleman, & Rhee, 2000).

⁵ **Proactive strategies:** Include self-regulation strategies, problem-solving strategies, conflict-resolution processes, interpersonal communication and responsible decision-making.



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868



sde.seed@ct.gov



1: Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning

Teachers promote *student engagement, independence and interdependence* in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by:

Indicator 1c | Maximizing instructional time by effectively managing routines and transitions.⁶

Below Standard

Developing

Proficient

Exemplary

Attributes

Routines and transitions appropriate to needs of students

Does not establish or ineffectively establishes routines and transitions, resulting in significant loss of instructional time.

Inconsistently establishes routines and transitions, resulting in some loss of instructional time.

Establishes routines and transitions resulting in maximized instructional time.

Teacher encourages and/or provides opportunities for students to independently facilitate routines and transitions.

In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:

⁶ **Routines and transitions:** Routines are non-instructional organizational activities such as taking attendance or distributing materials in preparation for instruction. Transitions are non-instructional activities such as moving from one classroom activity, grouping, task or context to another.



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

8

sde.seed@ct.gov



2: Planning for Active Learning

Teachers plan instruction to *engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large* by:

Indicator 2a | Planning of instructional content that is aligned with standards, builds on students' prior knowledge and provides for appropriate level of challenge⁷ for all students.

	Below Standard	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Attributes				<i>In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:</i>
Content of lesson plan⁸ is aligned with standards	Plans content that is misaligned with or does not address the Common Core State Standards and/or other appropriate Connecticut content standards. ⁹	Plans content that partially addresses Common Core State Standards and/or other appropriate Connecticut content standards.	Plans content that directly addresses Common Core State Standards and/or other appropriate Connecticut content standards.	Plans for anticipation of misconceptions, ambiguities or challenges and considers multiple ways of how to address these in advance.
Content of lesson appropriate to sequence of lessons and appropriate level of challenge	Does not appropriately sequence content of the lesson plan.	Partially aligns content of the lesson plan within the sequence of lessons; and inconsistently supports an appropriate level of challenge.	Aligns content of the lesson plan within the sequence of lessons; and supports an appropriate level of challenge.	Plans to challenges students to extend their learning to make interdisciplinary connections.
Use of data to determine students' prior knowledge and differentiation based on students' learning needs	Uses general curriculum goals to plan common instruction and learning tasks without consideration of data, students' prior knowledge or different learning needs.	Uses appropriate, whole class data to plan instruction with limited attention to prior knowledge and/or skills of individual students.	Uses multiple sources of appropriate data to determine individual students' prior knowledge and skills to plan targeted, purposeful instruction that advances the learning of students.	Plans for students to identify their own learning needs based on their own individual data.
Literacy strategies¹⁰	Plans instruction that includes few opportunities for students to develop literacy skills or academic vocabulary.	Plans instruction that includes some opportunities for students to develop literacy skills or academic vocabulary in isolation.	Plans instruction that integrates literacy strategies and academic vocabulary.	Designs opportunities to allow students to independently select literacy strategies that support their learning for the task.

Text in RED reflects Common Core State Standards connections.

⁷ **Level of challenge:** The range of challenge in which a learner can progress because the task is neither too hard nor too easy. Bloom's Taxonomy - provides a way to organize thinking skills into six levels, from the most basic to the more complex levels of thinking to facilitate complex reasoning. Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) a scale of cognitive demand identified as four distinct levels (1. basic recall of facts, concepts, information, or procedures; 2. skills and concepts such as the use of information (graphs) or requires two or more steps with decision points along the way; 3. strategic thinking that requires reasoning and is abstract and complex; and 4. extended thinking such as an investigation or application to real work). Hess's Cognitive Rigor Matrix - aligns Bloom's Taxonomy levels and Webb's Depth-of-Knowledge levels.

⁸ **Lesson plan:** a purposeful planned learning experience.

⁹ **Connecticut content standards:** Standards developed for all content areas including Early Learning and Development Standards (ELDS) for early childhood educators.

¹⁰ **Literacy strategies:** Literacy is the ability to convey meaning and understand meaning in a variety of text forms (e.g., print, media, music, art, movement). Literacy strategies include communicating through language (reading/writing, listening/speaking); using the academic vocabulary of the discipline; interpreting meaning within the discipline; and communicating through the discipline. Research shows that teacher integration of effective discipline-specific literacy strategies results in improved student learning.

2: Planning for Active Learning

Teachers plan instruction to engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

Indicator 2b | Planning instruction to cognitively engage students in the content.

	Below Standard	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Attributes				In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:
Strategies, tasks and questions cognitively engage students	Plans instructional tasks that limit opportunities for students' cognitive engagement.	Plans primarily teacher-directed instructional strategies, tasks and questions that provide some opportunities for students' cognitive engagement.	Plans instructional strategies, tasks and questions that promote student cognitive engagement through problem-solving, critical or creative thinking, discourse ¹¹ or inquiry-based learning ¹² and/or application to other situations.	Plans to release responsibility to the students to apply and/or extend learning beyond the learning expectation.
Instructional resources¹³ and flexible groupings¹⁴ support cognitive engagement and new learning	Selects or designs resources and/or groupings that do not cognitively engage students or support new learning.	Selects or designs resources and/or groupings that minimally engage students cognitively and minimally support new learning.	Selects or designs resources and/or flexible groupings that cognitively engage students in real world, global and/or career connections that support new learning.	Selects or designs resources for interdisciplinary connections that cognitively engage students and extend new learning.

Text in RED reflects Common Core State Standards connections.

- ¹¹ **Discourse:** Is defined as the purposeful interaction between teachers and students and students and students, in which ideas and multiple perspectives are represented, communicated and challenged, with the goal of creating greater meaning or understanding. Discourse can be oral dialogue (conversation), written dialogue (reaction, thoughts, feedback), visual dialogue (charts, graphs, paintings or images that represent student and teacher thinking/reasoning); or dialogue through technological or digital resources.
- ¹² **Inquiry-based learning:** Occurs when students generate knowledge and meaning from their experiences and work collectively or individually to study a problem or answer a question. Work is often structured around projects that require students to engage in the solution of a particular community-based, school-based or regional or global problem which has relevance to their world. The teacher's role in inquiry-based learning is one of facilitator or resource rather than dispenser of knowledge.
- ¹³ **Instructional resources:** Includes, but are not limited to available: textbooks, books, supplementary reading and information resources, periodicals, newspapers, charts, programs, online and electronic resources and subscription databases, e-books, computer software, kits, games, transparencies, pictures, posters, art prints, study prints, sculptures, models, maps, globes, motion pictures, audio and video recordings, DVDs, software, streaming media, multimedia, dramatic productions, performances, concerts, written and performed music, bibliographies and lists of references issued by professional personnel, speakers (human resources) and all other instructional resources needed for educational purposes.
- ¹⁴ **Flexible groupings:** Groupings of students that are changeable based on the purpose of the instructional activity and on changes in the instructional needs of individual students over time.



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

10

sde.seed@ct.gov



2: Planning for Active Learning

Teachers plan instruction to *engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:*

Indicator 2C | Selecting appropriate assessment strategies¹⁵ to monitor student progress.

	Below Standard	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Attributes				<i>In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:</i>
Criteria for student success	Does not plan criteria for student success; and/or does not plan opportunities for students to self-assess.	Plans general criteria for student success; and/or plans some opportunities for students to self-assess.	Plans specific criteria for student success; and plans opportunities for students to self-assess using the criteria.	Plans to include students in developing criteria for monitoring their own success.
Ongoing assessment of student learning	Plans assessment strategies that are limited or not aligned to intended instructional outcomes.	Plans assessment strategies that are partially aligned to intended instructional outcomes OR strategies that elicit only minimal evidence of student learning.	Plans assessment strategies to elicit specific evidence of student learning of intended instructional outcomes at critical points throughout the lesson.	Plans strategies to engage students in using assessment criteria to self-monitor and reflect upon their own progress.

¹⁵ *Assessment strategies* are used to evaluate student learning during and after instruction.

1. **Formative assessment** is a part of the instructional process, used by teachers and students during instruction that provides feedback to adjust ongoing teaching and learning to improve students' achievement of intended instructional outcomes (FAST SCASS, October 2006).
2. **Summative assessments** are used to evaluate student learning at the end of an instructional period. Summative assessment helps determine to what extent the instructional and learning goals have been met.



Connecticut State Department of Education

HOTLINE: 860-713-6868

11

sde.seed@ct.gov



3: Instruction for Active Learning

Teachers implement instruction to engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

Indicator 3a | Implementing instructional content¹⁶ for learning.

Below Standard

Developing

Proficient

Exemplary

Attributes

In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:

<p>Instructional purpose</p>	<p>Does not clearly communicate learning expectations to students.</p>	<p>Communicates learning expectations to students and sets a general purpose for instruction, which may require further clarification.</p>	<p>Clearly communicates learning expectations to students and sets a specific purpose for instruction and helps students to see how the learning is aligned with Common Core State Standards and/or other appropriate Connecticut content standards.</p>	<p>Students are encouraged to explain how the learning is situated within the broader learning context/curriculum.</p>
<p>Content accuracy</p>	<p>Makes multiple content errors.</p>	<p>Makes minor content errors.</p>	<p>Makes no content errors.</p>	<p>Invites students to explain the content to their classmates.</p>
<p>Content progression and level of challenge</p>	<p>Presents instructional content that lacks a logical progression; and/or level of challenge is at an inappropriate level to advance student learning.</p>	<p>Presents instructional content in a generally logical progression and/or at a somewhat appropriate level of challenge to advance student learning.</p>	<p>Clearly presents instructional content in a logical and purposeful progression and at an appropriate level of challenge to advance learning of all students.</p>	<p>Challenges students to extend their learning beyond the lesson expectations and make cross-curricular connections.</p>
<p>Literacy strategies¹⁷</p>	<p>Presents instruction with few opportunities for students to develop literacy skills and/or academic vocabulary.</p>	<p>Presents instruction with some opportunities for students to develop literacy skills and/or academic vocabulary.</p>	<p>Presents instruction that consistently integrates multiple literacy strategies and explicit instruction in academic vocabulary.</p>	<p>Provides opportunities for students to independently select literacy strategies that support their learning.</p>

Text in RED reflects Common Core State Standards connections.

¹⁶ **Content:** Discipline-specific knowledge, skills and deep understandings as described by relevant state and national professional standards.

¹⁷ **Literacy strategies:** To convey meaning and understand meaning in a variety of text forms (e.g., print, media, music, art, movement). Literacy strategies include communicating through language (reading/writing, listening/speaking); using the academic vocabulary of the discipline; interpreting meaning within the discipline; and communicating through the discipline. Research shows that teacher integration of effective discipline-specific literacy strategies results in student learning.



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

12

sde.seed@ct.gov



3: Instruction for Active Learning

Teachers implement instruction to engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

Indicator 3b | Leading students to construct meaning and apply new learning through the use of a variety of differentiated and evidence-based learning strategies.

	Below Standard	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Attributes				<i>In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:</i>
Strategies, tasks and questions	Includes tasks that do not lead students to construct new and meaningful learning and that focus primarily on low cognitive demand or recall of information.	Includes a combination of tasks and questions in an attempt to lead students to construct new learning, but are of low cognitive demand and/or recall of information with some opportunities for problem-solving, critical thinking and/or purposeful discourse or inquiry.	Employs differentiated strategies, tasks and questions that cognitively engage students in constructing new and meaningful learning through appropriately integrated recall, problem-solving, critical and creative thinking, purposeful discourse and/or inquiry. At times, students take the lead and develop their own questions and problem-solving strategies.	Includes opportunities for students to work collaboratively to generate their own questions and problem-solving strategies, synthesize and communicate information.
Instructional resources¹⁸ and flexible groupings	Uses resources and/or groupings that do not cognitively engage students or support new learning.	Uses resources and/or groupings that minimally engage students cognitively and support new learning.	Uses resources and flexible groupings that cognitively engage students in demonstrating new learning in multiple ways, including application of new learning to make interdisciplinary, real world, career or global connections.	Promotes student ownership, self-direction and choice of resources and/or flexible groupings to develop their learning.
Student responsibility and independence	Implements instruction that is primarily teacher-directed, providing little or no opportunities for students to develop independence as learners.	Implements instruction that is mostly teacher directed, but provides some opportunities for students to develop independence as learners and share responsibility for the learning process.	Implements instruction that provides multiple opportunities for students to develop independence as learners and share responsibility for the learning process.	Implements instruction that supports and challenges students to identify various ways to approach learning tasks that will be effective for them as individuals and will result in quality work.

Text in RED reflects Common Core State Standards connections.

¹⁸ **Instructional resources:** includes, but are not limited to textbooks, books, supplementary reading and information resources, periodicals, newspapers, charts, programs, online and electronic resources and subscription databases, e-books, computer software, kits, games, transparencies, pictures, posters, art prints, study prints, sculptures, models, maps, globes, motion pictures, audio and video recordings, DVDs, software, streaming media, multimedia, dramatic productions, performances, concerts, written and performed music, bibliographies and lists of references issued by professional personnel, speakers (human resources) and all other instructional resources needed for educational purposes.



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE: 860-713-6868



sde.seed@ct.gov



3: Instruction for Active Learning

Teachers implement instruction to engage students in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

Indicator 3C | Assessing student learning, providing feedback to students and adjusting instruction.

	Below Standard	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Attributes				<i>In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:</i>
Criteria for student success	Does not communicate criteria for success and/or opportunities for students to self-assess are rare.	Communicates general criteria for success and provides limited opportunities for students to self-assess.	Communicates specific criteria for success and provides multiple opportunities for students to self-assess.	Integrates student input in generating specific criteria for assignments.
Ongoing assessment of student learning	Assesses student learning with focus limited to task completion and/or compliance rather than student achievement of lesson purpose/objective.	Assesses student learning with focus on whole-class progress toward achievement of the intended instructional outcomes.	Assesses student learning with focus on eliciting evidence of learning at critical points in the lesson in order to monitor individual and group progress toward achievement of the intended instructional outcomes.	Promotes students' independent monitoring and self-assess, helping themselves or their peers to improve their learning.
Feedback¹⁹ to students	Provides no meaningful feedback or feedback lacks specificity and/or is inaccurate.	Provides feedback that partially guides students toward the intended instructional outcomes.	Provides individualized, descriptive feedback that is accurate, actionable and helps students advance their learning.	Encourages peer feedback that is specific and focuses on advancing student learning.
Instructional Adjustments²⁰	Makes no attempts to adjust instruction.	Makes some attempts to adjust instruction that is primarily in response to whole-group performance.	Adjusts instruction as necessary in response to individual and group performance.	Students identify ways to adjust instruction that will be effective for them as individuals and results in quality work.

¹⁹ **Feedback:** Effective feedback provided by the teacher is descriptive and immediate and helps students improve their performance by telling them what they are doing right and provides meaningful, appropriate and specific suggestions to help students to improve their performance.

²⁰ **Instructional adjustment:** Based on the monitoring of student understanding, teachers make purposeful decisions on changes that need to be made in order to help students achieve learning expectations.



4: Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership

Teachers maximize support for student learning by *developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership* by:

Indicator 4a | Engaging in continuous professional learning to impact instruction and student learning.

	Below Standard	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Attributes				<i>In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:</i>
Teacher self-evaluation/ reflection and impact on student learning	Insufficiently reflects on/ analyzes practice and impact on student learning.	Self-evaluates and reflects on practice and impact on student learning, but makes limited efforts to improve individual practice.	Self-evaluates and reflects on individual practice and impact on student learning, identifies areas for improvement, and takes action to improve professional practice.	Uses ongoing self-evaluation and reflection to initiate professional dialogue with colleagues to improve collective practices to address learning, school and professional needs.
Response to feedback	Unwillingly accepts feedback and recommendations for improving practice.	Reluctantly accepts feedback and recommendations for improving practice, but changes in practice are limited.	Willingly accepts feedback and makes changes in practice based on feedback.	Proactively seeks feedback in order to improve a range of professional practices.
Professional learning	Attends required professional learning opportunities but resists participating.	Participates in professional learning when asked but makes minimal contributions.	Participates actively in required professional learning and seeks out opportunities within and beyond the school to strengthen skills and apply new learning to practice.	Takes a lead in and/or initiates opportunities for professional learning with colleagues.



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

15

sde.seed@ct.gov



4: Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership

Teachers maximize support for student learning by *developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership* by:

Indicator 4b Collaborating to develop and sustain a professional learning environment to support student learning.

	Below Standard	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Attributes				<i>In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:</i>
Collaboration with colleagues	Attends required meetings to review data but does not use data to adjust instructional practices.	Participates minimally with colleagues to analyze data and uses results to make minor adjustments to instructional practices.	Collaborates with colleagues on an ongoing basis to synthesize and analyze data and adjusts subsequent instruction to improve student learning.	Supports and assists colleagues in gathering, synthesizing and evaluating data to adapt planning and instructional practices that support professional growth and student learning.
Contribution to professional learning environment	Disregards ethical codes of conduct and professional standards.	Acts in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards.	Supports colleagues in exploring and making ethical decisions and adhering to professional standards.	Collaborates with colleagues to deepen the learning community's awareness of the moral and ethical demands of professional practice.
Ethical use of technology	Disregards established rules and policies in accessing and using information and technology in a safe, legal and ethical manner.	Adheres to established rules and policies in accessing and using information and technology in a safe, legal and ethical manner.	Models safe, legal and ethical use of information and technology and takes steps to prevent the misuse of information and technology.	Advocates for and promotes the safe, legal and ethical use of information and technology throughout the school community.



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

16

sde.seed@ct.gov



4: Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership

Teachers maximize support for student learning by **developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership** by:

Indicator 4C | Working with colleagues, students and families to develop and sustain a positive school climate that supports student learning.

	Below Standard	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Attributes				<i>In addition to the characteristics of Proficient, including one or more of the following:</i>
Positive school climate	Does not contribute to a positive school climate.	Participates in schoolwide efforts to develop a positive school climate but makes minimal contributions.	Engages with colleagues, students and families in developing and sustaining a positive school climate.	Leads efforts within and outside the school to improve and strengthen the school climate.
Family and community engagement	Limits communication with families about student academic or behavioral performance to required reports and conferences.	Communicates with families about student academic or behavioral performance through required reports and conferences; and makes some attempts to build relationships through additional communications.	Communicates frequently and proactively with families about learning expectations and student academic or behavioral performance; and develops positive relationships with families to promote student success.	Supports colleagues in developing effective ways to communicate with families and engage them in opportunities to support their child's learning; and seeks input from families and communities to support student growth and development.
Culturally responsive communications²¹	Sometimes demonstrates lack of respect for cultural differences when communicating with students and families OR demonstrates bias and/or negativity in the community.	Generally communicates with families and the community in a culturally-responsive manner.	Consistently communicates with families and the community in a culturally-responsive manner.	Leads efforts to enhance culturally-responsive communications with families and the community.

²¹ **Culturally-responsive communications:** Using the cultural knowledge, prior experiences and performance styles of diverse students to make learning more appropriate and effective for students and to build bridges of meaningfulness between home and school experiences.



Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Policy

The Connecticut State Department of Education is committed to a policy of equal opportunity/affirmative action for all qualified persons. The Department of Education does not discriminate in any employment practice, education program, or educational activity on the basis of race, color, religious creed, sex, age, national origin, ancestry, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, disability (including, but not limited to, mental retardation, past or present history of mental disability, physical disability or learning disability), genetic information, or any other basis prohibited by Connecticut state and/or federal nondiscrimination laws. The Department of Education does not unlawfully discriminate in employment and licensing against qualified persons with a prior criminal conviction. Inquiries regarding the Department of Education's nondiscrimination policies should be directed to **Levy Gillespie, Equal Employment Opportunity Director/American with Disabilities Act Coordinator, Title IX /ADA/Section 504 Coordinator, State of Connecticut Department of Education, 25 Industrial Park Road, Middletown, CT 06457 860-807-2071.**



Connecticut State Department Of Education

HOTLINE 860-713-6868

18

sde.seed@ct.gov



APPENDIX C

Bethany Public School District
Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan

The Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017

Adapted for Student and Educator Support Specialists

*A Rubric for the Observation of Performance and Practice to
Help Identify the Foundational Skills and Competency Standards that will
Prepare Connecticut Students to Succeed in College, Career and Life.*



Connecticut State Department of Education

September 2017

Contents

Contributors	1
Introduction (<i>CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017</i> , Training and Proficiency, Calibration, Observation Process)	2
Comparison of the CT Common Core of Teaching and the <i>CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017</i>	4
<i>CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017</i> — At a Glance	5
Domain 1: Learning Environment, Engagement and Commitment to Learning	
1a. Promoting a positive learning environment that is respectable and equitable	6
1b. Promoting developmentally appropriate standards of behavior that support a productive learning environment	7
1c. Maximizing service delivery by effectively managing routines and transition	8
Domain 2: Planning for Active Learning	
2a. Developing plans aligned with standards that build on learners' knowledge and skills and provide an appropriate level of challenge	9
2b. Developing plans to actively engage learners in service delivery	10
2c. Selecting appropriate assessment strategies to identify and plan learning targets	11
Domain 3: Service Delivery	
3a. Implementing service delivery for learning	12
3b. Leading students/adult learners to construct meaning and apply new learning through the use of a variety of differentiated and evidence-based learning strategies	13
3c. Assessing learning, providing feedback and adjusting service delivery	14
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities and Leadership	
4a. Engaging in continuous professional learning to enhance service delivery and improve student/adult learning	15
4b. Collaborating to develop and sustain a professional learning environment to support student/adult learning	16
4c. Working with colleagues, students and families to develop and sustain a positive school climate that supports student/adult learning	17

The Connecticut State Department of Education is committed to a policy of equal opportunity/affirmative action for all qualified persons. The Connecticut State Department of Education does not discriminate in any employment practice, education program, or educational activity on the basis of race, color, religious creed, sex, age, national origin, ancestry, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, disability (including, but not limited to, intellectual disability, past or present history of mental disorder, physical disability or learning disability), genetic information, or any other basis prohibited by Connecticut state and/or federal nondiscrimination laws. The Connecticut State Department of Education does not unlawfully discriminate in employment and licensing against qualified persons with a prior criminal conviction. Inquiries regarding the Connecticut State Department of Education's nondiscrimination policies should be directed to: Levy Gillespie, Equal Employment Opportunity Director/Americans with Disabilities Act Coordinator, Connecticut State Department of Education, 450 Columbus Boulevard, Suite 607, Hartford, CT 06103-1841, 860-807-2071, Levy.Gillespie@ct.gov.

Connecticut State Department of Education

Dr. Dianna R. Wentzell
Commissioner

Ellen Cohn
Deputy Commissioner

Talent Office

Dr. Sarah Barzee
Chief Talent Officer

Shannon Marimón
Division Director

Project Manager

Sharon Fuller
Bureau of Educator Effectiveness
and Professional Learning
Education Consultant

Facilitators

Dr. Sandy Greenberg
Professional Examination Services

Pat Muenzen
Professional Examination Services

Development Committee

Committee Members

Timothy M. Breslin, Ph.D.
Connecticut Association of Schools

Carol Bunk
ACES-Village School

Carole Kerkin
Capital Region Education Council (CREC)

Teresa Cherry-Cruz M.S.
CCC/SLP
Bridgeport Public Schools

Linda DeFrancesco
ACES-Center for Autism and
Developmental Disorders

Eric Elias
Meriden Public Schools

Janet Edgren PT, C/NDT
ACES

Lori Foote-Mitchell
Windsor Public Schools

Carl Gross
Region 1 Public Schools

Jessica Grzegorek
Cromwell Public Schools

Holly Hollander
Cromwell Public Schools

Anne Kipp
Region 9 Easton/Redding
Public Schools

Patricia Sullivan Kowalski
Meriden Public Schools

Jill LaPlante
Region 5 Public Schools

Jennifer Luckart M.S., CCC/SLP
Bridgeport Public Schools

Rose Morrow, CCC-SLP
ACES – Village School

Jane Natoli
Waterbury Public Schools

Ellen O'Brien
Bridgeport Public Schools

Paula Panos
Region 9 Easton/Redding
Public Schools

Elisabeth Pasqua
Waterbury Public Schools

Erin Putnam
Region 10 Public Schools

Deb Richards
Capital Region Education
Council (CREC)

Julie Sochacki
Waterbury Public Schools

Pam Sordi
Region 16 Public Schools

Linda M. Steller, M.A.
ACES

Vanessa Taragowski
ACES

Vonda Tencza
Hebron Public Schools

Katy Torres
Waterbury Public Schools

Christine VanDeusen
LEARN

Karla N. Vazquez, Psy.S.
Darien Public Schools

Margaret Walsh
Southington Public Schools

Patricia Williams
Waterbury Public Schools

Ana Wittig
Oak Hill School

**Richard. P. Zipoli, Ph.D.,
CCC-SLP**
Southern Connecticut
State University

Patrick Flynn
ReVision Learning Partnership

CSDE Consultants

Jay Brown
Bureau of Special Education

Jocelyn Mackey
Bureau of Health, Nutrition,
Family Services and
Adult Education

Claudine Primaack
Bureau of Educator Effectiveness
and Professional Learning

Kim Traverso, LPC
Bureau of Health, Nutrition,
Family Services and
Adult Education

Kim Wachtelhausen
Bureau of Educator Effectiveness
and Professional Learning

Introduction

The Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE) recognizes the challenges faced by districts in the evaluation of educators who teach in non-tested grades and subjects. A group of these individuals is referred to as student and educator support specialists (SESS). Support specialists or service providers are those individuals who, by the nature of their job description, do not have traditional classroom assignments but serve a “caseload” of students, staff or families. In addition, they often are not directly responsible for content instruction nor do state standardized assessments directly measure their impact on students.

The CSDE, in partnership with SESS representatives from around the state, developed the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2014* for use with support specialists. This rubric was purposefully developed as a companion to the *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* and parallels its structure and format to illustrate the common characteristics of effective practice across a variety of educators in the service of learners.

In spring 2015, phase I of a validation study of the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery* began with an extended group of field practitioners. This work resulted in an improved version of the rubric to embrace a wider range of service provider roles and responsibilities with greater attention to both student and adult learners.

Validation Process

The *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2014* has been in use in many school districts or Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) since its release in 2014. In order to ensure the validity of this rubric, the CSDE has continued its partnership with Professional Examination Services (ProExam), to seek feedback from teachers and administrators using the rubric and to facilitate data collection activities during the 2015–16 academic year. These activities included:

Fairness Review—Subject matter experts representing diverse perspectives reviewed the language of the rubric to ensure that it is free of bias and equally applicable to service providers of all grade levels, content areas, and assignments.

Surveys—Service providers and administrators in districts using the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2014* participated in an electronic survey to quantitatively and qualitatively evaluate the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2014* at the domain, indicator, attribute, and behavioral progression level.

Members of the original Validation Committee, established during the 2013–14 academic year, reconvened to systematically review the information from these activities and worked to address all issues raised via the independent data collection efforts

by endorsing or modifying the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2014*. The *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017* is the result of this validation process.

As with any tool for the observation of educator performance and practice, the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017* is offered as an option for use as part of a district’s evaluation and support plan and can be considered by the established district Professional Development and Evaluation Committee (PDEC). Specifically, school psychologists, speech and language pathologists, school social workers and school counselors may find this adapted rubric to most closely represent a progression of their practice; however, this most recent version has considered other educators in a school that may have unique assignments and responsibilities (e.g., board-certified behavior analyst (BCBA), home school family liaison, instructional coach, transition coordinator, etc.).

Training and Proficiency

The *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017* may be used by trained and proficient evaluators to observe a support specialist. Accurate and reliable evaluation of the domains, indicators and attributes can only be achieved through careful, rigorous training and demonstrated proficiency that build on the experience base and professional judgment of the educators who use this instrument. As part of the CSDE-sponsored training, evaluators will be provided sample performances and artifacts as well as a supplemental handbook to guide their ratings.

IMPORTANT! The *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017* is not a checklist with predetermined points. Rather, it is a tool that, when combined with training to ensure consistency and reliability of the collection of evidence, can lead to high quality feedback and inform professional learning opportunities to advance professional practice.

To ensure consistent and fair evaluations across different observers, settings and educators, observers need to regularly calibrate their judgments against those of their colleagues. Engaging in ongoing calibration activities conducted around a common understanding of good teaching or service delivery will help to establish inter-rater reliability and ensure fair and consistent evaluations. Calibration activities offer the opportunity to participate in rich discussion and reflection through which to deepen understanding of the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017* and ensure that observers can accurately measure educator practice against the indicators within the observation tool.

Introduction

Observation Process

The *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017* can be used by trained and proficient evaluators to observe SESS practices. Each educator shall be observed, at a minimum, as stated in the Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation. In order to promote an authentic view of practice and to promote a culture of openness and comfort with frequent observations and feedback, it is recommended that evaluators use a combination of announced and unannounced observations. All observations should be followed by feedback, either verbal (e.g., a post-conference, comments about professional meetings/presentations, etc.) or written (e.g., via e-mail, comprehensive write-up or both), within days of an observation. Specific, actionable feedback is also used to identify professional learning needs and tailor support to address those needs.

Evidence can be gathered from formal observations, informal observations and non-classroom observations/reviews of practice. As part of the initial goal-setting conference for service providers, it will be important to discuss with an evaluator the various learning environments where opportunities for observation can occur. Although the Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation do not specifically define these types of observations, the state model known as the System for Educator Evaluation and Development (SEED), provides the following definitions:

Formal In-Class/Learning Environment Observations:

At least 30 minutes followed by a post-observation conference, which includes timely written and verbal feedback.

Informal In-class/Learning Environment Observations:

At least 10 minutes followed by written or verbal feedback.

Non-classroom Observations/Reviews of Practice: Include, but are not limited to, observation of data team meetings or team meetings focused on individual students or groups of students, observations of early intervention team meetings, observations of individual or small group instruction with a student outside the classroom, collaborative work with staff in and out of the classroom, provision of training and technical assistance with staff or families, and leading schoolwide initiatives directly related to the support specialist's area of expertise.

The following protocol may be used for conducting a formal in-class/learning environment observation that requires a pre- and post-conference:

- A. Pre-Conference:** Before the observation, the evaluator will review planning documentation and other relevant artifacts provided by the service provider in order to understand the context for the work to be observed, including the objectives for the activity; the service to be delivered; how effectiveness of the activity will be assessed before, during and after; what materials and resources will be used.
- B. Observation:** Evaluators will collect evidence mostly for Domains 1 and 3 during the in-class observation.
- C. Post-Conference:** The post-observation conference gives the service provider the opportunity to reflect on and discuss the practice observed, progress of the recipients of the service, adjustments made during service delivery, further supporting artifacts as well as describe the impact on future services and supports.
- D. Analysis:** The evaluator analyzes the evidence gathered during the observation and the pre- and post-conferences and identifies the applicable performance descriptors contained in the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017*.
- E. Ratings/Feedback:** Based on the training guidelines for the *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017*, the evaluator will tag evidence to the appropriate indicator within the domains of the rubric and provide feedback to the service provider. Although each attribute within an indicator may not be applicable to the service provider's role or the specific learning environment where the observation is taking place, a trained evaluator should be able to collect evidence for most attributes within each indicator during an academic year.

Comparison of the CT Common Core of Teaching and the CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017

The *Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017* is completely aligned with the CCT. The *CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017* will be used to evaluate a service provider's performance and practice, which accounts for 40 percent of his or her annual summative rating, as required in the Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation and represented within the state model, the System for Educator Evaluation and Development (SEED).

Because service delivery is a complex, integrated activity, the domain indicators from the CCT Foundational Skills (2010) have been consolidated and reorganized in this rubric for the purpose of describing essential and critical aspects of practice. For the purpose of the rubric, the domains have also been renumbered. **The four domains and 12 indicators (three per domain) identify the essential aspects of a service provider's performance and practice.**

CT Common Core of Teaching Standards		CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017		Generally Observed
Domain 1	Content and Essential Skills, which includes The CT Core Standards and other CT content standards	<i>Demonstrated at the pre-service level as a pre-requisite to certification and embedded within the rubric</i>		
Domain 2	Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning	Domain 1	Learning Environment, Engagement and Commitment to Learning	In-class/Learning Environment Observations
Domain 3	Planning for Active Learning	Domain 2	Planning for Active Learning	Non-classroom Observations/ Reviews of Practice
Domain 4	Instruction for Active Learning	Domain 3	Service Delivery	In-class/Learning Environment Observations
Domain 5	Assessment for Learning	<i>Now integrated throughout the other domains</i>		
Domain 6	Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership	Domain 4	Professional Responsibilities and Leadership	Non-classroom Observations/ Reviews of Practice

CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017 — At a Glance

Evidence Generally Collected Through Observations	Evidence Generally Collected Through Non-classroom/Reviews of Practice
<p>▶ Domain 1: Learning Environment, Engagement and Commitment to Learning</p>	<p>▶ Domain 2: Planning for Active Learning</p>
<p><i>Service providers promote student/adult learner engagement, independence and interdependence in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1a. Promoting a positive learning environment that is respectful and equitable. 1b. Promoting developmentally appropriate standards of behavior that support a productive learning environment. 1c. Maximizing service delivery by effectively managing routines and transition. 	<p><i>Service providers design academic, social/behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans to engage student/adult learners in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2a. Developing plans aligned with standards that build on learners' knowledge and skills and provide an appropriate level of challenge. 2b. Developing plans to actively engage learners in service delivery. 2c. Selecting appropriate assessment strategies to identify and plan learning targets.
<p>▶ Domain 3: Service Delivery</p>	<p>▶ Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities and Leadership</p>
<p><i>Service providers implement academic, social/behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans to engage student/adult learners in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3a. Implementing service delivery for learning. 3b. Leading student/adult learners to construct meaning and apply new learning through the use of a variety of differentiated and evidence-based learning strategies. 3c. Assessing learning, providing feedback and adjusting service delivery. 	<p><i>Service providers maximize support for learning by developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4a. Engaging in continuous professional learning to enhance service delivery and improve student/adult learning. 4b. Collaborating to develop and sustain a professional learning environment to support student/adult learning. 4c. Working with colleagues, students and families to develop and sustain a positive school climate that supports student/adult learning.

Domain 1: Learning Environment, Engagement and Commitment to Learning

Service providers promote student/adult learner engagement, independence and interdependence in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by: INDICATOR 1a: Promoting a positive learning environment that is respectful and equitable.¹					
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <small>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following</small>
ATTRIBUTES	Rapport and positive social interactions	Interactions with learners are negative or disrespectful or the provider does not promote positive social interactions among learners.	Interactions between service provider and learners are generally positive and respectful and/or the provider inconsistently attempts to promote positive social interactions.	Interactions between service provider and learners are consistently positive and respectful. The provider consistently promotes positive social interactions.	Fosters an environment where learners have opportunities to proactively demonstrate positive social interactions and/or conflict-resolution skills.
	Respect for learner diversity²	Establishes a learning environment that disregards learners' cultural, social and/or developmental differences, or does not address disrespectful behavior.	Establishes a learning environment that is inconsistently respectful of learners' cultural, social and/or developmental differences.	Establishes a learning environment that is consistently respectful of learners' cultural, social and/or developmental differences.	Recognizes and incorporates learners' cultural, social and/or developmental diversity to enrich learning opportunities.
	Environment supportive of risk-taking³	Creates or promotes a learning environment that discourages learners from attempting tasks, responding to questions and challenges, or feeling safe to make and learn from mistakes.	Inconsistently creates or promotes a learning environment that encourages learners to attempt tasks, respond to questions and challenges, or feel safe to make and learn from mistakes.	Consistently creates or promotes a learning environment in which learners are willing to take risks, respond to questions and challenges, and feel safe to make and learn from mistakes.	Creates or promotes an environment where learners are encouraged to respectfully question or challenge ideas presented.
	High expectations for learning	Does not establish expectations for learning.	Establishes expectations that are too high or too low, or inconsistently reinforces realistic expectations for learning/growth and development.	Establishes and consistently reinforces high and realistic expectations for learning/growth and development.	Creates opportunities for learners to take responsibility for their own growth and development.

1. A respectful and equitable learning environment supports whole-child development and the understanding that educators must continuously work to ensure not only that educational learning environments are inclusive and respectful of all students but they also offer opportunities for equitable access, survivability, outputs and outcomes. Branson, C. & Gross, S. (Eds.). (2014). *Handbook of Ethical Educational Leadership*. New York: Routledge.

2. Respect for learner diversity means recognizing individual differences, including but not limited to race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, age, physical abilities, intellectual abilities, religious beliefs, political beliefs, or other ideologies.

3. Take risks: Fostering a classroom environment that promotes risk-taking involves building trust; students' trust in the teacher and other students in the class. Students who trust their teachers believe that teachers will turn their failures into learning opportunities.

Domain 1: Learning Environment, Engagement and Commitment to Learning

<i>Service providers promote student/adult learner engagement, independence and interdependence in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by:</i> INDICATOR 1b: Promoting developmentally appropriate standards of social and behavioral functioning that support a productive learning environment.					
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <small>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following.</small>
ATTRIBUTES	Communicating and reinforcing appropriate standards of behavior	Demonstrates little or no evidence of establishing and/or reinforcing appropriate standards of behavior resulting in interference with learning.	Establishes appropriate standards of behavior but inconsistently enforces these expectations, resulting in some interference with learning.	Establishes appropriate standards of behavior that are consistently reinforced, supporting a productive learning environment.	Creates opportunities for learners to take responsibility for their own behavior and/or seamlessly responds to misbehavior.
	Promoting social and emotional competence⁴	Provides little to no teaching, modeling or reinforcing social skills or provides little to no opportunities for learners to self-regulate and take responsibility for their actions.	Inconsistently teaches, models, and/or reinforces social skills and/or limits opportunities to build learners' capacity to self-regulate and take responsibility for their actions.	Consistently teaches, models, or positively reinforces social skills and builds learners' capacity to self-regulate and take responsibility for their actions.	Encourages learners to independently apply proactive strategies ⁵ and social skills and take responsibility for their actions.

4. Social competence is exhibiting self-awareness, self-management, social awareness and social skills at appropriate times and with sufficient frequency to be effective in the situation (Boyatzis, Goleman, and Rhee, 2000).

5. Proactive strategies include self-regulation strategies, problem-solving strategies, conflict resolution processes, interpersonal communication and responsible decision-making.

Domain 1: Learning Environment, Engagement and Commitment to Learning

		Service providers promote student/adult learner engagement, independence and interdependence in learning and facilitate a positive learning community by: INDICATOR 1c: Maximizing service delivery by effectively managing routines and transition.⁶			
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following.</i>
ATTRIBUTES	Routines and transitions appropriate to needs of learners	Implements and manages routines and transitions resulting in significant loss of service delivery time.	Implements and manages routines and transitions resulting in some loss of service delivery time.	Implements and manages effective routines and transitions that maximize service delivery time.	Establishes an environment in which learners independently facilitate routines and transitions.

6. Routines can be instructional or non-instructional organizational activities. Transitions are non-instructional activities such as moving from one grouping, task or context to another.

Domain 2: Planning for Active Learning

<i>Service providers design⁷ academic, social/behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans⁸ to engage student/adult learners in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:</i> INDICATOR 2a: Developing plans aligned with standards that build on learners' knowledge and skills and provide an appropriate level of challenge.					
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following</i>
ATTRIBUTES	Standards alignment	Designs plans that are misaligned with or does not address the Connecticut Core Standards and/or other appropriate content standards. ⁹	Designs plans that partially align with relevant Connecticut content standards, or discipline-specific state and national guidelines.	Designs plans that directly align with relevant Connecticut content standards or discipline-specific state and national guidelines.	Designs plans that encourage learners to integrate relevant Connecticut content standards and discipline-specific state and national guidelines into their work.
	Evidence-based practice	Designs plans that are not evidence based.	Designs plans that are partially evidence based.	Designs plans using evidence-based practice.	Designs plans that challenge learners to apply learning to new situations.
	Use of data to determine learner needs and level of challenge	Designs plans without consideration of data.	Designs plans using limited sources of data ¹⁰ to address learner needs and to support an appropriate level of challenge.	Designs targeted and purposeful plans using multiple sources of data to address learner needs and support an appropriate level of challenge.	Proactive in obtaining, analyzing and using data to guide collaborative planning.
	Targeted and specific objectives for learners	Develops objectives that are not targeted or specific to the needs of learners.	Develops objectives that are related, but not targeted or specific to the needs of learners.	Develops objectives that are targeted and specific to the needs of learners.	Plans include opportunities for learners to inform the development of future objectives.

7. Depending upon the role of the service provider, the action verb could be design, collaborate, inform, or consult.

8. Academic, behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans may be developed for and directed to whole group, small group and/or individual learners.

9. Content standards: Standards developed for all content areas including Early Learning and Development Standards (ELDS) for early childhood educators.

10. Sources of data may include existing data or data to be collected (progress monitoring). Data may be formal (standardized tests) or informal (survey responses, interviews, anecdotal records, grades) and may be formative or summative.

Domain 2: Planning for Active Learning

Service providers design academic, social/behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans to engage student/adult learners in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by: INDICATOR 2b: Developing plans to actively engage learners in service delivery.					
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following:</i>
ATTRIBUTES	Strategies, tasks and questions	Selects or designs plans that are service provider-directed and provide limited opportunities for active learner engagement.	Selects or designs plans that are primarily service provider-directed and offer some opportunities for active learner engagement.	Selects or designs plans that include strategies, tasks and questions that promote opportunities for active learner engagement.	Selects or designs plans that provide opportunities for learners to apply or extend learning to new situations.
	Resources¹¹ and/or flexible groupings¹² and new learning	Selects or designs resources and/or groupings that do not engage learners or support new learning.	Selects or designs resources and/or groupings that minimally engage learners.	Selects or designs a variety of resources and/or flexible groupings that actively engage learners in demonstrating new learning.	Selects or designs opportunities for learners to make choices about resources and/or flexible groupings that support and extend new learning.

11. **Resources** include, but are not limited to, available textbooks, supplementary reading and information resources, periodicals, newspapers, charts, programs, online and electronic resources and subscription databases, e-books, computer software kits, games, pictures, posters, artistic prints, study prints, sculptures, models, maps, motion pictures, audio and video recordings, DVDs, streaming media, multimedia, dramatic productions, performances, concerts, written and

performed music, bibliographies and lists of references issued by professional personnel, speakers (human resources) and all other instructional resources needed for educational purposes.

12. **Flexible groupings** are groupings of learners that are changeable based on the purpose of the service delivery and on changes in the needs of individual learners over time.

Domain 2: Planning for Active Learning

Service providers design academic, social/behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans to engage student/adult learners in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by: INDICATOR 2c: Selecting appropriate assessment strategies¹³ to identify and plan learning targets.					
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following.
ATTRIBUTES	Selection of assessments and interpretation of results	Does not use knowledge of learners' abilities, developmental level, cultural, linguistic and/or experiential background to select and interpret assessment information.	Uses limited knowledge of learners' abilities, developmental level, cultural, linguistic and/or experiential background to select and interpret assessment information.	Uses knowledge of learners' abilities, developmental level, cultural, linguistic and/or experiential background to select and interpret assessment information.	Consults with others to enhance understanding of the assessment selection process, the information obtained, and the subsequent development of learning plans.
	Criteria for learner success	Does not identify appropriate criteria for assessing learner success.	Identifies general criteria for assessing learner success.	Identifies objective and measurable criteria for assessing learner success.	Identifies opportunities for learners and/or others to be involved in developing and/or interpreting criteria for learners' success.
	Ongoing assessment of learning	Does not plan for use of assessment strategies or methods to monitor or adjust service delivery.	Plans for use of assessment strategies or methods that provide limited opportunities to monitor and/or adjust service delivery.	Plans for use of assessment strategies or methods at critical points to effectively monitor and adjust service delivery.	Plans to engage learners in using assessment criteria to self-monitor and reflect on learning.

13. Assessment strategies are used to evaluate learners before, during and after service delivery. Entry assessments are often diagnostic and used to determine eligibility for services. Formative assessment is part of the process used by service providers during service delivery, which provides feedback to monitor and adjust ongoing services. Summative assessments are used to evaluate learners at the end of a service delivery plan to determine learner success.

Domain 3: Service Delivery

Service providers implement academic, social/behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans to engage student/adult learners in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:
INDICATOR 3a: implementing service delivery¹⁴ for learning.

		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <small>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following</small>
ATTRIBUTES	Purpose of service delivery	Does not communicate academic and/or social/behavioral expectations for service delivery.	Communicates academic and/or social/behavioral expectations for service delivery in a way that requires further explanation.	Clearly communicates academic and/or social/behavioral expectations for service delivery and aligns the purpose of service delivery with relevant Connecticut Core Standards and/or other appropriate content standards.	Provides opportunities for learners to communicate how academic and/or social/behavioral expectations apply to other situations.
	Precision of service delivery	Delivers services with significant error(s) and uses imprecise language to convey ideas, resulting in learning misunderstanding.	Delivers services with minor error(s) or uses imprecise language to convey ideas, resulting in the need for clarification.	Delivers services accurately, resulting in learning.	Effectively delivers services that extend learners' understanding.
	Progression of service delivery	Delivers services which lack a logical and purposeful progression.	Delivers services in a generally logical and purposeful progression, but are not sensitive to learner needs.	Delivers services in a logical and purposeful progression that meet the needs of learners.	Provides learners with opportunities that challenge them to take responsibility and extend their own learning.
	Level of challenge	Does not provide an opportunity for challenge.	Provides some challenges that align to learning needs.	Consistently delivers services at a level of challenge that aligns to learners' needs.	Provides opportunities for learners to extend learning beyond expectations.

14. Service delivery is derived from a framework of principles and best practices used to guide the design and implementation of service as described by state and national professional standards.

Domain 3: Service Delivery

Service providers implement academic, social/behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans to engage student/adult learners in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

INDICATOR 3b: Leading student/adult learners to construct meaning and apply new learning through the use of a variety of differentiated and evidence-based learning strategies.

		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <small>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following:</small>
ATTRIBUTES	Strategies, tasks and questions	Uses a limited combination of tasks and questions that do not result in new and meaningful learning.	Uses a limited combination of tasks or questions that result in new and meaningful learning.	Uses differentiated strategies, tasks, and questions that result in new and meaningful learning and promotes problem-solving, critical and creative thinking, purposeful discourse or inquiry.	Includes opportunities for learners to work collaboratively, when appropriate, or to generate their own questions or problem-solving strategies, and synthesize and communicate information.
	Resources and flexible groupings and new learning	Limited use of available resources or groupings that do not actively engage learners and support new learning.	Uses available resources or groupings to actively engage learners and support some new learning.	Uses multiple resources or flexible groupings to actively engage learners in new learning and facilitate connections between concepts and/or across settings.	Fosters learner ownership, self-direction, and choice of available resources or flexible groupings.
	Learner responsibility and independence	Implements service delivery that is primarily provider directed, and provides little or no opportunities for learners to develop independence.	Implements service delivery that is mostly provider directed and provides some opportunities for learners to develop independence and share responsibility for the learning.	Implements service delivery that provides multiple opportunities for learners to develop independence and take responsibility for the learning.	Supports and challenges learners to identify ways to approach learning that will be effective for them as individuals.

Domain 3: Service Delivery

Service providers implement academic, social/behavioral, therapeutic, crisis or consultative plans to engage student/adult learners in rigorous and relevant learning and to promote their curiosity about the world at large by:

INDICATOR 3c: Assessing learning, providing feedback¹⁵ and adjusting service delivery.

		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <small>All characteristics of Proficient plus one or more of the following.</small>
ATTRIBUTES	Criteria for learner success	Does not communicate criteria for learner success.	Communicates general criteria for learner success.	Communicates specific observable and measurable criteria for learner success.	Provides opportunities for learners to be involved in developing and/or interpreting criteria for their own success.
	Ongoing assessment of learning	Monitors learning with focus limited to task completion and/or compliance rather than learners' achievement of purpose/objective.	Monitors learning with focus on progress toward achievement of the intended purpose/objective.	Monitors learning with focus on eliciting evidence of learning at critical points in order to assess progress toward achievement of the intended purpose/objective.	Promotes learners' self-monitoring and self-assessment to improve their learning.
	Feedback to learner	Provides no meaningful feedback or feedback lacks specificity and/or does not support improvement toward academic or social/behavioral outcomes.	Provides feedback that partially supports improvement toward academic or social/behavioral outcomes.	Provides feedback that is specific, timely, accurate, and actionable, and supports the improvement toward academic or social/behavioral outcomes.	Fosters self-reflection and/or peer feedback that is specific and focused on advancing learning.
	Adjustments to service delivery¹⁶	Makes no attempts to adjust service delivery in response to learners' performance or engagement in tasks.	Makes some attempts to adjust service delivery in response to learners' performance or engagement in tasks.	Adjusts to service delivery in response to learners' performance or engagement in tasks.	Develops differentiated methods to obtain feedback from learners in order to assist in adjustment of service delivery.

15. **Effective feedback** is descriptive and immediate and helps learners to improve their performance by telling them what they are doing well while providing meaningful, appropriate and specific suggestions for improvement, as appropriate.

16. **Adjustments to service delivery** are based on information gained from progress monitoring. Service providers make purposeful decisions about changes necessary to help learners achieve service delivery outcomes.

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities and Leadership

Service providers maximize support for learning by developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership by:					
INDICATOR 4a: Engaging in continuous professional learning to enhance service delivery and improve student/adult learning.					
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following:</i>
ATTRIBUTES	Self-evaluation/ reflection	Insufficiently reflects and analyzes practice and impact on learners.	Self-evaluates and reflects on practice and impact on learners, but makes limited effort to improve practice.	Self-evaluates and reflects on practice and the impact on learners; identifies areas for improvement and takes effective action to improve professional practice.	Uses ongoing self-evaluation and reflection to initiate professional dialogue with colleagues to improve collective practices to address learning, school and professional needs.
	Response to feedback	Does not accept supervisor or peer feedback and recommendations or make changes for improving practice.	Accepts supervisor or peer feedback and recommendations but changes in practice are limited or ineffective.	Willingly accepts supervisor or peer feedback and recommendations and makes effective changes in practice.	Proactively seeks supervisor and peer feedback in order to improve in a range of professional practices.
	Professional learning¹⁷	Does not engage in professional learning activities.	Engages in required professional learning opportunities, but application of learning to practice is minimal.	Engages in relevant professional learning and seeks opportunities to strengthen skills and apply new learning to practice.	Facilitates professional learning with colleagues, families or community.

17. Connecticut's Definition of Professional Learning: High-quality professional learning is a process that ensures all educators have equitable access throughout their career continuum to relevant, individual and collaborative opportunities to enhance their practice so that all students advance towards positive academic and non-academic outcomes.

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities and Leadership

Service providers maximize support for learning by developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership by: INDICATOR 4b: Collaborating to develop and sustain a professional learning environment to support student/adult learning.					
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following.</i>
ATTRIBUTES	Collaboration with colleagues¹⁸	Does not collaborate with colleagues to improve service delivery and learning.	Collaborates with colleagues with limited impact on service delivery and learning.	Collaborates with colleagues to improve service delivery and learning.	Leads efforts to improve and strengthen the school climate.
	Professional responsibility and ethics¹⁹	Does not consistently demonstrate professional responsibilities and ethical practices in accordance with the <i>Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Teachers</i> .	Exhibits practices that demonstrate the need for increased awareness of the <i>Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Teachers</i> .	Consistently exhibits professional responsibilities and ethical practices in accordance with the <i>Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Teachers</i> .	Collaborates with colleagues to deepen the awareness of the moral and ethical demands of professional practice.
	Maintenance of records	Records/data are incomplete, or confidential information is stored in an unsecured location.	Records/data are complete but may contain some inaccuracies. Confidential information is stored in a secured location.	Records/data are complete, organized and accurate. Confidential information is stored in a secured location.	Shares best practices in maintenance of records/data.

18. **Colleague:** A colleague is a person with whom an educator works, including, but not limited to, other teachers, administrators, support staff, and paraeducators.

19. **Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Teachers:** A set of principles which the teaching profession expects its members to honor and follow; and serves as a basis for decisions on issues pertaining to licensure and employment. (Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 10-145d-400a).

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities and Leadership

Service providers maximize support for learning by developing and demonstrating professionalism, collaboration and leadership by: INDICATOR 4c: Working with colleagues, students and families to develop and sustain a positive school climate that supports student/adult learning.					
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following:</i>
ATTRIBUTES	Positive school climate	Does not comply with efforts to develop and sustain a positive school climate.	Complies with efforts to develop and/or sustain a positive school climate.	Actively engages with colleagues, learners or families to develop and/or sustain a positive school climate.	Leads efforts to improve and strengthen the school climate.
	Stakeholder²⁰ engagement	Limits communication with stakeholders to required reports and conferences.	Communicates with stakeholders through required reports and conferences, and makes some attempts to build relationships with some stakeholders.	Proactively communicates with stakeholders and develops positive relationships with stakeholders to promote learner success.	Supports colleagues in developing effective ways to communicate with stakeholders and engage them in opportunities to support learning. Seeks input from stakeholders to support learner growth and development.
	Culturally responsive communications²¹ with stakeholders	Demonstrates bias or lack of cultural competence in interactions with stakeholders.	Interacts with stakeholders in a manner that indicates limited awareness of or respect for cultural differences.	Interacts with stakeholders in a culturally responsive manner.	Leads efforts to enhance culturally responsive communications with stakeholders.

20. Stakeholders can include student/adult learners, families, colleagues, community members etc. and are determined by the role and delineated responsibilities of the service provider.

21. Culturally responsive communications use the cultural knowledge, prior experiences and performance styles of diverse learners to make learning more appropriate and effective and support connectedness between home and school experiences.

APPENDIX D

Bethany Public School District
Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
CURRICULUM COACH RUBRIC**

Domain 1: Management

1a. Coordinates the ongoing development, implementation, evaluation, and revision of the school's curriculum, instructions, and tiered intervention.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1b. Assists the Principal and Director of Special Services, Curriculum & Instruction in the development and administration of the budget for the school and District.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1c. Assists teachers and the Media Specialist in selecting and organizing materials to support the curriculum and student needs.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1d. Assists in training support staff in instruction, tiered interventions, support systems, data collection, and methodologies.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1e. Works with the Director of Special Services, Curriculum & Instruction, the Media Specialist, Principal, and classroom teachers in the application of technology in instruction and support.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1f. Assists teachers in implementing a procedure for systematic maintenance of student progress records, data collection, work samples, and portfolios from Kindergarten to Grade 6 by collecting data.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1g. Co-chairs or Chairs grade level meetings, professional development workshops, or committees, as necessary.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1h. Assists in designing tiered instructional programs for the support staff's implementation.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1i. Assesses support staff, providing opportunities for their self-evaluation, and helps determine goals for improvement.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1j. Inventories, manages, replaces, and selects materials appropriate to the needs of students and the instructional goals of the school.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
CURRICULUM COACH RUBRIC**

Domain 2: Planning & Preparation

2a. Keeps abreast of current research and developments in curriculum and instruction through reading, attending conferences, workshops, consortium, State and Regional councils and assists the staff in incorporating research in classroom instruction.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2b. Knows the subject matter well and has a good grasp of child development and how students learn.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2c. Engages in long-term planning to achieve a balanced and articulated program through the elementary grades.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2d. Collaborates with the Director of Special Services, Curriculum & Instruction, the Principal, and staff to develop integrated curriculum for the school and District.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2e. Plans the scope and sequence so students will meet high standards and be ready for assessments.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2f. Researches and models multi-disciplinary practices to improve learning opportunities for all students.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2g. Works with all teachers to improve instruction.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2h. Designs lessons for tiered students focused on measurable outcomes aligned with students' needs, Common Core Standards, and goals.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2i. Plans on the spot and ongoing assessments to measure each student's learning.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2j. Uses data from interim assessments to adjust teaching, reteach, and follow up with struggling students.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

Domain 3: Delivery of Services

3a. Assists the school staff in developing strategies for different learning styles or problems.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3b. Observes students during classroom instruction to monitor and adjust the classroom curriculum and their individual support programs.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3c. Is a leader among teachers in increasing student learning and strengthening school climate.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3d. Regularly shares and analyzes data, provides expertise, and contributes ideas when meeting with classroom teachers and grade level teams.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3e. Provides ongoing curriculum support and staff development, including but not limited to, demonstrations, modeled lessons, and skill-related workshops.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3f. Participates in the development of individual Education Plans and in Child Study and Planning and Placement Teams when learning issues are apparent.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3g. Assists in designing tiered instructional programs for the support staff's implementation.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3h. Provides effective direct instructions to students based on current data, using in-house universal screening tools, District assessments, intervention reports, and input from teachers, as applicable.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3i. Gives students a clear sense of purpose by appropriately explaining their current level of achievement, helping them to identify and use their strengths, and developing with them their goals/targets.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3j. When working with both students and staff, uses clear explanations, appropriate language, and relevant examples.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
CURRICULUM COACH RUBRIC**

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

4a. Communicates respectfully with students, parents, and staff and is sensitive to different families' cultures and values.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4b. Responds promptly to parents' and staffs' concerns and makes individuals feel valued. In the SRBI process, promptly and accurately communicates to parents their child's learning struggles and planned interventions; also makes suggestions and updates parents on good news, as appropriate.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4c. Is punctual and reliable with paperwork, duties, and assignments; keeps accurate records.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4d. Refers students for specialized diagnosis and support services when necessary.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4e. Demonstrates professional demeanor and maintains appropriate boundaries.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4f. Is ethical and forthright, uses good judgment, and maintains confidentiality with student records.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4g. Is a positive team player; contributes expertise and time to the overall mission of the school.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4h. Listens thoughtfully to other viewpoints and responds constructively to suggestions and criticism.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4i. Collaborates positively with and among colleagues, the Director of Special Services, Curriculum & Instruction, the Principal, the BOE, the RESC, and the State agencies, as required; reflects a positive image for the District in public.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4j. Seeks out and brings back effective teaching ideas from out of district colleagues, workshops, and other sources and implements them well in District.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

Domain 1: Management

1a. Creates a friendly, welcoming, and open library media environment.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1b. Administers the library media program, developing systems, policies, and procedures which ensure equitable and relevant access, efficiencies, services, and achievement.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1c. Inventories, classifies, manages, replaces, and selects materials appropriate to the needs of students and the instructional goals of the school.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1d. Selects, purchases, and shares with teachers and students' library media resources and equipment which ensure the ongoing development and forward progress of the school's library media program.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1e. Develops and administers the library media budget for the school.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1f. Assists teachers by selecting, purchasing, organizing, and communicating the appropriate materials to support their grade level curricular needs and the Common Core Standards.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1g. In coordination with the Principal or Director of Special Services, Curriculum & Instruction, writes and applies for grants as appropriate to support the library media goals.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1h. Maintains circulation and collection records, providing regular reports as requested; maintains and updates all related databases.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1i. Works with the school staff in the development of a schedule for effective use of the library by all kinds of groups, (i.e. from full classes to small student groups).	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1j. Conducts an annual survey as a means of evaluating the library media program and its services and to provide a forum for faculty and students' input and suggestions.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
MEDIA SPECIALIST RUBRIC**

Domain 2: Planning & Preparation

2a. Keeps abreast of current research and developments in library media science through reading, attending conferences, workshops, consortium, State, and Regional councils and assists the staff in incorporating research in instruction and practices.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2b. Keeps abreast of current trends in technology and sets goals for the future based on the skills, awareness, and access students will need to become future ready learners.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2c. Establishes yearly and long-term goals for the library media program aligned with the District's mission, goals, and objectives.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2d. Works to expand students' and teachers' knowledge of and access to library media materials and technology.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2e. Plans a scope and sequence of articulated Kindergarten to Grade 6 curriculum so students will meet high standards and be ready for the benchmark assessments.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2f. Researches and models multi-disciplinary practices to improve the library media learning opportunities for all students.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2g. Designs library media lessons for Special Education and tiered students focused on measurable outcomes aligned with students' needs, Common Core Standards, and goals.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2h. Plans on the spot and ongoing assessments to measure each student's learning in the library media program.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2i. Advances the purposes of the library media program by recruiting and training parent and student volunteers for well-planned and strategic responsibilities.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

Domain 3: Delivery of Services

3a. Assists the school staff in developing strategies for effective research and use of technologies for students with different learning styles or problems.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3b. Plans and provides group and individual instruction in information resources, research strategies, and technologies, incorporating the use of information.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3c. Develops in students the attitudes, habits, analysis, and skills that will enable them to become lifelong readers, researchers, and learners.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3d. Regularly shares, analyzes resources and new technologies, provides expertise, and contributes ideas when meeting with classroom teachers and grade level teams about their curriculum.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3e. Demonstrates and models current, innovative methodologies and technologies for library media literacy.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3f. Actively teaches and promotes reading and library use through such extended activities as storytelling, book talks or book clubs, displays, newsletters, promotional programs, and special events.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3g. Develops bibliographies, displays, bulletin boards, etc. to support school themes, extend the curriculum, and promote reading and literacy.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3h. Provides effective direct instruction to students based on current data, using in-house universal screening tools, District assessments, intervention reports, and input from teachers, as applicable.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3i. Provides staff development opportunities in the use of information resources in a variety of formats and in the integration of information literacy skills throughout the curriculum.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3j. When working with both students and staff, uses clear explanations, appropriate language, and relevant examples.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
MEDIA SPECIALIST RUBRIC**

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

4a. Communicates respectfully with students, parents, and staff and is sensitive to different families' cultures and values.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4b. Responds promptly to staffs' and students' needs and supports them with updated and related materials and resources.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4c. Is punctual and reliable with paperwork, duties, and assignments; keeps accurate records.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4d. Is an instructional leader among teachers, parents, and students and works to enhance student achievement.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4e. Demonstrates professional demeanor and maintains appropriate boundaries.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4f. Is ethical and forthright, uses good judgment, and maintains confidentiality with student records.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4g. Serves as the staff and students' primary advisor on the ethics of copyright and intellectual freedom.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4h. Is a positive team player; contributes expertise and time to the overall mission of the school.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4i. Listens thoughtfully to other viewpoints and responds constructively to suggestions and criticism.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4j. Collaborates positively with and among colleagues, the Principal, the Director of Special Services, Curriculum & Instruction, the BOE, the RESC, and the State agencies, as required; reflects a positive image for the District in public.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

Domain 1: Management

1a. Promotes positive and respectful interactions with students to maximize the support services.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1b. Establishes and maintains behavioral expectations for students in the support setting.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1c. Demonstrates effective ways to engage and re-engage students in the support setting.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1d. Exercises good judgment in setting priorities, resulting in clear schedules where work is accomplished in a productive and efficient manner.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1e. Demonstrates flexibility and responsiveness to meet the needs of students, staff, and their families.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1f. Organizes physical space to maximize support services; teacher and student resources are readily available.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1g. Maximizes services delivery time to manage routines, transitions, and organization of resources and materials to meet the needs of students.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1h. Establishes and maintains clear procedures and protocols for referrals and IEP process.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1i. Writes accurate, comprehensive, and clear reports.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1j. Contributes to the development of the school's crisis response and intervention plans and supports their implementation.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
PSYCHOLOGIST & GUIDANCE RUBRIC**

Domain 2: Planning & Preparation

2a. Demonstrates knowledge of child and adolescent development and psychopathology.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2b. Demonstrates knowledge of District, State and Federal guidelines.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2c. Demonstrates knowledge of resources, both within and beyond the school and District.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2d. Conducts a comprehensive file review for the purpose of planning and decision making.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2e. Selects and administers appropriate instruments and procedures based on student's developmental level, areas of concern, and team/parent input.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2f. Plans to meet the needs of general and special education families in the PPT/504/CST process.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2g. Psychologists: Plans and coordinates productive PPT/504/CST/staff meetings. Guidance Counselor: Actively participates in PPT/504/CST/staff meetings.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2h. Uses multiple sources of data collection to design and plan student interventions.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2i. Develops support plans with goals/objectives that adequately meet the needs of the student/family.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2j. Contributes to progress monitoring and data-based decisions regarding intervention practices for academic, social-emotional, and behavioral domains.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

Domain 3: Delivery of Services

3a. Engages in consultation and collaboration with school staff to address students' needs in and outside of the referral process.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3b. Engages in consultation and collaboration with parents to address students' needs in and outside of the referral process.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3c. Engages in consultation and collaboration with outside agencies to address students' needs in and outside the referral process.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3d. Effectively facilitates IEP/CST/504/staff meetings.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3e. Effectively communicates evaluation results in a clear and concise manner in ways that parents, staff, and students can understand.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3f. Incorporates all available assessment information to recommend instructional strategies to meet the individual learning needs of students.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3g. Utilizes a variety of programs and techniques within the support setting to help students acquire social/emotional/behavioral skills necessary to be successful.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3h. Collects evidence to indicate the degree to which a student's goals and objectives have been met.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3i. Adequately evaluates the effectiveness of interventions for the purpose of decision making.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3j. Communicates expectations and provides feedback to students/families to help them be more successful.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
PSYCHOLOGIST & GUIDANCE RUBRIC**

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

4a. Communicates respectfully with students, parents, and staff and is sensitive to different families' cultures and values.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4b. Is punctual and reliable with paperwork, duties, and assignments; keeps accurate records.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4c. Demonstrates professional demeanor and maintains appropriate boundaries.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4d. Is ethical and forthright, uses good judgment, and maintains confidentiality with student records.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4e. Is a positive team player; contributes expertise and time to the overall mission of the school and District.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4f. Listens thoughtfully to other viewpoints and responds constructively to suggestions and criticism.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4g. Collaborates positively with and among colleagues, the Director of Special Services, Curriculum & Instruction, the Principal, the BOE, the RESC, and the State agencies, as required; reflects a positive image for the District in public.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4h. Actively pursues professional development opportunities to enhance knowledge and practice.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4i. Displays high standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public and advocates for students, when needed.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4j. Engages in a reflective practice to improve delivery of services.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

Domain 1: Management

1a. Promotes positive and respectful interactions with students to maximize support services, therapy, and testing.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1b. Establishes and effectively maintains behavioral expectations and student engagement in the support setting.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1c. Exercises good judgment in setting priorities, resulting in clear schedules where work is accomplished in a productive and efficient manner.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1d. Maximizes service delivery time and demonstrates flexibility to manage routines, transitions, and organization of resources and materials to meet the needs of staff, students, and families.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
1e. Inventories, manages, replaces, and selects materials appropriate to the needs of students and the instructional goals.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
SPEECH & LANGUAGE RUBRIC**

Domain 2: Planning & Preparation

2a. Demonstrates knowledge of language development and related therapies.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2b. Demonstrates knowledge of District, State and Federal guidelines and resources.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2c. Selects and administers appropriate diagnostic instruments and procedures based on student's developmental level, areas of concern, and team/parent input.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2d. Acquires background information from a variety of sources, plans the evaluation, and prepares a report/summary of data.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
2e. Develops therapy programs with goals and objectives that adequately meet the needs of the student while using multiple sources of data.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

Domain 3: Delivery of Services

3a. Implements therapy services for eligible students which are aligned with identified needs; integrates goals and objectives with grade level curriculum when applicable.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3b. Provides opportunities for students to develop interpersonal, group, and communication skills.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3c. Collects evidence and evaluates the effectiveness of intervention/lessons to indicate the degree to which a student's goals and objectives have been met.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
3d. Communicates expectations and provides feedback to students to help them be more successful.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

**BETHANY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
SPEECH & LANGUAGE RUBRIC**

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

4a. Collaborates and communicates respectfully and effectively with students, parents, and staff and is sensitive to different families' cultures and values.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4b. Is punctual and reliable with paperwork, duties, and assignments; keeps accurate records.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4c. Demonstrates professional demeanor, maintains confidentiality and appropriate boundaries.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4d. Contributes expertise and listens thoughtfully to other viewpoints and responds constructively to suggestions and criticism.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard
4e. Actively pursues professional development opportunities to enhance knowledge and practice.	Exemplary	Effective	Developing	Below Standard

APPENDIX E

Bethany Public School District
Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan

BCS Kindergarten Survey



YES



NO

1. I like coming to school.*

YES NO

2. My teachers help me to learn new things.*

YES NO

3. My teachers tell me how I am doing in class.*

YES NO

4. My classroom is a happy place.*

YES NO

BCS Grades 1 & 2 Survey



YES



NO

Grade*

1 2

1. I like coming to school.*

YES NO

2. My teachers help me learn new things.*

YES NO

3. My teachers tell me how I am doing in class.*

YES NO

4. I understand the rules and directions my teachers give me.*

YES NO

5. My classroom is a happy place.*

YES NO

6. I feel my teachers give me help when I need it.*

YES NO

7. My classroom is a happy place.*

YES NO

8. My classmates treat me with kindness.*

YES NO

BCS Grades 3-6 Survey

YOU MATTER!

Please share your opinions on the following questions. The information you provide will help us to improve BCS.

1. What grade are you in? *
 3 4 5 6
2. I like going to school. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
3. I want to do well in school. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
4. I am proud of the work I do in class. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
5. I understand what my teachers want me to do in class. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
6. I feel comfortable asking my teacher for help. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
7. My teacher likes me. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
8. My teacher treats me with respect. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
9. My teacher thinks I can do well in school. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
10. My teacher encourages me to do my best work. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
11. My teacher uses different ways to help me learn. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
12. My teacher explains the reasons why he/she is teaching me certain things. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
13. My teacher is happy to answer my questions. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
14. My teacher explains things clearly. *
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

15. My teacher encourages me to ask questions if I don't understand something.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

16. My teacher thinks we can have fun learning.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

17. My teacher talks to my parents/guardians about how I am doing in school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

18. People listen to my ideas at school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

19. My classmates treat me with respect.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

20. The students in my school treat adults with respect.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

21. The adults in my school treat students with respect.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

22. The adults in my school care about me.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

BCS Parent Survey

Your input is very important to us and we genuinely want to hear from you. The information you supply will be crucial in moving our district forward.

Please complete this survey by Thursday, May 18th.

1. I feel welcome at Bethany Community School (BCS).*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

2. My child enjoys going to school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

3. BCS holds students to high behavioral standards.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

4. My child feels physically safe at school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

5. My child feels emotionally safe at school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

6. The school is sensitive to issues regarding race, gender, sexual orientation and disabilities.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

7. The school facility is clean and well maintained.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

8. The adults at BCS truly care about my child.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

9. If I have a question or concern, I know whom to contact at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

10. My child's teacher(s) treat me with respect.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

11. I feel comfortable contacting my child's teacher(s).*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
12. I often communicate with my child's teacher(s), whether in person, by phone, by email, or in some other way.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
13. I talk with my child's teacher(s) about my child's schoolwork, challenges, and academic progress.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
14. My child's teacher(s) care about his/her academic success.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
15. My child is challenged to meet high expectations at BCS.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
16. My child's teacher(s) challenge my child to do his/her best.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
17. I share responsibility for my child's achievement.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
18. I know what to do at home to support my child's learning.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
19. My child's teacher(s) help me to understand what my child needs to learn to be successful at his/her grade level.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
20. My child has access to extra academic help during the school day when he/she needs it.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):
21. My child's teacher(s) provide information about his/her progress.*
- Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
- Comments (optional):

22. My child is challenged to meet high expectations in the arts.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
23. Technology at BCS is used as a tool to enhance education.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
24. I volunteer at BCS.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
25. BCS offers me ways to be involved in my child's education.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
26. Administrators invite parents to play a meaningful role in making decisions in our district.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
27. The principal is available to parents and is willing to listen.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
28. There is clear communication from the school's administration to parents.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
29. I feel well informed about what is going on at BCS.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
30. Administrators work towards making the vision of our district a reality.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
31. Administrators keep the school focused on academic achievement.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

Please add any comments you feel would help us improve our school/district (optional).

BCS Certified Staff Survey

Your input is very important to us and we invite you to share your feedback. The information you supply will be critical in moving our district forward.

Please complete this survey by Thursday, May 18th.

1. I like working at Bethany Community School (BCS).*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

2. BCS is a caring and nurturing school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

3. I feel safe working at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

4. At BCS, there are clear cut policies and procedures for student behavioral expectations.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

5. Students at BCS respect each other's differences.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

6. There are groups of students at BCS who exclude others and make them feel bad for not being part of a group.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

7. The school facility is clean and well maintained.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

8. The school emphasizes communication with parents.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

9. Parents are provided opportunities to be involved at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

10. There is a clear academic vision for BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

11. Academic expectations are high at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

12. Professional development for teachers is aligned to school/district goals.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

13. Teachers at BCS are given opportunities for individualized professional development.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

14. Staff are provided opportunities to serve on committees to contribute to school/district decisions.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

15. I feel comfortable collaborating with my colleagues.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

16. My contributions are valued.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

17. My colleagues share effective instructional strategies.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

18. My colleagues care about their students' academic success.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

19. My colleagues are committed to high quality work.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

20. My colleagues create a safe and respectful environment for all students.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

21. Adults treat students respectfully at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

22. Administrators take responsibility for student achievement.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

23. Administrators are instructional leaders.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

24. Administrators let me know what is expected of me.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

25. Administrators provide me with regular and helpful feedback about my teaching.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

26. Administrators encourage collaboration among teachers to improve student learning.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

27. Administrators are open to constructive feedback.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

28. Administrators are responsive to my questions and concerns.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

29. Administrators are committed to finding fair solutions to problems.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

30. There is clear communication from school administration to staff.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

31. Administrators share a good rapport with staff.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

32. Administrators handle student discipline issues in a fair and timely fashion.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

33. I feel respected by parents.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):


34. I feel supported by the Board of Education.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

Please add any comments you feel would help us improve our school/district (optional)?

APPENDIX F

Bethany Public School District
Professional Educator Growth and Evaluation Plan



**BCS
SRBI
Overview**
Academic/ Behavior

2016-2017

CONSULTANT LOG

Provide a copy to the Teacher (attach to Student Support Log)

Check as appropriate:

- School Counselor School Psychologist Intervention Teacher Literacy /Numeracy Specialist
 Special Ed Teacher Speech Pathologist SRBI Consultant Other: _____

Consultant Name: _____

Student: _____

Grade: _____

Teacher: _____

Day / Time	Area of Concern	Consultative Recommendations	Outcomes (completed after using suggested strategies)

**BETHANY COMMUNITY SCHOOL
SRBI CASE REVIEW FORM**

I. General Information

Student: _____ DOB: _____ Age: _____ Gender: _____

Grade _____ Teacher _____ Retained? Y N _____ What Grade? _____

Home Language: _____ Absences to Date: _____ Days Tardy and/or
Dismissed Early: _____

Student Characteristics: (i.e. strengths, talents, interests, learning styles, social skills, work habits, environmental preferences, etc.)

II. Parent/Guardian Contacts: Attach Parent Communication Log(s)

III. Reason for Requesting Assistance/Presenting Problem:

Reading _____ Writing _____ Math _____ Behavior _____ Attendance _____
Other: _____

Primary Target for Improvement: (What is happening? Be specific and concrete)

Secondary Target for Improvement: (If any)

The following information will be filled out at each Case Review Meeting

V. Next Steps:

Case Status	Date	Date	Date	Date
Begin Tier 1 Interventions				
Continue/ Add Tier 1 Interventions				
Tier 2 Interventions are Required (letter sent home)				
Continue/Add Tier 2 Interventions				
Tier 3 Interventions are Required (letter sent home)				
Continue/Add Tier 3 Interventions				
Move to CST				
CST Outcome: Continue/Add Tier 3 Interventions				
CST Outcome: Consider 504 Referral				
CST Outcome: Consider Special Ed Referral				
Close Case Review				
Parent Communication				
Reconvene Case Review/CST				
At the end of each case review meeting, set the next meeting date based upon the duration of intervention discussed (i.e. 6 weeks, 8 weeks, etc)				



**PROFESSIONAL ADMINISTRATOR
GROWTH AND EVALUATION PLAN**

The following document provides information relative to the policies and procedures associated with the revised Administrator Evaluation Program for the Bethany Public School District. Procedures have been designed through the collective efforts of the Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee which includes educators, related service professionals, curriculum specialists, union representation, school administrators, and central office staff. The Committee was charged with developing a Professional Growth and Evaluation Plan for Bethany administrators. The Committee gathered feedback from educator's district-wide and designed recommendations for the policies and procedures associated with leader effectiveness and performance evaluation.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	1
Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee	2
I. Introduction	3
A. Vision and Purpose of the Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Process.....	3
B. Goals of the Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Process.....	3
C. District Mission Framework	4
D. Bethany 2020 – Ascent to Excellence Strategic Plan	6
E. Theory of Action	6
F. Connecting Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Process to the Bethany Vision, Mission, Instructional Model, Achievement Goals, and Theory of Action	6
II. Elements of the Professional Growth and Evaluation Process for Administrators	8
A. Administrator Evaluation Plan Overview	8
B. Components of Performance Evaluation	10
Category 1: Administrator Performance and Practice (40%).....	10
Documentation Review	12
Leadership Performance Rubric	12
Leadership Practice Summative Rating	13
Category 2: Stakeholder Feedback (10%)	14
Category 3: Student Learning Measures (45%).....	15
Initial Benchmark and Student Data Review.....	16
Collaborative Goal Setting Conference (by October 30).....	16
Data Team Cycle and Progress Monitoring.....	18
Student Outcome Reflection	18
Reflection Review	20
Mid-Year Conference (by March 1)	22
End-of-Year Conference (by June 23).....	22
Category 4: Teacher Effectiveness (5%).....	23
C. Aggregate and Summative Scoring	25
Determining Summative Rating	26
D. Summative Performance Rating Matrix.....	29
E. Data Management System	29

III. Orientation to the Administrator Evaluation Process	30
Evaluator Norming/Calibration Training	30
IV. Developing and Supporting Administrators through Professional Learning	30
V. Effectiveness and Ineffectiveness of Summative Ratings.....	31
VI. Administrator Assistance Process	31
Individual Administrator Improvement and Remediation Plans	31
Administrator Support Plan Procedures	31
Career Development and Professional Growth	32
Evaluation – Informed Professional Learning	33
VII. Dispute Resolution Process	34
VIII. Appendix	
A. The Connecticut Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017	
B. Bethany Public School District Surveys	

Acknowledgments

Board of Education

Christopher Pittenger, M.D., Ph.D. (Chairperson)

Dorothy Seaton (Vice-Chairperson)

Namita Wijesekera, M.D. (Secretary)

James Bruni

Doreen Fox

John Paul Garcia

Inez Kelso

Vivian Shih, M.D.

Lynette White

Administration

Colleen Murray, Superintendent

Kai Byrd, Director of Special Services, Curriculum, and Instruction

Robert Davis, Bethany Community School Principal

Statement of Compliance: In compliance with Title VI, Title IX, Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Bethany Public School District does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, marital or civil union status, age, disability, pregnancy, gender identity or expression, or any other basis prohibited by state or federal law, whether by students, Board employees, or third parties subject to the control of the Board. The Board's prohibition of discrimination of harassment expressly extends to its services, equal opportunity employment, educational programs, academic, nonacademic and extracurricular activities, including athletics. Inquiries regarding the Bethany Public School District's nondiscrimination policies should contact that Superintendent of Schools, Bethany Public School District, 44 Peck Road, Bethany, CT, 06524, (203) 393-1170.

Bethany Public School District

In 2016-2017 the Bethany Public School District (BPSD) partnered with ReVision Learning to develop and articulate the *Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Plan*. Throughout the 2016-2017 school year, the Professional Development and Evaluation Committee (PDEC), in consultation with ReVision Learning, worked to revise and evolve the District's *Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Plan*. Special thanks and recognition to all who supported the development, implementation, and revision of this Plan.

Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee

Robert Davis, Principal

Jason Ewen, Grade Four Teacher

Andrea Hubbard, Kindergarten Teacher

Nicole Kevorkian, Art Teacher

Diane Krivda, Director of Curriculum and Learning

Kevin Mahoney, Math Specialist

Colleen Murray, Superintendent (Ex-Officio)

Melissa Rakowski, Grade One Teacher

Heather Sniffin, Kindergarten Teacher, and Bethany Education Association President

Tina Spagnoletti, Guidance

Approved by BOE: June 7, 2017

Approved by CSDE: August 2017

Revision approved by CSDE: July 2018

I. Introduction

A. Vision and Purpose of the Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Process

Research findings are unequivocal about the connection between teacher and leader quality and student learning. Over two decades of research has proven that no single school-based factor contributes more to the success of the students than high-quality teachers. Second, only to the impact of teachers, leaders that support quality environments for learning have a profound impact on our students.

It is the vision of the Bethany professionals that the educator supervision and evaluation Plan be viewed as a collaborative process to ensure that all students have competent, highly effective teachers to deliver instruction and leaders to support that instruction. Bethany is committed to providing an evaluation and support structure that builds human capacity and challenges all educators to be reflective practitioners that aspire to reach excellence. BPSD is committed to an educator professional growth model that is designed to improve student learning and staff effectiveness through the ongoing development of Bethany's professional staff.

The *Bethany Public School Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Plan* herein referred to as the "Plan," was developed to empower professional staff to work collaboratively toward continuous improvement of student learning. The *Plan* provides a shared definition of effective instructional and leadership practices while serving as a tool for reflection, offering opportunities for ongoing professional conversations on multiple focus areas. Within each focus area are specific indicators that articulate a continuum of performance levels from ineffective to exceptional practices.

The Bethany professionals chose to align the supervision and evaluation process to the *Connecticut Common Core of Teaching, Connecticut's Common Core of Leading, The Connecticut Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017, Bethany Board of Education Goals, Bethany Community School Goals* and *PEAC Guidelines*, our core beliefs and practices, as well as a significant body of research. The Plan is holistic and comprehensive in its design, satisfying the guidelines for educator evaluation set forth by the Connecticut State Department of Education while also contributing to the improvement of individual and collective practice among professionals, and providing support for a full range of professional performance needs.

B. Goals of the Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Process

To achieve Bethany's vision of implementing a collaborative and reflective educator supervision and evaluation process that ensures every student is taught by a competent, highly qualified educator, and the district is run by highly effective leaders, the goals of this Plan are to design an evaluation system that clearly defines excellent practice, provides educators with accurate, useful information about their strengths and areas for development, and provides meaningful opportunities for professional learning and growth.

To achieve our goals, this evaluation system will:

- Ensure the learning and growth of all professionals and students.
- Guarantee the continuation of Bethany's collaborative model, including Professional Learning Communities (PLC), grade level Data Teams, and common Planning time that allow for continued reflection, collaboration, and communication around student growth and student learning.
- Provide meaningful professional learning experiences that impact instructional practice.
- Pledge to provide ongoing opportunities for professional sharing and feedback in support of continuous learning.
- Provide a structure that allows educators to document and share evidence of best practice.
- Ensure that evaluations are fair, reliable, valid, holistic, and an accurate representation of educators' practice.
- Differentiate experiences for educators across a continuum of professional performance needs.

C. District Mission Framework

Mission, Beliefs, Commitments, and Core Values

At the heart of our *Bethany 2020 – Ascent to Excellence Strategic Plan*, lies the district's mission, beliefs, and commitments. These core values guide our decision-making and affirm our belief that developing each educator and all students so that they can achieve to their greatest potential, is a shared responsibility.

Mission Statement

In the Bethany Public School District, we believe our mission is to challenge and inspire every student to become a lifelong learner and a resilient, independent, literate, caring, creative, responsible world citizen.

Our Beliefs and Commitments

We believe that ...

Educating children is our first priority.

Education is a community-wide responsibility and requires the active engagement of all stakeholders.

The individual worth of each child must be celebrated.

Every student can learn and deserves an equal opportunity to learn.

Every student has special gifts and talents to be discovered and nurtured.

Positive attitude and effort lead to accomplishment.

Physical activity, the arts, and play are essential elements of a comprehensive education.

Education must focus on active learning, using critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

We are committed to ...

Empowering students to become resourceful learners who can apply their knowledge.

Challenging each student to reach his/her full potential.

Respecting individual and community values.

Integrating twenty-first-century technology throughout our school.

Investing in our professional staff to enhance instruction.

Developing and retaining exemplary teachers.

Providing a safe, secure and positive environment.

Managing our financial resources efficiently and effectively.

Advancing the Bethany Public School District together as a community.

D. Bethany 2020 – Ascent to Excellence Strategic Plan

1. We will maximize each student’s potential through a rich and challenging curriculum and a broad range of programs.
2. We will provide proactive, coordinated academic, social, and emotional support for every student.
3. We will cultivate responsibility, respect, and resilience in our students, and will promote citizenship in the school, the community, and the world.
4. We will encourage and enhance collaborative relationships with parents and with the broader community.
5. We will ensure that the staff and students are fluent in the integrated use of technology in the service of learning.
6. We will be responsible stewards of Bethany’s school resources.
7. We will provide a safe and secure learning environment.
8. We will invest in the continual development of our staff.

E. Theory of Action

IF students are provided access to highly effective teachers who also develop caring responsive relationships, *AND IF* the culture of continuous, collaborative professional growth is used to support high expectations for student learning and improved instruction, *THEN* we will meet the needs of all learners and students will achieve at high levels.

F. Connecting Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Process to the Bethany Vision, Mission, Instructional Model, Achievement Goals, and Theory of Action

As evidenced by our mission, vision, beliefs, and commitments, BPSD recognizes that the education of each child and the development and growth of each staff member is not only a priority but a shared responsibility. The tenets that support the Plan are grounded in our strong belief that educator and student success is contingent upon our commitment to work as a professional learning community. The Plan will assure the attainment of both the vision and mission of our learning community.

Strengthening individual and collective educator practices with the goal of developing students’ critical thinking and increasing student achievement warrants having an instructional framework as the cornerstone of our work. We acknowledge that in order for students to achieve at their highest level, we need effective educators in every classroom delivering high-quality instruction at all times. Additionally, we acknowledge that leaders responsible for providing supervision and support to our teachers must meet high standards of leadership practice, especially related to their role as instructional leaders. The Bethany Community School’s Balanced Instructional Model is comprehensive, implemented school-wide, and focuses on purposeful Planning, effective instructional practices, active student engagement, and thoughtful reflection resulting in improved student achievement. This instructional model is supported and tightly aligned to the *Connecticut Common Core Standards (CCCS)*, *Common Core of Teaching (CCT)*, Bethany Public School District’s

Curriculum, and both formative and summative assessments. Our instructional model allows us to share a common understanding of effective instructional practices and identifies where these practices fall along a continuum - from exceptional to ineffective practice.

While our Plan is an important structure for the realization of our district vision and mission, it also plays a critical role in our district/school improvement Plans. Our continuous improvement Plans address how we will obtain our district goals, and cannot be accomplished without high-quality instruction taking place in every classroom. Therefore, our Plan addresses the alignment of developing professional goals around instructional practices that directly support district/school goals.

Furthermore, the district's Theory of Action serves as a concrete representation of our vision and strategy for improvement. The Bethany Public School District's Theory of Action explicitly connects the learning and development of our professional educators to the learning and development of their students in the classroom, whereby we believe that if all students are provided access to highly effective educators and our leaders promote a culture of continuous, collaborative professional growth that supports high expectations for student learning and improved instruction, then we will meet the needs of our students and they will achieve at high levels.

II. Elements of the Professional Growth and Evaluation Process for Administrators

A. Administrator Evaluation Plan Overview

Figure 1 below represents an outline of the overall Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation process.

Figure 1: Evaluation Process Timeline



The Plan is driven by the implementation of the *Connecticut's Common Core of Leading (CCL)*. These standards support a common understanding of effective leadership practice across four domains as outlined in *The Connecticut Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* (Appendix A).

Domains:

- Instructional Leadership,
- Talent Management,
- Organizational Systems, and
- Culture and Climate.

Within each domain are specific indicators that break down expected teacher practices and resulting student behaviors across four levels of performance and practice:

- Level 1 - Below Standard Practice
- Level 2 - Developing Practice
- Level 3 - Effective Practice
- Level 4 - Exemplary Practice

The *Connecticut's Common Core of Leading (CCL)* is the framework upon which the Connecticut State Department of Education formulated the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric*, herein referred to as "the Rubric." The Rubric is the core document within this evaluation system and is used to help provide the context through which a leader's performance can be directly measured. The indicators of leadership practice outlined in the Rubric (see Figure 2) represent the values and beliefs of leadership of the educational community. Evaluation of educator performance will be measured through evidence collected relative to the performances identified in the Rubric, and educator growth across performance levels will be supported and ultimately expected in each given school year.


Figure 2. Connecticut Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric

Connecticut Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017 — At a Glance	
<p>▶ Domain 1: Instructional Leadership</p> <p><i>Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by developing a shared vision, mission and goals focused on high expectations for all students, and by monitoring and continuously improving curriculum, instruction and assessment.</i></p> <p>1.1 Shared Vision, Mission and Goals — Leaders collaboratively develop, implement and sustain the vision, mission and goals to support high expectations for all students and staff</p> <p>1.2 Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment — Leaders develop a shared understanding of standards-based best practices in curriculum, instruction and assessment</p> <p>1.3 Continuous Improvement — Leaders use assessments, data systems and accountability strategies to monitor and evaluate progress and close achievement gaps.</p>	<p>▶ Domain 2: Talent Management</p> <p><i>Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by implementing practices to recruit, select, support and retain highly qualified staff, and by demonstrating a commitment to high-quality systems for professional learning</i></p> <p>2.1 Recruitment, Selection and Retention — Recruits, selects, supports and retains effective educators needed to implement the school or district’s vision, mission and goals.</p> <p>2.2 Professional Learning — Establishes a collaborative professional learning system that is grounded in a vision of high-quality instruction and continuous improvement through the use of data to advance the school or district’s vision, mission and goals.</p> <p>2.3 Observation and Performance Evaluation — Ensures high-quality, standards-based instruction by building the capacity of educators to lead and improve teaching and learning</p>
<p>▶ Domain 3: Organizational Systems</p> <p><i>Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by managing organizational systems and resources for a safe, high-performing learning environment.</i></p> <p>3.1 Operational Management — Strategically aligns organizational systems and resources to support student achievement and school improvement.</p> <p>3.2 Resource Management — Establishes a system for fiscal, educational and technology resources that operate in support of teaching and learning</p>	<p>▶ Domain 4: Culture and Climate</p> <p><i>Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by collaborating with families and other stakeholders to respond to diverse community needs and interests, by promoting a positive culture and climate, and by modeling ethical behavior and integrity.</i></p> <p>4.1 Family, Community and Stakeholder Engagement — Uses professional influence to promote the growth of all students by actively engaging and collaborating with families, community partners and other stakeholders to support the vision, mission and goals of the school and district.</p> <p>4.2 School Culture and Climate — Establishes a positive climate for student achievement, as well as high expectations for adult and student conduct.</p> <p>4.3 Equitable and Ethical Practice — Maintains a focus on ethical decisions, cultural competencies, social justice and inclusive practice for all members of the school/district community.</p>

Stakeholder Feedback (10%) will also be collected on educator performance and will, in combination with **Administrator Performance and Practice Ratings (40%)**, constitute 50% of a leader’s overall performance rating. This 50% (40% + 10%) is a leader’s **“Practice Rating.”**

Measurement of the **Student Learning Outcomes** for students is defined as an **“Outcome Rating”** and will be measured based on results associated with student achievement on a combination of local and regional assessments (45%), and **Teacher Effectiveness (5%)**. These two categories of performance evaluation will constitute the remaining 50% (45% + 5%) of an educator’s overall rating (see Figure 3). Processes and information relative to measurement of performance in these four main categories of performance evaluation have been outlined in the sections that follow (see Figure 3).

Figure 3: Categories of Performance Evaluation



B. Components of Performance Evaluation

Category 1: Administrator Performance and Practice (40%)

Forty percent (40%) of an Administrator’s evaluation shall be based on ratings of Administrator performance and practice by the District Superintendent or her/his designee(s). For the purpose of this section, the word “Administrator” will constitute those individuals in positions requiring an administrative certification (092) including Principals and Directors of Special Services, Curriculum, and Instruction.

Forty percent (40%) of an Administrator’s evaluation shall be based on observation and evidence collection related to leadership practice and performance as articulated in the *Connecticut’s Common Core of Leading*. Additional, review of artifacts including professional development Plans, educator feedback, Administrator reflections as well as Planning documents, school improvement Plans, and evidence of educator development and evidence of professional relationships will also be considered in measuring Administrator performance and practice. Table 1 provides an overview of the core actions to be taken by Administrators and their Evaluator throughout the year.

Table 1: Evaluation Cycle for Administrators

Action	Person Responsible	Documents*	Timeline**
Orientation and Support Evaluation Process	Administration	Evaluation Plan	By Oct 30
Self-Reflection and Initial Data Review	Administrator	Beginning-of-Year Self-Reflection	By Oct 2
Review of existing stakeholder data including but not limited to School Climate Surveys.	Administrator/ Evaluator	School-wide Surveys Results	By Oct. 15
Review of School Improvement Plan	Administrator/ Evaluator	School Improvement Plan	By Oct 15
Goal Setting	Administrator	Goal Setting Form	By Oct 15
Collaborative Goal Setting Conference	Administrator/ Evaluator	Administrator Goal Setting Form	By Oct 30
Establish a system of Unannounced Observations including but not limited to brief observations of leadership practice (e.g., staff meetings, professional development, parent or student interaction, school-based instructional rounds, classroom visitations, Board of Education meetings, community outreach, PPT meetings and school-wide functions). Written and oral feedback provided.	Administrator/ Evaluator	Unannounced Observation Form	Aug – Jun
Establish a system of classroom walkthroughs with Evaluator and designees	Administrator/ Evaluator/ Others including but not limited to Instructional Support Team, educators, School Data Team	Walkthrough data, problem of practice, Theory of Action, instructional observation protocols	Visit 1 by Jan 1 Visit 2 by May 15
Mid-Year Reflection	Administrator	Mid-Year Reflection Form	By Feb 15
Mid-Year Conference	Administrator/ Evaluator	Mid-Year Reflection Form Evidence of Student Achievement	By Mar 1
End-of-Year Reflection	Administrator	End-of-Year Reflection Form	By Jun 20
End-of-Year Conference	Administrator/ Evaluator	End of Year Reflection Form Evidence of Student Achievement Teacher Effectiveness Data Stakeholder Feedback Data	By Jun 23
Summative Evaluation	Evaluator	Summative Evaluation Form	By June 30

*Forms will be revised periodically to reflect revisions approved by the Bethany Professional Development and Evaluation Committee.

**Dates determined by the school calendar.

Documentation Review

All Administrators will have the opportunity to collect information relative to their practice that can be shared with an Evaluator in support of their overall evaluation and across all domains of *Connecticut's Common Core of Leading*. Artifacts are submitted as evidence of Administrator effectiveness in terms of the leadership standards. For each document uploaded, Administrators will be able to indicate which Domain(s)/Indicator(s) the artifact supports. Table 2 provides a list of documents and processes that can be used to support meaningful dialogue relative to evidence and artifacts.

Table 2: Examples of Artifact Documents

Action	Person Responsible	Documents
Review of School Improvement Plan.	Administrator/ Evaluator	School Improvement Plan
Identification of key documents that support teaching and learning.	Administrator/ Evaluator	Faculty Meeting Agendas and Professional Development Plans
Review of school-wide achievement data.	Administrator/ Evaluator	Achievement Results
Review of teacher summative observations/evaluations.	Administrator/ Evaluator	Summative Teacher Evaluation Documents
Review of school climate data.	Administrator/ Evaluator	School Climate Survey Results Parent/Staff/Student Survey Results
Review of Instructional Problem of Practice.	Administrator/ Evaluator	Problem of Practice – Results of School-Based Walkthroughs

Leadership Performance Rubric

Bethany Public Schools has, through a committee process including input from all administrative level staff in the district, reviewed and analyzed various leadership rubrics to determine the best leadership framework for analysis of administrative performance and practice. The Committee has selected the *Connecticut's Common Core of Leading*. The 2017 version of *The Connecticut Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric* will be used as the primary tool. Appendix A shows the full Rubric to be used for all procedures associated with the forty percent (40%) Administrator performance and practice. Bethany Public Schools will use the following structure to weigh the four Performance Expectations of the Rubric.

Table 3: CCL Rubric Performance and Practice Scoring

Domain	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
1. Instructional Leadership		50%	
2. Talent Management		20%	
3. Organizational Systems		20%	
4. Culture and Climate		10%	
Total Score			

Table 4: CCL Rubric Performance and Practice Scoring - EXAMPLE

Domain	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
1. Instructional Leadership	2	50%	1.0
2. Talent Management	2	20%	0.4
3. Organizational Systems	3	20%	0.6
4. Culture and Climate	3	10%	0.3
Total Score			2.3

Leadership Practice Summative Rating

Summative Ratings are based on the preponderance of evidence for each performance expectation in the Rubric. Evaluators collect written evidence about and observe the Administrator’s leadership practice across the four performance expectations described in the Rubric and as specified in the preceding tables. Specific attention is paid to leadership performance areas identified as needing development.

Once the evidence has been reviewed and an Administrator’s final score has been determined based on the weighting of each Performance Expectation, the Evaluator records a final rating.

Table 5: Performance and Practice Rating


Exemplary Practice	Proficient Practice	Developing Practice	Below Standard Practice
3.51 – 4.0	2.5 – 3.5	1.5 – 2.49	1 – 1.49
Total Score			2.3
Rating			Developing

Category 2: Stakeholder Feedback (10%)

Ten percent (10%) of an Administrator's Summative Rating shall be based on feedback from stakeholders on areas of Leadership and/or school practice as described in the *Connecticut Leadership Standards*. For school-based Administrators, stakeholders solicited for feedback must include educators and parents but may include other stakeholders (other staff, community members, students, etc.). More than half of the rating of an Administrator on **Stakeholder Feedback** must be based on an assessment of improvement over time. To ensure a proper baseline has been established prior to assessing improvement over time, Bethany will begin to apply an analysis of Administrator improvement to assessment of performance relative to **Stakeholder Feedback** in year two which will allow for a clear understanding of growth. Bethany will set both common targets of improvement and performance for all Administrators as well as, where necessary, set specific targets for individual Administrators.

Bethany Public Schools has selected to use district created stakeholder surveys to cull important leadership goals and establish targets for improvement in which district and school leadership can apply in practice and which evaluators can assess leadership performance. Appendix B provides examples of survey questions from the selected Bethany Public School survey samples.

Figure 4: Stakeholder Feedback Process (10%)



The Administrator will articulate targets associated with data collected by stakeholders. When applicable, the Administrator will make specific connections between the Student Learning Objectives (SLO) being set and the targets and associated actions in response to **Stakeholder Feedback**. Assessment of performance in **Stakeholder Feedback** will be based on review of survey data as it relates to targets established during the Goal Setting Conference. An example of a Stakeholder Feedback Goal is provided in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Stakeholder Feedback Goal - EXAMPLE

Target is to increase *positive* response to Parent Communication questions on Survey from 45% rating to 55% rating at Agree or Strongly Agree.

The Administrator and Evaluator examine the survey results, identify the growth made toward targets set, and determine the level of performance to be assigned as outlined in the four-point matrix in Table 6.

Table 6: Stakeholder Feedback Goal Rating

Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Did Not Meet Goal	Partially Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeded Goal

Category 3: Student Learning Measures (45%)

Forty-five percent (45%) of an Administrator’s evaluation shall be based on attainment of goals for student growth, using multiple indicators of academic growth and development to measure those goals. Improving student performance is the single most important job of our nation’s public schools. Rigorous Student Learning Objectives (SLO) and corresponding Indicators of Academic Growth and Development (IAGD) help educators and Administrators challenge students at the highest possible levels and ensure focus and targeted practice toward their success.


The practice of setting student growth objectives places emphasis on using assessment results to guide instruction. Research has found that educators who set high-quality objectives often realize greater improvement in student performance than those who do not.

Establishing quality SLO/IAGD helps:

- Increase collegial discussions toward student growth and learning.
- Increase Administrator’s capacity to engage in the evaluation of assessments administered in their school setting.
- Increase knowledge and understanding of curricular standards.
- Cultivate deeper understanding of students’ academic strengths and weaknesses.
- Design more effective instructional practice.
- Support understanding of how to coach an educator to monitor and adjust instruction effectively to meet students’ needs.
- Generate more intentional professional learning opportunities before, during and after the school year.

Included in the analysis of student outcomes is a clear through line to the on-going data cycle and analysis completed by all educators in Bethany. Administrators are responsible for monitoring the formal Data Team cycle, informing the progress monitoring required to support student growth throughout the school. Throughout the year, Administrators will reflect on progress related to Data Team analysis of student achievement and complete a **Student Outcome Reflection**. This Reflection will act as an IAGD in that Administrators and Evaluators will work during each collaborative conference (i.e., Goal Setting, Mid-Year, and End-of-Year) to review the connections between specific educator actions, how they are supporting those actions as an Administrator, and student outcomes expected in the SLO.

Figure 5: Procedures for Establishing and Monitoring SLO/IAGD



Initial Benchmark and Initial Student Data Review

At the beginning of the year, the Administrator will complete an initial review of student data. This analysis will allow the Administrator to establish SLO/IAGD that directly align to the needs of students.

Collaborative Goal Setting Conference (by October 30)

Each Administrator, through a monitoring of the submitted teacher SLO, analysis of baseline data and established benchmarks and/or baseline data and, in mutual agreement with his/her Evaluator, will select two goals for student growth. Time will be allotted before the conference to review student data to inform Administrator goals. For each goal, the Administrator, through mutual agreement with his/her Evaluator, will select at least three but not more than five Indicators of Academic Growth and Development (IAGD).

In order to ensure no SLO/IAGD is determined by a single, isolated test score, but instead determined through the comparison of data across assessments and administered over time, Bethany Public Schools has designed the following structure:

For each goal, the Administrator, through mutual agreement with his/her Evaluator, will select at least three, but not more than five Indicators of Academic Growth and Development (IAGD) to include both standardized and non-standardized measures.

Each SLO/IAGD will:

- Take into account the academic track record and overall needs and strengths of the students, using baseline data when available.
- Address the most important purposes of an Administrator's assignment.
- Be aligned with school, district, or state student achievement objectives.
- Include a set of articulated action steps to meet each SLO. Action steps should reflect practice related to each domain within the *CCL*.

At least one IAGD for any SLO must be based on, when available, a standardized measure. Criteria for standardized measures include:

- Administered and scored in a consistent manner.
- Aligned to a set of academic standards.
- Broadly administered (regional, statewide, or national).
- Administered between one and three times a year.

At least one IAGD will be based on a non-standardized measure.

Possible assessments in Bethany include but are not limited to:

- Curriculum-Based/Non-Standardized Assessments - Examples include Phonological Awareness Test, Phonics Core Survey, Foundations End-of-Unit Assessments, Progress Monitoring, Spelling Inventory, and Fountas and Pinnell.
- Standardized Assessments - Examples include iReady and Smarter Balanced.
- Math Assessments - Examples include Regional End-of-Year Assessment, iReady, and End-of-Unit Assessments, Math Expressions Quizzes and Exit Slips.
- Writing Assessments - Examples include Writing Samples with Rubrics.

Within the process, the following are descriptions of selecting IAGD:

- **Fair to Students** – The Indicator is used in such a way as to provide students an opportunity to show that they have met or are making progress in meeting the learning objective. The use of the Indicator is as free as possible from bias and stereotype.
- **Fair to Educators** - The use of an Indicator is fair when an educator has the professional resources and opportunity to show that his/her students have made growth and when the Indicator is appropriate to the educator’s content, assignment, and class composition.
- **Reliable** - Use of the Indicator is consistent among those using the Indicators and over time.
- **Valid** - The Indicator measures what it is intended to measure.
- **Useful** - The Indicator may be used to provide the educator with meaningful feedback about student knowledge, skills, perspective, and classroom experience that may be used to enhance student learning and provide opportunities for educator professional growth and development.

Data Team Cycle and Progress Monitoring

Bethany Public Schools engages in professional learning focused on the implementation of Data Teams at all grade levels. The **Data-Driven Decision-Making** process used during Data Teams is the backbone to the ongoing development of the **Student Outcome Reflection**. At the end of each data cycle, an Administrator should be identifying, in conjunction with his/her school-based Data Team, the results associated with students’ achievement across all assessments but especially in relation to his/her own SLO. At least three times throughout the year, the Administrator will reflect on his/her specific impact on the progress being identified through the Data Team.

Student Outcome Reflection

The focus of the **Student Outcome Reflection** is on refining our understanding of an Administrator’s impact on student performance through a routine, consistent, rigorous, and targeted analysis of student achievement in our classrooms. The Data Team cycle is the platform for our review of our students’ progress. Following Data Team meetings, Administrators will document what they have learned about the effect of their leadership on teaching and learning in the school as it relates to their SLO. Three core components make up the **Student Outcome Reflection: High-Effect Leadership Strategies, Effectiveness of Leadership Practice, and Student Outcomes**. A **Student Outcome Reflection** needs to accompany at least one SLO as an IAGD.

Component #1: High-Effect Leadership Strategies (Related to PLG)

During each instructional cycle, and based on the Data Team analysis that allows us to monitor our students' progress, educators will routinely discuss the instructional strategies they have employed that have directly led to student achievement. This is considered Step 4 in the Bethany Data Team process. Administrators, through their school Data Team, will routinely examine the results associated

with student achievement and educator reflective practice documented during this step of the grade level Data Teams. Three times throughout the year, Administrators will meet with their school Data Team to examine school-wide results for key areas of student learning. An Administrator's reflection in this section of **Student Outcome Reflection** will center on the leadership practice in which they have engaged to ensure an environment of reflective practice among educators. Beyond scheduling of Data Teams, what high effect leadership strategies have been employed to ensure educators are effectively reflecting on student outcomes?

The Administrator provides a reflective response to:

What did I commit to in this cycle to ensure my educators are effectively reflecting on student outcomes?

Component #2: Effectiveness of Leadership Practice

The Administrator provides a reflective response to:

What did I find worked in this cycle?

What did I find was not working in this cycle?

What adjustments did I make?

Each Data Team meeting also offers an opportunity for an Administrator to self-assess on their own practice and its impact on student achievement. This is considered Step 5 of the Bethany Data Team process. During this step in the **Student Outcome Reflection**, Administrators reflect on what has been effective about their leadership practice and what adjustments they have made.

Component #3: Student Outcomes (Brief Explanation of the Component)

As a result of the Data Team cycle, the educator should have a direct understanding of whether or not they have met the cycle goals and have determined next steps. This is where the educator has the opportunity to make the final powerful link between their actions and the outcomes within the cycle, thereby, directly monitoring the progress of students towards the overall goals.

The Administrator provides a reflective response to:

Was the goal met?

If so, why? If not, why not?

What action will I take for my students who will struggle?

Reflection Review

During each opportunity for review between the Evaluator and Administrator (i.e., Collaborative Goal Setting Conference, Mid-Year Conference, End-of-Year Conference), the **Student Outcome Reflection** is measured against the following four elements to support the overall measurement of the SLO:

- The level of reflective practice (connections to the Rubric 1.3).
- Connections between practice and targeted strategies (connections to the Rubric 1.2, 1.3 and 2.2).
- The level of monitoring and adjusting (connections to the Rubric 1.3).
- The level of new professional learning identified (connections to the Rubric 2.2).

The Evaluator and the Administrator can leverage the answers to the reflective questions for each component (**High-Effect Leadership Strategies, Effectiveness of Leadership Practice, and Student Outcomes**) in order to collaboratively monitor progress towards the end-of-year objectives. To support this analysis, an assessment rubric has been established for each of the elements to be measured.

Table 7: Student Outcome Reflection Rubric

Student Outcome Reflection Elements	Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	Did Not Meet Goal	Partially Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeded Goal
<p>Reflective Practice</p> <p>Evidence of reflective practice connecting leadership practice to educator practice and student outcomes.</p> <p>Suggested: 50%</p>	<p>Reflective practice in relation to student performance and/or educator practice is not evident and, therefore, there is limited connection between educator practice and student performance.</p>	<p>Reflective practice in relation to student performance and/or educator practice is evident but there remain limited connections made between practice and student outcomes.</p>	<p>Reflective practice in relation to student performance and educator practice is clearly made, areas for improvement have been identified and action to improve professional practice is outlined.</p>	<p>Uses ongoing reflection to initiate professional dialogue with colleagues to improve individual and collective practices based on student performance data.</p>
<p>Effective Monitoring and Proper Adjustments</p> <p>Evidence of effective monitoring and proper adjustments.</p> <p>Suggested: 30%</p>	<p>Has not demonstrated how he/she monitored individual teacher practice based on student data.</p>	<p>Demonstrates how he/she monitors and makes efforts to improve educator individual practice based on student data.</p>	<p>Demonstrates how he/she monitors and makes adjustments that improve educator individual practice based on student data.</p>	<p>Makes adjustments that improve individual educator practice based on student data and supports the collective efficacy of others.</p>
<p>Evidence of New Learning</p> <p>Evidence of new learning for Administrator.</p> <p>Suggested: 20%</p>	<p>Has not provided evidence of new learning to support the impact on student performance and/or educator practice.</p>	<p>Demonstrates evidence of new learning but still does not connect to the impact on student performance and/or educator practice.</p>	<p>Demonstrates evidence of new learning that directly connects to the impact on student performance and/or educator practice.</p>	<p>Uses new learning to promote and support the collective impact on student performance and/or educator practice.</p>

Mid-Year Conference (by March 1)

Evaluators and Administrators will review progress toward the goals/objectives at least once during the school year, which is to be considered the midpoint of the school year, using available information, such as agreed-upon Indicators. Both the Administrator and the Evaluator will provide some evidence at the Mid-Year Conference:

- Examples of Administrators' evidence could be student work, samples of rubrics, Plans, assessment questions, and pre- and post-assessment data.
- Examples of Evaluator evidence can include observation notes/forms.

This review may result in revisions to the strategies or the approach being used and/or Administrators and Evaluators may mutually agree on a mid-year adjustment of student learning goals to accommodate changes (e.g., student populations, assignment).

End-of-Year Conference (by June 23)

The Administrator shall collect evidence of student progress toward meeting the SLO/IAGD. This evidence will be produced by using the multiple Indicators selected to align with each SLO/IAGD. The evidence will be submitted to the Evaluator, and the Administrator and Evaluator will discuss the extent to which the students met the SLO/IAGD. Evidence for the End-of-Year Conference includes the following:

- End-of-Year Self-Reflection.
- Evidence of End-of-Year Student Achievement Data (Data Teams).
- Artifacts from Administrator and Evaluator.
- Proposed needs for the following year (material support, building support, professional development).

Following the conference, the Evaluator will rate the extent of the Administrator's progress toward meeting the student learning goals/objectives, based on criteria for four levels of performance. Final student outcome data from the Data Team will be used to measure the attainment of the stated IAGD (see Table 5).

Table 8: SLO/IAGD Goal Attainment

Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Did Not Meet Goal	Partially Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeded Goal
Less than 60% of students in the IAGD met or exceeded the goal.	60-69% of students in the IAGD met or exceeded the goal.	70-89% of students in the IAGD met or exceeded the goal.	At least 90% of students in the IAGD met or exceeded the goal.

Category 4: Teacher Effectiveness (5%)

Five percent (5%) of an Administrator’s Summative Rating shall be based on educator effectiveness outcomes.

- Improving the percentage (or meeting a target of a high percentage) of educators who meet the Student Learning Objectives (SLO) outlined in their performance evaluations.
- Improvement of overall educator effectiveness scores (after a baseline has been established).
- Number of educators participating in career development programs offered by the district.

For Central Office Staff, measures may focus on a subset of educators, grade level, or subjects consistent with the job responsibilities of the Administrator being evaluated.

Bethany Public Schools believes that educator effectiveness is based on not only performance outcomes as defined in SLO but also on the ability of leadership to promote new and continuous learning toward educator growth and development. Furthermore, creating sustainability for the district through participation in career development pathways provides an important context to the influence of leadership on educator practice. Therefore, the weighting of Teacher Effectiveness will be examined in the following manner:

Table 9: Teacher Effectiveness

Teacher Effectiveness Component	Weighting
SLO	50%
Practice Ratings	25%
Career Development	25%

An Evaluators assessment of these areas is based on the following:

Table 10: Teacher Effectiveness Goal Attainment

SLO			
Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
0-40% of educators are rated Effective or Exemplary on the student growth portion of their evaluation.	41-60% of educators are rated Effective or Exemplary on the student growth portion of their evaluation.	61-80% of educators are rated Effective or Exemplary on the student growth portion of their evaluation.	81-100% of educators are rated Effective or Exemplary on the student growth portion of their evaluation.

Practice Ratings			
Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
0-40% of educators have increased Practice Ratings by one performance level within school year.	41-60% of educators have increased Practice Ratings by one performance level within school year.	61-80% of educators have increased Practice Ratings by one performance level within school year.	81-100% of educators have increased Practice Ratings by one performance level within school year.

Career Development			
Below Standard Practice	Developing Practice	Effective Practice	Exemplary Practice
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
No increase in educators participating in Career Development Opportunities.	Increase in educators participating in Career Development Opportunities.	Increases in educators participating in Career Development Opportunities.	Increases in educators participating in Career Development Opportunities.

Table 11: Calculating Teacher Effectiveness

Teacher Effectiveness Component	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
SLO		50%	
Practice Ratings		25%	
Career Development		25%	
Total Score			

Table 12: Calculating Teacher Effectiveness - EXAMPLE

Teacher Effectiveness Component	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weight)
SLO	2	50%	1
Practice Ratings	2	25%	0.5
Career Development	2	25%	0.5
Total Score			2
Rating Scale			Developing

C. Aggregate and Summative Scoring

An Administrator's Summative Rating will include a combination of the performance ratings associated with the four categories of the evaluation model. Evidence relative to an Administrator's Performance and Practice will be combined with scores related to an Administrator's efforts associated with Stakeholder Feedback goals to determine an overall Practice Rating. Performance relative to Student Learning Measures (designed at the beginning of the year through SLO) will be combined with Teacher Effectiveness scores to determine an overall Outcomes Rating. The Practice Rating and the Outcomes Rating will be combined to give a Summative Rating.

Determining Summative Rating

Step 1: Calculate Administrator performance level score on the Rubric.

Table 13: Performance and Practice Scoring

Domain	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
1. Instructional Leadership		50%	
2. Talent Management		20%	
3. Organizational Systems		20%	
4. Culture and Climate		10%	
Total Score			

Table 14: Performance and Practice Scoring - **EXAMPLE**

Domain	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
1. Instructional Leadership	2	50%	1.06
2. Talent Management	2	20%	0.4
3. Organizational Systems	3	20%	0.6
4. Culture and Climate	3	10%	0.3
Total Score			2.3

Step 2: Determine final Practice Rating.

Table 15: Calculating the Practice Rating

Components	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
Educator Performance, Practice, and Professional Growth Standards Score		40	
Stakeholder Feedback		10	
Total Score			

Table 16: Calculating the Practice Rating - EXAMPLE

Components	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
Educator Performance, Practice, and Professional Growth Standards Score	2.3	40	92
Stakeholder Feedback	2	10	20
Total Score			112

Step 3: Determine the Performance Level for the Practice Rating by using the rating table below.

Table 17: Practice Rating Table

Point Range	Performance Level Rating
175-200	Level 4 (Exemplary)
127-174	Level 3 (Effective)
81-126	Level 2 (Developing)
50-80	Level 1 (Below Standard)
Final Administrator Performance and Practice	Level 2 (Developing)

Step 4: Determine the final Outcomes Rating.

Table 18: Calculating the Outcomes Rating

Components	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
Student Growth and Development (SLO)		45	
Teacher Effectiveness		5	
Total Score			

Table 19: Calculating the Outcomes Rating - EXAMPLE

Components	Score	Weighting	Points (Score x Weighting)
Student Growth and Development (SLO)	3	45	135
Teacher Effectiveness	3	5	15
Total Score			150

Step 5: Determine the Performance Level for the Outcomes Rating by using the rating table below.

Table 20: Outcomes Rating Table

Point Range	Performance Level Rating
175-200	Level 4 (Exemplary)
127-174	Level 3 (Effective)
81-126	Level 2 (Developing)
50-80	Level 1 (Below Standard)
Final Educator Performance and Practice	Level 3 (Effective)

D. Summative Performance Rating Matrix

Step 6: Using the Summative Performance Rating Matrix using Table 21 below, determine the final Performance Rating for an Administrator based on his or her combined scores. To use the table, identify the Administrator’s rating for each category and follow the respective column and row to the center of the table. The point of intersection indicates the **Summative Rating**. Note: The matrix below uses the state performance level language as outlined in the PEAC Guidelines.

Table 21: Summative Performance Rating Matrix

Summative Performance Rating Matrix					
		Practice Rating			
		Exemplary (175-200 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Developing (81-126 points)	Below Standard (50-80 points)
Outcomes Rating	Exemplary (175-200 points)	Exemplary (175-200 points)	Exemplary (175-200 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Gather Further Information
	Effective (127-174 points)	Exemplary (175-200 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Developing (81-126 points)
	Developing (81-126 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Effective (127-174 points)	Developing (81-126 points)	Developing (81-126 points)
	Below Standard (50-80 points)	Gather Further Information	Developing (81-126 points)	Developing (81-126 points)	Below Standard (50-80 points)

E. Data Management System

TalentEd Perform is the district’s web-based performance management software. All forms associated with the *Bethany Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Plan* will be accessed electronically by Administrators and Evaluators via the district’s website under Employee Resources.

III. Orientation to the Administrator Evaluation Process

The district will present an overview of the Plan as part of the first day of the Summer Leadership Retreat. Throughout the school year, some leadership meeting time will be devoted to components of the Plan's process and procedures. Annual orientations will occur no later than October 30. Overview of the Plan will be part of each new Administrator orientation and ongoing support program offered by the district.

Evaluator Norming/Calibration Training

Annually, Administrators will engage in professional learning opportunities, including online options and collaborative sessions that will develop their skills in effective observation, providing meaningful and useful feedback, and engaging in productive professional conversations with educators. BPSD will regularly provide opportunities for Administrators to demonstrate calibration and proficiency through professional development.

IV. Developing and Supporting Administrators through Professional Learning

The goal of professional learning opportunities in Bethany is to support reflective practice. In Bethany, all Administrators must be models of ongoing learning. As a result, Bethany believes that professional learning that improves the learning of all students:

- Organizes adults into professional learning communities whose goals are aligned with school and district strategic Plans and provides educators with the knowledge and skills to collaborate.
- Requires skillful school and district leaders who guide continuous instructional improvement.
- Requires resources such as survey data, evaluation data, etc. to support educator learning and collaboration.
- Uses disaggregated student data to determine adult learning priorities, monitor progress and help sustain continuous improvement data.
- Prepares educators to apply research to decision making, uses learning strategies appropriate to the intended goal and applies knowledge about human learning and change.
- Prepares educators to understand and appreciate all students, create safe, orderly and supportive learning environments, and hold high expectations for their academic achievement.
- Deepens educators' content knowledge, provides them with research-based instructional strategies to assist students in meeting rigorous academic standards and prepares them to use various types of classroom assessments appropriately.
- Provides educators with knowledge and skills to involve families and other stakeholders appropriately.

Prior to the beginning of the school year, the PDEC will meet to organize a formal Plan for professional learning to be instituted for all staff during the next school year. Data from the previous year will be considered alongside strategic initiatives to determine the needs of all professionals. Planning will determine the professional learning needs and the corresponding venues for:

- Professional learning for which all staff will participate.
- Sub-group needs and corresponding professional learning.
- Targeted training required to support individuals.

Resources will then be determined to support all three tiers of professional learning in alignment with the BPSD Annual Budget.

V. Effectiveness and Ineffectiveness of Summative Ratings

An effective Administrator is one who obtains and maintains a final Summative Rating of three or above. A novice Administrator shall generally be deemed effective if said Administrator receives at least two sequential proficient ratings, one of which must be earned in the fourth year of a novice Administrator's career. An Administrator receiving a Summative Rating of one or two will enter the Administrator Assistance Process (AAP). Failing to successfully complete the AAP will result in an Administrator being defined as ineffective according to state guidelines.

VI. Administrator Assistance Process

Individual Administrator Improvement and Remediation Plans

Bethany Public Schools will create Plans of individual improvement and/or remediation for Administrators whose performance level is ineffective: **Developing** or **Below Standard**. These Plans will be collaboratively developed with the Administrator. The Plan must:

- identify resources, support and other strategies to be provided to the Administrator to address documented deficiencies,
- indicate a timeline for implementing such resources, support or other strategies in the course of the same year that the Plan is issued, and
- include indicators of success, including a Summative Rating of Effective or better at the conclusion of the improvement or remediation Plan.

Administrator Support Plan Procedures

1. If the summative performance of an Administrator is rated ineffective, the Evaluator will provide the Administrator with written notification that a conference is required. The Evaluator will set a date and time for this conference, which should take place within three weeks after the ineffective rating is determined (possible June meeting for articulation of Planning for the following school year – this must align to district calendar and personnel schedules; e.g., 10-month versus 12-month administrative staff).

-
2. The Evaluator will conduct the conference with the Administrator. At this meeting, the Evaluator will state the concern(s) regarding the Administrator's performance and the Administrator will be given the opportunity to verbally respond to the concern(s).
 3. If, after this meeting, the Evaluator determines that an Administrator Support Plan is needed, he/she will notify the Administrator in writing of the specific reasons for placing the Administrator on an Administrator Support Plan. This notification may occur at any time within the next thirty working days.
 4. Once the Administrator receives this notification, he/she will have ten working days to respond in writing to the Evaluator. However, a response is not required.
 5. At any time after notification of being placed on an Administrator Support Plan, the Administrator has the option of requesting a support team. This two-person team will consist of one administrative staff member from the district and one administrator or consultant outside the district selected by the Evaluator. The nature of this team is purely supportive (not punitive). The team will assist, and not evaluate, the Administrator in mutually agreed upon ways.
 6. Following the conclusion of the ten working day response period, the Evaluator will schedule a meeting within the next ten working days to determine the Plan of action for the Administrator Support Program.
 7. The Administrator Support Plan Form will include a restatement of the area(s) of concern, what type/extent of improvement is needed, steps to be taken to achieve that improvement, and an estimate of the time (days/weeks) when the improvement should be observable.
 8. The Administrator Support Plan will be implemented by the Evaluator working in conjunction with the Administrator. Both parties are responsible for taking appropriate and timely measures in an effort to effect an improvement in the Administrator's professional practice.
 9. If improvement is not evident after stated estimation of time (see Step 7) additional action may be taken to either intensify support or begin action in support of dismissal.

Career Development and Professional Growth

The Bethany Public School District will provide opportunities for Administrator career development and professional growth based on the results of the evaluation. Administrators with an evaluation of Effective or Exemplary will be able to participate in opportunities to further their professional growth, including attending state and national conferences and other professional learning opportunities.

For Administrators rated Exemplary, the following career development and professional growth opportunities would be available: observation of peers, mentoring/coaching to early career administrators or administrators new to Bethany, participating in development of administrator improvement and remediation Plans for peers whose performance is developing or below standard, leading professional learning communities for their peers, and, targeted professional development based on areas of need.

Evaluation – Informed Professional Learning

Bethany Public Schools has established a system upon which its highest performing Administrators (those Administrators who consistently demonstrate Exemplary Summative Ratings) are provided opportunities for professional learning that replaces the standard protocols for professional learning outlined in the *Bethany Public Schools Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Plan*. Through their professional growth Planning, Administrators can control their own professional development after receiving feedback and guidance from their direct Evaluator.

Professional growth options include, but are not limited to the following:

- **Peer Coaching** – The Peer Coaching option includes the participation of two or more administrators to practice peer support through a collegial approach to the observation and review of learning situations in the classroom. This option requires participation in a training component designed to assist in observation, feedback, and communications techniques.
- **Reflection and Continuous Learning** – This option provides the Administrator the opportunity to engage in self-reflection of the effects of leadership practice on educator and student performance. Through collaboration with the designated Evaluator and possibly other colleagues. The Administrator will analyze school and/or district professional development needs, school and/or district student performance outcomes, and propose supports structures to improve practice and performance.
- **Independent Project** – This option allows for the Administrator to enrich his/her knowledge of leadership practices or related areas through an examination of professional literature, participation in professional organizations, participation in action research, attendance at seminars, workshops or related professional activities.
- **Reflection** – This option allows Administrators the opportunity to develop a Reflection that focuses on a portion of one of the following:
 - Bethany Public Schools Teaching and Learning Framework.
 - *Connecticut's Common Core of Leading*.
 - *Connecticut Common Core State Standards*.
 - Standards for School Leaders (as applies to Administrators).
- **Other** – Administrators are encouraged to creatively explore and design options which improve effectiveness, encourage professional growth and positively impact student learning. Creative options are developed in collaboration with the Evaluator and other district colleagues.

VII. Dispute Resolution Process

The success of the Administrator Evaluation Process is based upon cooperation and mutual respect of both the Administrator and Evaluator. It is hoped that conflicts can be avoided through thoughtful Planning, open communication, and calibrated training. On occasion, however, conflicts may arise. In that event, the Administrator shall be entitled to representation at all levels of the resolution process. The appeal procedure is designed to facilitate the resolution of disputes generated by the evaluation process, such as where an Evaluator and Administrator cannot agree on objectives/goals, the evaluation period, feedback on performance and practice, or final Summative Rating. Resolutions must be topic specific and timely.

Procedure:

1. In the event of a conflict, the Administrator will submit a written dispute to the Evaluator, explaining the specific component(s) of the evaluation process being disputed and the reason(s) for the dispute.
2. Within three working days of articulating the complaint in writing, the Administrator will meet and discuss the matter with the Evaluator with the objective of resolving the matter informally.
3. If there has been no resolution, the district will establish a panel consisting of a district administrator of equal management level as the Administrator, and a mutually agreed upon third party, such as an independent consultant, RESC consultant, or Regional Superintendent, within three working days of the informal meeting.
4. The panel will review information from the Evaluator and the Administrator and will meet with both parties within five working days after receiving the aforementioned information. The panel must come to a resolution with unanimous agreement.
5. Should the process established not result in the resolution of a given issue, the determination regarding that issue will be made by the district Superintendent.

VIII. Appendix

APPENDIX A

Bethany Public School District
Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Plan

The Connecticut Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017

*A Rubric to Guide the Development, Support and Evaluation of
School and District Leaders*



Connecticut State Department of Education

September 2017

Contents

Contributors	1
Introduction (History, Structure of the <i>CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017</i> , Training and Calibration)	2
Comparison of the <i>CT Leader Evaluation Rubric</i> and the <i>CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017</i>	4
<i>CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017</i> — At a Glance	5
Domain 1: Instructional Leadership	
Indicator 1.1 Shared Vision, Mission and Goals	6
Indicator 1.2 Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment	7
Indicator 1.3 Continuous Improvement	8
Domain 2: Talent Management	
Indicator 2.1 Recruitment, Selection and Retention	9
Indicator 2.2 Professional Learning	10
Indicator 2.3 Observation and Performance Evaluation	11
Domain 3: Organizational Systems	
Indicator 3.1 Operational Management	12
Indicator 3.2 Resource Management	13
Domain 4: Culture and Climate	
Indicator 4.1 Family, Community and Stakeholder Engagement	14
Indicator 4.2 School Culture and Climate	15
Indicator 4.3 Equitable and Ethical Practice	16

The Connecticut State Department of Education is committed to a policy of equal opportunity/affirmative action for all qualified persons. The Connecticut State Department of Education does not discriminate in any employment practice, education program, or educational activity on the basis of race, color, religious creed, sex, age, national origin, ancestry, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, disability (including, but not limited to, intellectual disability, past or present history of mental disorder, physical disability or learning disability), genetic information, or any other basis prohibited by Connecticut state and/or federal nondiscrimination laws. The Connecticut State Department of Education does not unlawfully discriminate in employment and licensing against qualified persons with a prior criminal conviction. Inquiries regarding the Connecticut State Department of Education's nondiscrimination policies should be directed to: Levy Gillespie, Equal Employment Opportunity Director/Americans with Disabilities Act Coordinator, Connecticut State Department of Education, 450 Columbus Boulevard, Suite 607, Hartford, CT 06103-1841, 860-807-2071, Levy.Gillespie@ct.gov.

Contributors

Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE)

Dr. Dianna R. Wentzell
Commissioner

Ellen Cohn
Deputy Commissioner

Talent Office

Dr. Sarah J. Barzee
Chief Talent Officer

Shannon Marimón
Division Director
Bureau of Educator Effectiveness
and Professional Learning

Project Manager

Sharon M. S. Fuller
Education Consultant
Bureau of Educator Effectiveness
and Professional Learning

CSDE Consultants and Contributing Authors

Anne McKernan
Bureau Chief
Bureau of Leadership Development

Clauding Primack
Education Consultant

Dr. Larry Jacobson
Education Consultant

Dr. Amanda Turner
Associate Consultant

Kim Wachtelhausen
Education Consultant

Committee Members and Contributing Authors

Kristin B. Heckt
Bolton Public Schools

Dr. Everett Lyons
Connecticut Association
of Schools (CAS)

Dr. Gary Maynard
Connecticut Federation of School
Administrators (CFSA)

Barbara St. Onge
Connecticut Technical
High School System (CTHSS)

Diane Dugas
East Hampton Public Schools

Laura Foxx
East Windsor Public Schools

Amy Drowne
EASTCONN

Dr. Erin McGurk
Ellington Public Schools

Robert Gilbert
Granby Public Schools

Susan Rourke
Griswold Public Schools

Dr. Eileen S. Howley
LEARN

Dr. Peter J. Cummings
LEARN

Louise Moss
Meriden Public Schools

Dr. Enza Macri
Middletown Public Schools

Dr. Elizabeth Feser
Milford Public Schools

Dr. Cliff Dudley
Milford Public Schools

Steven LePage
Plainville Public Schools

Dr. Robert Siminski
Regional School District 8

Dr. Janet Robinson
Stratford Public Schools

Jennifer Michno
The University of Connecticut

Dr. Mary Conway
Vernon Public Schools

Patricia Buell
Vernon Public Schools

Darren Schwartz
Waterbury Public Schools

Introduction

History

Connecticut's first leadership standards were formally adopted in 1999 and after 12 years of use were revised based on the national Interstate School Leadership Licensure Consortium (ISLLC) Standards. The **Common Core of Leading-Connecticut School Leadership Standards (CCL-CSLS)**, adopted by the Connecticut State Department of Education in 2012, currently serves as the foundation for a variety of state functions, including leadership preparation program accreditation, licensure assessment, and administrator evaluation and support throughout an administrator's professional career. The CCL-CSLS identifies six performance expectations that describe the knowledge, skills and dispositions necessary in key areas of leadership practice.

In accordance with the *Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation*, the *Leader Evaluation Rubric* was developed to describe the indicators of leadership practice within the six performance expectations of the CCL-CSLS in a standards-based rubric with ratings across four performance levels. The *Leader Evaluation Rubric* established a common language to operationalize the six performance expectations as well as to guide professional conversations about leadership practice. The tool was well received as it promoted continuous improvement of school and district leaders; however, feedback from the field indicated the need to revise the rubric in order to remove redundancies and make it more manageable.

In February 2015, the Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE) convened a Leader Validation Rubric Committee to begin phase one of a validation study of the *Leader Evaluation Rubric*. The committee included an extensive group of practicing administrators and superintendents representative of various school districts and educational organizations throughout Connecticut. Their process began by reviewing work that was currently in progress by other organizations, as well as research into rubrics used nationally. What resulted from this intensive process is the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2015*.

Validation Process

The *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2015* has been in use in many school districts or Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) since its release in 2015. In order to ensure the validity of this rubric, the CSDE has continued its partnership with Professional Examination Services (ProExam), to seek feedback from administrators and their evaluators using the rubric and to facilitate data collection activities during the 2016–17 academic year. These activities included:

Fairness Review—Subject matter experts representing diverse perspectives reviewed the language of the rubric to ensure that it is free of bias and equally applicable to administrators of all grade levels and their evaluators content areas, and assignments.

Surveys—Administrators and their evaluators in districts using the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2015* participated in an electronic survey to quantitatively and qualitatively evaluate the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2015* at the domain, indicator, attribute, and behavioral progression level.

Members of the original Validation Committee, established during the 2014–15 academic year, reconvened to systematically review the information from these activities and worked to address all issues raised via the independent data collection efforts by endorsing or modifying the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2015*. The *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* is the result of this validation process.

As with any tool for the observation of educator performance and practice, the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* is offered as an option for use as part of a district's evaluation and support plan and can be considered by the established district Professional Development and Evaluation Committee (PDEC).

Structure of the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017*

The *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* is organized into four domains and addresses leadership practices from each of the six performance expectations of the CCL-CSLS. The four domains are as follows: Instructional Leadership, Talent Management, Organizational Systems, and Culture and Climate. While the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* is one option to use in the evaluation and support of administrators, the CCL-CSLS still remain as Connecticut's leadership standards and apply to all Connecticut administrators. Please note that in the progression of practice across four levels of performance that the performances described in the Exemplary column are in addition to the performances described in the Proficient column. The *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* also includes Potential Sources of Evidence. Each administrator and his or her evaluator are encouraged to discuss which sources of evidence would provide the most useful information about the administrator's performance and practice during the goal-setting process. The list of sources provided is not intended to be all inclusive but serves as an illustrative sampling.

Initial responses to the revised *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* praise the emphasis on a leader's role in the following key areas: the alignment of school and district improvement processes; recruitment, development, and retention of an effective

and diverse workforce; commitment to equitable and ethical practices; and investment in building the capacity of others to expand and exhibit their leadership potential.

Training and Calibration

The *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* may be used by evaluators who have been trained in conducting effective observations and providing high-quality feedback. CSDE-sponsored trainings include training focused on the use of the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017*, as well as on the administrator evaluation and support model as a whole. Accurate and reliable evaluation of administrator performance and practice based on the domains, indicators and attributes of the *CT*

Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017 can only be achieved through training, experience and professional judgement. To ensure consistent and fair evaluations across different observers and settings, evaluators need to regularly calibrate their judgments against those of their colleagues. Engaging in ongoing calibration activities conducted around a common understanding of effective leadership practice will help to establish inter-rater reliability and ensure fair and consistent evaluations. Calibration activities offer an opportunity to participate in rich discussion and reflection through which to deepen understanding of the *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017* and ensure evaluators can accurately measure leadership practice as described in the indicators within the rubric.

Comparison of *CT Leader Evaluation Rubric* and *CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017*

In the revised rubric, the six Performance Expectations of the CCL-CSLS have been reorganized into four domains and renamed to capture the most essential skills of a leader.

CT Leader Evaluation Rubric	CT Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017
<p>Performance Expectation 1: Vision, Mission and Goals: Element A: High Expectations for All Element B: Shared Commitments to Implement and Sustain the Vision, Mission and Goals Element C: Continuous Improvement toward the Vision, Mission and Goals</p> <p>Performance Expectation 2: Teaching and Learning Element A: Strong Professional Culture Element B: Curriculum and Instruction Element C: Assessment and Accountability</p> <p>Performance Expectation 3: Organizational Systems and Safety Element A: Welfare and Safety of Students, Faculty and Staff Element B: Operational Systems Element C: Fiscal and Human Resources</p> <p>Performance Expectation 4: Families and Stakeholders Element A: Collaboration with Families and Community Members Element B: Community Interests and Needs Element C: Community Resources</p> <p>Performance Expectation 5: Ethics and Integrity Element A: Ethical and Legal Standards of the Profession Element B: Personal Values and Beliefs Element C: High Standards for Self and Others</p> <p>Performance Expectation 6: The Education System Element A: Professional Influence Element B: The Educational Policy Environment Element C: Policy Engagement</p>	<p>Domain 1: Instructional Leadership Indicator 1.1 Shared Vision, Mission and Goals Indicator 1.2 Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment Indicator 1.3 Continuous Improvement</p> <p>Domain 2: Talent Management Indicator 2.1 Recruitment, Selection and Retention Indicator 2.2 Professional Learning Indicator 2.3 Observation and Performance Evaluation</p> <p>Domain 3: Organizational Systems Indicator 3.1 Operational Management Indicator 3.2 Resource Management</p> <p>Domain 4: Culture and Climate Indicator 4.1 Family, Community and Stakeholder Engagement Indicator 4.2 School Culture and Climate Indicator 4.3 Equitable and Ethical Practice</p>

Connecticut Leader Evaluation and Support Rubric 2017 — At a Glance

<p>▶ Domain 1: Instructional Leadership</p>	<p>▶ Domain 2: Talent Management</p>
<p><i>Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by developing a shared vision, mission and goals focused on high expectations for all students, and by monitoring and continuously improving curriculum, instruction and assessment.</i></p> <p>1.1 Shared Vision, Mission and Goals — Leaders collaboratively develop, implement and sustain the vision, mission and goals to support high expectations for all students and staff.</p> <p>1.2 Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment — Leaders develop a shared understanding of standards-based best practices in curriculum, instruction and assessment.</p> <p>1.3 Continuous Improvement — Leaders use assessments, data systems and accountability strategies to monitor and evaluate progress and close achievement gaps.</p>	<p><i>Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by implementing practices to recruit, select, support and retain highly qualified staff, and by demonstrating a commitment to high-quality systems for professional learning.</i></p> <p>2.1 Recruitment, Selection and Retention — Recruits, selects, supports and retains effective educators needed to implement the school or district's vision, mission and goals.</p> <p>2.2 Professional Learning — Establishes a collaborative professional learning system that is grounded in a vision of high-quality instruction and continuous improvement through the use of data to advance the school or district's vision, mission and goals.</p> <p>2.3 Observation and Performance Evaluation — Ensures high-quality, standards-based instruction by building the capacity of educators to lead and improve teaching and learning.</p>
<p>▶ Domain 3: Organizational Systems</p>	<p>▶ Domain 4: Culture and Climate</p>
<p><i>Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by managing organizational systems and resources for a safe, high-performing learning environment.</i></p> <p>3.1 Operational Management — Strategically aligns organizational systems and resources to support student achievement and school improvement.</p> <p>3.2 Resource Management — Establishes a system for fiscal, educational and technology resources that operate in support of teaching and learning.</p>	<p><i>Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by collaborating with families and other stakeholders to respond to diverse community needs and interests, by promoting a positive culture and climate, and by modeling ethical behavior and integrity.</i></p> <p>4.1 Family, Community and Stakeholder Engagement — Uses professional influence to promote the growth of all students by actively engaging and collaborating with families, community partners and other stakeholders to support the vision, mission and goals of the school and district.</p> <p>4.2 School Culture and Climate — Establishes a positive climate for student achievement, as well as high expectations for adult and student conduct.</p> <p>4.3 Equitable and Ethical Practice — Maintains a focus on ethical decisions, cultural competencies, social justice and inclusive practice for all members of the school/district community.</p>

Domain 1: Instructional Leadership

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by developing a shared vision, mission and goals focused on high expectations for all students, and by monitoring and continuously improving curriculum, instruction and assessment.

1.1 Shared Vision, Mission and Goals						
Leaders collaboratively develop, implement and sustain the vision, mission and goals to support high expectations for all students and staff.						
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following:</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	High expectations for students	Does not develop, implement or sustain vision, mission and goals that convey a commitment to high expectations for all students.	Develops, implements and sustains vision, mission and goals with a limited commitment to high expectations for all students.	Develops, implements and sustains shared vision, mission and goals that articulate high expectations, including life skills and/or college- and career-readiness, for all students.	Creates a process to regularly review and renew shared vision, mission and goals that articulate high expectations, including life skills and/or college- and career-readiness, for all students.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School vision and mission statement • Faculty meeting agendas, minutes, observations • Parent group agenda, minutes, observations • Student, parent, staff surveys • Professional learning plan, content, feedback • School or district improvement plan • Student learning data • Educator evaluation data • Communications (including social media, website, newsletters, public appearances, etc.) • School functions and activities • Survey data • Implementation of policies on bullying or stakeholder engagement • Implementation of policies on stakeholder engagement • Presence of IEPs or 504 plans; implementation for special education staff • Evidence of vertical teaming for curriculum staff • Evidence of intra- or inter-building communication and cooperation • School or district community collaborations • Use and organization of community or parent volunteers • Various team and committee meeting agendas, minutes, observations • Data tracking parental involvement • PBIS implementation • Parent handbook • Use of interdistrict resources and professional learning cooperative designs
	School/District Improvement Plan (SIP/DIP) <i>Plans for school and/or district may be referred to by other titles (e.g., Continuous Improvement Plan, Strategic Plan). In this document, we will use SIP/DIP to refer to plans for school and/or district improvement</i>	Does not create or implement SIP/DIP and goals to address student and staff learning needs; the plan is not aligned to the DIP or does not apply best practices of instruction and organization.	Creates and implements SIP/DIP and goals that partially address student and staff learning needs; the plan may not be fully aligned to the DIP or does not fully apply best practices of instruction and organization.	Creates and implements cohesive SIP/DIP and goals that address student and staff learning needs; the plan aligns district goals, teacher goals, school or district resources, and best practices of instruction and the organization.	Develops capacity of staff to create and implement cohesive SIP/DIP and goals that address student and staff learning needs; the plan is aligned to district goals, teacher goals, school or district resources, and best practices of instruction and organization.	
	Stakeholder engagement	Minimally engages with stakeholders about the school or district's vision, mission and goals.	Engages stakeholders to develop, implement and sustain the school or district's vision, mission and goals.	Engages relevant stakeholders to develop, implement and sustain the shared school or district vision, mission and goals. Identifies and addresses barriers to achieving the vision, mission and goals.	Builds capacity of staff, students and other stakeholders to collaboratively develop, implement and sustain the shared vision, mission and goals of the school and district. Builds capacity of staff to identify and address barriers to achieving the vision, mission and goals.	

Domain 1: Instructional Leadership

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by developing a shared vision, mission and goals focused on high expectations for all students, and by monitoring and continuously improving curriculum, instruction and assessment.

1.2 Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment						
Leaders develop a shared understanding of standards-based best practices in curriculum, instruction and assessment						
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient plus one or more of the following</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Curriculum development	Few or no processes are established to implement and/or evaluate curriculum and instruction.	Establishes inconsistent processes to implement and/or evaluate curriculum and instruction.	Consistently works with staff to develop a system to implement and/or evaluate curriculum and instruction that meets state and national standards and ensures the application of learning in authentic settings.	Builds the capacity of staff to collaboratively implement and/or evaluate curriculum and instruction that meets or exceeds state and national standards and ensures the application of learning in authentic settings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Professional development sessions • Educator evaluation data • Student learning data (formative and summative) • Data team agendas, minutes, observations • School or district improvement plan • Curriculum guides • Lesson plans • Faculty meeting agendas, minutes, observations • Teacher formative assessments • Student learning goals or objectives and indicators of academic growth and development (IAGDs)
	Instructional strategies and practices	Does not or rarely promotes the use of instructional strategies or practices that address the diverse needs of all students ¹ .	Promotes evidence-based instructional strategies and practices that address the diverse needs of students.	Promotes and models evidence-based instructional strategies and practices that address the diverse needs of students.	Builds the capacity of staff to collaboratively research, identify, and implement evidence-based instructional strategies and practices that address the diverse needs of students.	
	Assessment practices	Provides little to no support to staff in implementing and evaluating formative and summative assessments that drive instructional decisions.	Demonstrates inconsistent effort to support staff in implementing and evaluating formative and summative assessments that drive instructional decisions.	Consistently works with staff to implement and evaluate formative and summative assessments that drive instructional decisions.	Develops the capacity of staff to implement and evaluate formative and summative assessments that drive instructional decisions.	

1. **Diverse student needs:** students with disabilities, cultural and linguistic differences, characteristics of gifted and talented, varied socioeconomic backgrounds, varied school readiness or other factors affecting learning.

Domain 1: Instructional Leadership

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by developing a shared vision, mission and goals focused on high expectations for all students, and by monitoring and continuously improving curriculum, instruction and assessment.

1.3 Continuous Improvement

Leaders use assessments, data systems and accountability strategies to monitor and evaluate progress and close achievement gaps.

		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Data-driven decision-making	Uses little to no data to guide ongoing decision-making to address student and/or adult learning needs.	Uses some data to guide ongoing decision-making to address student and/or adult learning needs.	Analyzes varied sources of data ² about current practices and outcomes to guide ongoing decision-making that addresses student and/or adult learning needs and progress toward the school or district vision, mission and goals.	Builds capacity of staff to use a wide-range of data to guide ongoing decision-making to address student and/or adult learning needs and progress toward school or district vision, mission and goals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School or district improvement plan • Leadership team agendas, minutes, observations • Faculty or departmental meeting agendas, minutes, observations • Professional development plan • Data team schedule, processes and minutes • Data team agendas, minutes, observations • Educator evaluation data, including informal or formal observations • Student intervention data • Parent group agenda, minutes, observations • School governance council agendas, minutes, observations
	Analysis of instruction	Provides little guidance or support to individual staff regarding the analysis of instruction to meet the diverse needs of students.	Guides individual staff to examine and adjust instruction to meet the diverse needs of students.	Develops collaborative processes for staff to analyze student work, monitor student progress and examine and adjust instruction to meet the diverse needs of students.	Creates a continuous improvement cycle that uses multiple forms of data and student work samples to support individual, team and school and district improvement goals, identify and address areas of improvement and celebrate successes.	
	Solution-focused leadership	Makes little or no attempt to solve schoolwide or districtwide challenges related to student success and achievement.	Attempts to solve schoolwide or districtwide challenges related to student success and achievement.	Persists and engages staff in solving schoolwide or districtwide challenges related to student success and achievement.	Builds the capacity of staff to develop and implement solutions to schoolwide or districtwide challenges related to student success and achievement.	

2. Data sources may include but are not limited to formative and summative student learning data, observation of instruction or other school processes, survey data, school climate or discipline data, graduation rates, attendance data.

Domain 2: Talent Management

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by implementing practices to recruit, select, support and retain highly qualified staff, and by demonstrating a commitment to high-quality systems for professional learning.

2.1 Recruitment, Selection and Retention						
Recruits, selects, supports and retains effective educators needed to implement the school or district's vision, mission and goals.						
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Recruitment, selection and retention practices³	Does not have or apply recruitment, selection and retention strategy or provide support for retention.	Implements recruitment, selection and retention strategies or provides support for retention that reflect elements of the school's or district's vision, mission and goals.	Develops and implements a coherent recruitment, selection and retention strategy or provides support for retention in alignment with the school's or district's vision, mission and goals, and according to district policies and procedures.	Works with key stakeholders to collaboratively develop and implement a coherent recruitment, selection and retention strategy or provides support for retention in alignment with the school's or district's vision, mission and goals; influences district's policies and procedures.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School or district improvement plans • Educator evaluation data • Application materials and interviews • Personnel records • Leadership team agendas, minutes, observations • Professional development sessions • ED 163 • Climate survey • Retention data • Faculty or departmental meeting agendas, minutes, observations
	Evidence-based personnel decisions	Does not consider evidence as a requirement for recruitment, selection and/or retention decisions.	Uses limited evidence of effective teaching or service delivery as a factor in recruitment, selection and/or retention decisions.	Uses multiple sources of evidence of effective teaching or service delivery and identified needs of students and staff as the primary factors in making recruitment, selection and/or retention decisions.	Engages staff in using multiple forms of evidence to make collaborative recruitment, selection and/or retention decisions.	
	Cultivation of positive, trusting staff relationships	Does not have positive or trusting relationships with staff or relationships have an adverse effect on staff retention.	Develops positive or trusting relationships with some school and district staff and external resources to retain highly qualified and diverse staff.	Develops and maintains positive and trusting relationships with school and district staff and external resources to retain highly qualified and diverse staff.	Empowers others to cultivate trusting, positive relationships with school and district staff and external resources to retain highly qualified and diverse staff.	
	Supporting early career teachers	Provides little or no support for early career teachers.	Identifies general needs and provides inconsistent support to meet the general needs of early career teachers.	Identifies and responds to the individual needs of early career teachers based on observations and interactions with these teachers.	Builds capacity of staff to provide high-quality, differentiated support for early career teachers.	

3. If responsibilities do not include directly recruiting and selecting, then emphasize support for retention.

Domain 2: Talent Management

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by implementing practices to recruit, select, support and retain highly qualified staff, and by demonstrating a commitment to high-quality systems for professional learning.

2.2 Professional Learning

Establishes a collaborative professional learning system that is grounded in a vision of high-quality instruction and continuous improvement through the use of data to advance the school or district's vision, mission and goals.

		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Professional learning system	Provides limited opportunities for professional learning, or provides opportunities that do not result in improved practice.	Establishes or supports professional learning opportunities that address individuals' needs to improve practice.	Establishes, implements and monitors the impact of a high-quality professional learning system to improve practice and advance the school or district's vision, mission and goals.	Promotes collaborative practices and fosters leadership opportunities for a professional learning system that promotes continuous improvement.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> School or district improvement plans Leadership team agendas, minutes, observations Professional learning plan Professional learning survey or feedback Educator evaluation data
	Reflective practice and professional growth	Does not use evidence to promote reflection or determine professional development needs.	In some instances, uses limited evidence that may or may not promote reflection to determine professional development needs and provide professional learning opportunities.	Models reflective practice using multiple sources of evidence and feedback to determine professional development needs and provide professional learning opportunities.	Leads others to reflect on and analyze multiple sources of data to identify and develop their own professional learning.	
	Resources for high-quality professional learning	Provides minimal support, time or resources for professional learning.	Provides limited conditions, including support, time or resources for professional learning that lead to some improvement in practice.	Provides multiple conditions, including support, time or resources for professional learning, that lead to improved practice.	Collaboratively develops the conditions, including support, time and resources based on a comprehensive professional learning plan that leads to improved instruction; fosters leadership opportunities that lead to improved instruction.	

Domain 2: Talent Management

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by implementing practices to recruit, select, support and retain highly qualified staff, and by demonstrating a commitment to high-quality systems for professional learning.

2.3 Observation and Performance Evaluation						
Ensures high-quality, standards-based instruction by building the capacity of educators to lead and improve teaching and learning.						
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <small>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following.</small>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Evidence-based evaluation strategies	Evaluates staff using evidence that is not aligned with educator performance standards.	Evaluates staff using evidence such as observation, review of artifacts, collegial dialogue or student-learning data that is minimally aligned to educator performance standards, which may result in improved teaching and learning.	Evaluates staff using sources of evidence such as observation, review of artifacts, collegial dialogue and student-learning data that is clearly aligned to educator performance standards, which result in improved teaching and learning.	Fosters peer-to-peer collaboration based on evidence gathered from multiple sources, including peer-to-peer observation, which results in improved teaching and learning.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School or district improvement plan • Educator evaluation data • Student learning goals or objectives and indicators of academic growth and development (IAGDs) • Leadership team agendas, minutes, observations • Professional development sessions • Professional learning recommendations • Teacher mentorship or peer support programming
	Feedback	Provides inappropriate or inaccurate feedback, or fails to provide feedback. Avoids difficult conversations with staff resulting in status quo or negative impact on student learning and results.	Provides ambiguous or untimely feedback that may not be actionable. Participates in some difficult conversations with staff, only when prompted.	Regularly provides clear, timely and actionable feedback based on evidence. Proactively leads difficult conversations about performance or growth to strengthen teaching and enhance student learning.	Creates a culture that promotes collaborative conversations to strengthen teaching and enhance student learning.	

Domain 3: Organizational Systems

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by managing organizational systems and resources for a safe, high-performing learning environment.

3.1 Operational Management

Strategically aligns organizational systems⁴ and resources to support student achievement and school improvement.

		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following.</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Organizational systems	There is little or no evidence that decisions about the establishment, implementation and monitoring of organizational systems support the vision, mission and goals or orderly operation of the school or district.	Decisions about the establishment, implementation and monitoring of organizational systems usually support the vision, mission and goals and orderly operation of the school or district.	Decisions about the establishment, implementation and monitoring of organizational systems consistently support the vision, mission and goals and orderly operation of the school or district.	Builds staff capacity to make or inform decisions about the establishment, implementation and monitoring of organizational systems that support the vision, mission and goals and orderly operation of the school or district.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schedules • Student assistance team • Safe school climate committee • Leadership team agendas, minutes, observations • Instructional improvement committees • Professional development and evaluation committees (PDEC), or school-based equivalent • School conditions • Maintenance of facilities, playgrounds, equipment, etc. • Processes for arrival and dismissal • Safety procedures • Use of electronic systems for student or staff data and communication • Phone logs, bulletins, website • Use of social media
	School site safety and security	<p>Fails to respond to or comply with feedback regarding the school site safety and security plan.</p> <p>Does not enforce compliance with safety requirements.</p> <p>Fails to address physical plant maintenance or safety concerns.</p>	<p>Partially implements a school site safety and security plan.</p> <p>Reactively addresses safety requirements. Addresses physical plant maintenance, as needed.</p>	<p>Designs and implements a comprehensive school site safety and security plan.</p> <p>Ensures safe operations and proactively identifies and addresses issues and concerns that support a positive learning environment. Advocates for maintenance of physical plant.</p>	Builds staff capacity to identify, address, and/or resolve any identified safety issues and concerns in a timely manner.	
	Communication and data systems	<p>Uses existing data systems that provide inadequate information or does not establish communication systems that encourage the exchange of information.</p> <p>Fails to communicate information or data.</p> <p>Fails to develop and/or monitor staff with regard to data and/or progress monitoring over time.</p>	<p>Develops communication and data systems that provide information but is not always timely and/or accurate in doing so.</p> <p>Inconsistently develops and/or monitors the capacity of staff to document, monitor, and access student learning progress over time.</p>	<p>Develops or implements communication and data systems that assure the accurate and timely exchange of information.</p> <p>Develops capacity of staff to document and access student learning progress over time.</p>	<p>Solicits input from all stakeholders to inform decisions regarding continuously improving the data and communication systems.</p> <p>Collaboratively develops capacity of staff to document and access student learning progress over time and continually seeks input on improving information and data systems.</p>	

4. Including but not limited to management systems and operations, data system design and oversight, scheduling of students and staff, routines and communication.

Domain 3: Organizational Systems

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by managing organizational systems and resources for a safe, high-performing learning environment.

3.2 Resource Management

Establishes a system for fiscal, educational and technological resources that operate in support of teaching and learning.

		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Budgeting	Does not develop and/or monitor a budget that aligns to the school and district improvement plans or district, state and federal regulations.	Develops, monitors, and/or implements a budget that is partially aligned to the school and district improvement plans and district, state and federal regulations.	Develops, implements and monitors a budget aligned to the school and district improvement plans and district, state and federal regulations. The budget is transparent and fiscally responsible.	Builds capacity of staff to play an appropriate role in the creation and monitoring of budgets within their respective areas. Advocates for financial resources for the betterment of school or district.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School or district budget documents or processes • School or district improvement plan • Leadership team agendas, minutes, observations • Parent group agenda, minutes, observations • School governance council agendas, minutes, observations • Technology plan
	Securing resources to support vision, mission and goals	Makes little to no attempt to identify school or program financial/educational resources that support achievement of the district's vision, mission and goals.	Identifies school or program financial/educational resources that support achievement of the district's vision, mission and goals.	Advocates for and works to secure school or program financial/educational resources that support achievement of the district's vision, mission and goals.	Practices responsible resource allocation while balancing programmatic needs with district goals and continuous improvement efforts.	
	Resource allocation	Allocates resources in ways that do not promote educational equity ⁵ for diverse student, family and staff needs.	Allocates resources in ways that marginally promote educational equity for diverse student, family and staff needs.	Allocates resources to ensure educational equity for all diverse student, family and staff needs.	Engages relevant stakeholders in allocating resources to foster and sustain educational equity for diverse student, family and staff needs.	

5. Educational equity: providing equitable resources to meet diverse student, family and staff needs

Domain 4: Culture and Climate

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by collaborating with families and other stakeholders to respond to diverse community needs and interests, by promoting a positive culture and climate, and by modeling ethical behavior and integrity.

		4.1 Family, Community and Stakeholder Engagement				
		Uses professional influence to promote the growth of all students by actively engaging and collaborating with families, community partners and other stakeholders to support the vision, mission and goals of the school and district.				
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Communications	Provides limited or ineffective communication about vision, mission and SIP/DIP and goals to families, community partners and other stakeholders.	Communicates vision, mission and SIP/DIP and goals to families, community partners and other stakeholders.	Communicates and advocates for the vision, mission and SIP/DIP and goals so that the families, community partners and other stakeholders understand and support equitable and effective learning opportunities for all students.	Creates a schoolwide or districtwide culture in which staff make themselves accessible and approachable to families, students and community members through inclusive and welcoming behaviors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications (including social media, website, newsletters, public appearances, etc.) • Feedback from climate survey • Parent group agenda, minutes, observations • Committee membership • Participation in community groups (Rotary, Lions Club, etc.) • Participation in professional organizations • Community groups (United Way, etc.) • School or district improvement plan • Family resource centers or outreach programs • School or district community collaborations • Use and organization of community or parent volunteers • Data on parental involvement • PBIS implementation • Parent handbook • Use of interdistrict resources and professional learning cooperative designs
	Inclusive decision-making	Minimal attempts to involve families or members of the community in decision-making about improving student-specific learning.	Promotes family and community involvement in decision-making that supports the improvement of student-specific learning.	Promotes and provides opportunities for families and members of community to be actively engaged in decision-making that supports the improvement of schoolwide or districtwide student achievement or student-specific learning.	Engages families and members of the community as leaders and partners in decision-making that improves schoolwide or districtwide student achievement or student-specific learning.	
	Relationship building	Takes few opportunities to build relationships with families, community partners and other stakeholders regarding educational issues.	Maintains professional and productive relationships with some families, community partners and other stakeholders regarding educational issues.	Maintains and promotes culturally responsive relationships with a wide range of families, community partners and other stakeholders to discuss, respond to and influence educational issues.	Actively engages with local, regional or national stakeholders to advance the vision, mission and goals of the school or district.	
	Cultural competence ⁶ and community diversity	Demonstrates limited awareness of cultural competence and community diversity as an educational asset.	Identifies some connections between cultural competence and community diversity that strengthen educational programs.	Capitalizes on the cultural competence and diversity of the community as an asset to strengthen education.	Integrates cultural competence and diversity of the community into multiple aspects of the educational program to meet the learning needs of all students.	

6. Cultural competence in school communities enhances the teaching and learning process and helps ensure equitable opportunities and supports for each and every student.

Cultural competence encompasses:

- An understanding of one's own cultural identity, biases, prejudices, and experiences of both privilege and marginalization;
- The continuous pursuit of skills, knowledge, and personal growth needed to establish a meaningful connection with people from various cultural backgrounds; and
- A lifelong commitment to action that supports equity within each school community.

Domain 4: Culture and Climate

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by collaborating with families and other stakeholders to respond to diverse community needs and interests, by promoting a positive culture and climate, and by modeling ethical behavior and integrity.

4.2 School Culture and Climate

Establishes a positive climate for student achievement, as well as high expectations for adult and student conduct.

		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following:</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Student conduct	Establishes limited or unclear expectations for student conduct, provides unclear communication about expectations, and/or displays inconsistent implementation of standards of conduct.	Establishes expectations for student conduct aligned to stated values for the school or district and provides some opportunities to reinforce expectations with staff and students.	Establishes, implements and monitors expectations for student conduct aligned to stated values for the school or district, and provides appropriate training for staff and students to uphold these expectations.	Establishes a school culture in which students monitor themselves and peers regarding the implementation of expectations for conduct.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discipline data • Student surveys • Observation of students and behaviors (cafeteria, halls, unstructured areas, etc.) • Faculty or departmental meeting agendas, minutes, observations • Observations of faculty • Social media • Educator evaluation data (professional responsibilities) • Parent surveys • Participation in parent meetings or school events • Records of safety issues • Collaboration with police and fire departments (minutes from meetings) • Procedure manuals • Emergency management drills • Communication with parents and families • Safe school climate committees • Contingency plans
	Professional conduct	Establishes limited or unclear expectations for adults or provides unclear communication about adherence to the Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Administrators.	Communicates expectations about adult behavior in alignment with the Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Administrators.	Communicates and holds all adults accountable for behaviors in alignment with the Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Administrators.	Establishes a school culture in which adults monitor themselves and peers regarding adherence to the Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Administrators.	
	Positive school climate for learning	Demonstrates little awareness of the link between school climate and student learning, or makes little effort to build understanding of school climate.	Maintains a school climate focused on learning and the personal well-being of students.	Maintains and promotes a caring and inclusive school or district climate focused on learning, high expectations and the personal well-being of students and staff.	Supports ongoing collaboration with staff and community to strengthen a positive school climate.	

Domain 4: Culture and Climate

Education leaders ensure the success and achievement of all students by collaborating with families and other stakeholders to respond to diverse community needs and interests, by promoting a positive culture and climate, and by modeling ethical behavior and integrity.

4.3 Equitable and Ethical Practice Maintains a focus on ethical decisions, cultural competencies, social justice and inclusive practice for all members of the school or district community.						
		BELOW STANDARD	DEVELOPING	PROFICIENT	EXEMPLARY <i>All characteristics of Proficient, plus one or more of the following.</i>	POTENTIAL SOURCES OF EVIDENCE
KEY AREAS OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICE	Professional Responsibility and Ethics	Demonstrates a pattern of poor judgment in exhibiting professional responsibility and ethical practices in accordance with the Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for School Administrators.	Demonstrates ability to use good judgment in exhibiting professional responsibility and ethical practices in accordance with Connecticut Code of Responsibility for School Administrators, but may fail to apply it consistently.	Exhibits, models and promotes professional responsibility and ethical practices in accordance with the Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for School Administrators.	Maintains the highest standards of professional conduct and holds high expectations of themselves and staff to ensure educational professionalism, ethics, integrity, justice and fairness.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transparency of policies and procedures Leadership team agendas, minutes, observations Professional organizations or memberships Feedback from colleagues, parents, community members Educator evaluation data (professional responsibilities) Faculty or staff handbook Faculty or departmental meeting agendas, minutes, observations Professional development Use of technology Technology plan or acceptable use policy Social media efforts
	Equity, cultural competence and social justice	Does not recognize the need for educational equity, cultural competence and social justice, or fails to use professional influence to promote educational equity, dignity and social justice.	Identifies the need for educational equity, cultural competence and social justice, but has limited influence to improve culture and climate.	Uses professional influence to foster educational equity, dignity and social justice to improve culture and climate.	Collaborates with all stakeholders to promote educational equity, dignity and social justice by ensuring all students have access to educational opportunities.	
	Ethical use of technology	Does not address or does not use ethical practices in the use of technology, including social media, to support the school or district's vision, mission and goals.	Recognizes but does not consistently demonstrate sound ethical practices in the use of technology, including social media, to support the school's vision, mission and goals.	Holds self and others accountable for the ethical use of technology, including social media, to support the school or district's vision, mission and goals. Promotes understanding of the legal, social and ethical uses of technology among members of the school or district community.	Proactively addresses the potential benefits and hazards of technology and social media to support the school or district's vision, mission and goals. Demonstrates understanding of models and guides the legal, social and ethical use of technology among members of the school or district community.	

APPENDIX B

Bethany Public School District
Professional Administrator Growth and Evaluation Plan

BCS Kindergarten Survey



YES



NO

1. I like coming to school.*

YES NO

2. My teachers help me to learn new things.*

YES NO

3. My teachers tell me how I am doing in class.*

YES NO

4. My classroom is a happy place.*

YES NO

BCS Grades 1 & 2 Survey



YES



NO

Grade*

1 2

1. I like coming to school.*

YES NO

2. My teachers help me learn new things.*

YES NO

3. My teachers tell me how I am doing in class.*

YES NO

4. I understand the rules and directions my teachers give me.*

YES NO

5. My classroom is a happy place.*

YES NO

6. I feel my teachers give me help when I need it.*

YES NO

7. My classroom is a happy place.*

YES NO

8. My classmates treat me with kindness.*

YES NO

BCS Grades 3-6 Survey

YOU MATTER!

Please share your opinions on the following questions. The information you provide will help us to improve BCS.

1. What grade are you in?*

3 4 5 6

2. I like going to school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

3. I want to do well in school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

4. I am proud of the work I do in class.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

5. I understand what my teachers want me to do in class.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

6. I feel comfortable asking my teacher for help.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

7. My teacher likes me.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

8. My teacher treats me with respect.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

9. My teacher thinks I can do well in school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

10. My teacher encourages me to do my best work.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

11. My teacher uses different ways to help me learn.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

12. My teacher explains the reasons why he/she is teaching me certain things.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

13. My teacher is happy to answer my questions.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

14. My teacher explains things clearly.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

15. My teacher encourages me to ask questions if I don't understand something.*
☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ I Don't Know
16. My teacher thinks we can have fun learning.*
☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ I Don't Know
17. My teacher talks to my parents/guardians about how I am doing in school.*
☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ I Don't Know
18. People listen to my ideas at school.*
☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ I Don't Know
19. My classmates treat me with respect.*
☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ I Don't Know
20. The students in my school treat adults with respect.*
☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ I Don't Know
21. The adults in my school treat students with respect.*
☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ I Don't Know
22. The adults in my school care about me.*
☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ I Don't Know

BCS Parent Survey

Your input is very important to us and we genuinely want to hear from you. The information you supply will be crucial in moving our district forward.

Please complete this survey by Thursday, May 18th.

1. I feel welcome at Bethany Community School (BCS).*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

2. My child enjoys going to school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

3. BCS holds students to high behavioral standards.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

4. My child feels physically safe at school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

5. My child feels emotionally safe at school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

6. The school is sensitive to issues regarding race, gender, sexual orientation and disabilities.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

7. The school facility is clean and well maintained.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

8. The adults at BCS truly care about my child.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

9. If I have a question or concern, I know whom to contact at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

10. My child's teacher(s) treat me with respect.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

11. I feel comfortable contacting my child's teacher(s).*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

12. I often communicate with my child's teacher(s), whether in person, by phone, by email, or in some other way.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

13. I talk with my child's teacher(s) about my child's schoolwork, challenges, and academic progress.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

14. My child's teacher(s) care about his/her academic success.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

15. My child is challenged to meet high expectations at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

16. My child's teacher(s) challenge my child to do his/her best.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

17. I share responsibility for my child's achievement.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

18. I know what to do at home to support my child's learning.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

19. My child's teacher(s) help me to understand what my child needs to learn to be successful at his/her grade level.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

20. My child has access to extra academic help during the school day when he/she needs it.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

21. My child's teacher(s) provide information about his/her progress.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know

Comments (optional):

22. My child is challenged to meet high expectations in the arts.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
23. Technology at BCS is used as a tool to enhance education.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
24. I volunteer at BCS.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
25. BCS offers me ways to be involved in my child's education.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
26. Administrators invite parents to play a meaningful role in making decisions in our district.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
27. The principal is available to parents and is willing to listen.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
28. There is clear communication from the school's administration to parents.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
29. I feel well informed about what is going on at BCS.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
30. Administrators work towards making the vision of our district a reality.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):
31. Administrators keep the school focused on academic achievement.*
 Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

Please add any comments you feel would help us improve our school/district (optional).

BCS Certified Staff Survey

Your input is very important to us and we invite you to share your feedback. The information you supply will be critical in moving our district forward.

Please complete this survey by Thursday, May 18th.

1. I like working at Bethany Community School (BCS).*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

2. BCS is a caring and nurturing school.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

3. I feel safe working at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

4. At BCS, there are clear cut policies and procedures for student behavioral expectations.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

5. Students at BCS respect each other's differences.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

6. There are groups of students at BCS who exclude others and make them feel bad for not being part of a group.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

7. The school facility is clean and well maintained.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

8. The school emphasizes communication with parents.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

9. Parents are provided opportunities to be involved at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

10. There is a clear academic vision for BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

11. Academic expectations are high at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

12. Professional development for teachers is aligned to school/district goals.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

13. Teachers at BCS are given opportunities for individualized professional development.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

14. Staff are provided opportunities to serve on committees to contribute to school/district decisions.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

15. I feel comfortable collaborating with my colleagues.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

16. My contributions are valued.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

17. My colleagues share effective instructional strategies.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

18. My colleagues care about their students' academic success.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

19. My colleagues are committed to high quality work.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

20. My colleagues create a safe and respectful environment for all students.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

21. Adults treat students respectfully at BCS.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

22. Administrators take responsibility for student achievement.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

23. Administrators are instructional leaders.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

24. Administrators let me know what is expected of me.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

25. Administrators provide me with regular and helpful feedback about my teaching.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

26. Administrators encourage collaboration among teachers to improve student learning.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

27. Administrators are open to constructive feedback.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

28. Administrators are responsive to my questions and concerns.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

29. Administrators are committed to finding fair solutions to problems.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

30. There is clear communication from school administration to staff.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

31. Administrators share a good rapport with staff.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

32. Administrators handle student discipline issues in a fair and timely fashion.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

33. I feel respected by parents.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

34. I feel supported by the Board of Education.*

Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree I Don't Know
Comments (optional):

Please add any comments you feel would help us improve our school/district (optional)?