# STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Student v. Montville Board of Education

Appearing on behalf of the Parents: Attorney Nicole Bernabo, Klebanoff & Alfano, 433 South Main Street, Suite 102, West Hartford, CT 06110

Appearing on behalf of the Board: Attorney Anne Littlefield, Shipman & Goodwin, One Constitution Plaza, Hartford, CT 06103

Appearing before: Attorney Mary Elizabeth Oppenheim, Hearing Officer

#### FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

### **ISSUES:**

- 1. Did the Board provide the Student a free appropriate public education [hereinafter FAPE] during the 2005-2006 school year, including extended school year services?
- 2. Did the Board provide the Student FAPE during the 2006-2007 school year, including extended school year services?
- 3. Did the Board timely offer the Student FAPE for the 2007-2008 school year, including extended school year services?
- 4. Did the Board comply with the procedural requirements of federal and state law as it applies to the development of and implementation of the Student's IEP for the 2005-2006, 2006-2007 and 2007-2008 school years?
- 5. Is the day program at Franklin Academy an appropriate placement for the Student for the 2006-2007 and 2007-2008 school years, including the extended day, and including extended school year programming during the summer 2007?
- 6. As an alternative remedy, is the family entitled to compensatory education in the form of reimbursement and/or placement for the day program (including extended day and extended school year) at Franklin Academy for the 2006-2007, 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 school years due to the procedural and substantive violations committed by the Board during the 2005-2006 and the 2006-2007 school years, and for the failure of the Board to timely address the 2007-2008 school year program.
- 7. Did the Board offer the Student FAPE for the 2007-2008 school year, including extended school year services.

8. If not, is the day program at Franklin Academy an appropriate placement for the Student for the 2007-2008 school year, including the extended day, and including extended school year programming during the summer 2007.

## SUMMARY:

The Board received this request for hearing filed on behalf of the Parents on April 4, 2007, and a prehearing conference convened on April 13. The issues were subsequently amended by agreement of the parties.

On May 8 the hearing convened. At that hearing, the parties reported that this matter had been settled.

## **FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:**

The matter is **DISMISSED**, with prejudice.