

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Student v. Regional School District No. 15

Appearing on behalf of the Parents: Attorney Ann Rose, 6 Nelson Lane, Newtown, CT 06470

Appearing on behalf of the Board: Attorney Susan Freedman, Shipman & Goodwin, LLP, One Constitution Plaza, Hartford, CT 06103

Appearing before: Attorney Mary Elizabeth Oppenheim, Hearing Officer

**FINAL DECISION AND ORDER**

**ISSUES:**

1. Whether the Board's 2003 evaluation of the Student was appropriate.
2. Whether the Board's FBA of December 2006 and sensory profile of January 2007 were appropriate evaluations.
3. Whether the Parents shall be reimbursed for the remaining cost of the February, 2005 CCMC evaluation, the neuropsychological evaluation by Lisa Perkins of Jan. 13, 2006, the neuropsychological evaluation of Dr. Maville of February 9, 2007 and the OT evaluation of December 2005.
4. Whether the Board failed to provide the Student the appropriate accommodations and services of OT, a behavior intervention plan, keyboarding, social skills and tutoring for the 2006-2007 school year.
5. Whether the Board failed to provide accurate minutes and accurate prior written notice for the PPT meetings on 1/23/07, 4/9/07 and 5/16/07
6. Whether the Board failed to provide the Parent with the opportunity to provide input for the Student's IEP at the annual review on 5/16/07.

**SUMMARY:**

The Board received this request for hearing on October 1, and a prehearing conference was held on October 11. Prior to the initial hearing date, the Parent's attorney submitted notice that the parties have executed a settlement agreement which resolves all issues presented in this case.

**FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:**

The matter is **DISMISSED**, with prejudice.