

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Student and Wilton Board of Education

Appearing on behalf of the Student: Attorney Phillip Cohn
Goldman Gruder & Woods LLC
200 Connecticut Avenue
Norwalk, CT 06854-1964

Appearing on behalf of the Board of Education: Attorney Christopher Tracey
Shipman & Goodwin LLP
300 Atlantic Street
Stamford, CT 06901-3522

Appearing before: Attorney Ann F. Bird
Hearing Officer

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

ISSUES:

1. Did the Board of Education provide a Free Appropriate Public Education for the 2014-2015 (from February 10, 2015), 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 School Years, including Extended School Years?
 - a. If not, did or does the Student require a residential placement during that period?
 - b. If Board of Education did not provide a Free Appropriate Public Education and the Student required a residential placement, were the New Vision and Grove School placements appropriate?
 - c. If so, should the Student be reimbursed for the expense of the Student's matriculation at those schools and/or be placed there?
2. If the Board of Education did not provide a Free Appropriate Public Education during these periods, should the Student be awarded compensatory education services, including placement at Grove School for one year, the expense of the Student's placement at Links Academy, the expense of therapy not covered by insurance and/or the cost of tutoring?

PROCEDURAL HISTORY:

The Student requested a special education due process hearing in the above-captioned matter on February 10, 2017. This Impartial Hearing Officer was appointed to hear the case on February 21, 2017. A telephonic pre-hearing conference was convened on March 10, 2017. Attorney Phillip Cohn appeared on behalf of the Student and Attorney Christopher Tracey appeared on behalf of the Board of Education. The deadline for filing the final decision is April 26, 2017. An evidentiary hearing was scheduled for April 24, 2017. An evidentiary hearing was convened on April 24, 2017. At that time, the Student reported that the parties had resolved their dispute and requested that the matter be dismissed with prejudice.

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:

It is ordered that the Student's request is granted and the matter is dismissed with prejudice.